

Mass formulas for self-dual codes

Akihiro MUNEMASA (宗政昭弘)

Graduate School of Information Sciences
Tohoku University 東北大学
(joint work with Rowena A. L. Betty)

Self-dual, self-orthogonal codes

- R : finite commutative ring
- n : positive integer
- $(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i$, for $x, y \in R^n$
- C : R -submodule of R^n
- $C^\perp = \{x \in R^n \mid (x, y) = 0 \text{ for all } y \in C\}$
- C : self-dual if $C = C^\perp$
- C : self-orthogonal if $C \subset C^\perp$

Self-dual, self-orthogonal codes

- R : finite commutative ring
- n : positive integer
- $(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i$, for $x, y \in R^n$
- C : R -submodule of R^n
- $C^\perp = \{x \in R^n \mid (x, y) = 0 \text{ for all } y \in C\}$
- C : self-dual if $C = C^\perp$
- C : self-orthogonal if $C \subset C^\perp$

Self-dual, self-orthogonal codes

- R : finite commutative ring
- n : positive integer
- $(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i$, for $x, y \in R^n$
- C : R -submodule of R^n
- $C^\perp = \{x \in R^n \mid (x, y) = 0 \text{ for all } y \in C\}$
- C : self-dual if $C = C^\perp$
- C : self-orthogonal if $C \subset C^\perp$

Self-dual, self-orthogonal codes

- R : finite commutative ring
- n : positive integer
- $(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i$, for $x, y \in R^n$
- C : R -submodule of R^n
- $C^\perp = \{x \in R^n \mid (x, y) = 0 \text{ for all } y \in C\}$
- C : self-dual if $C = C^\perp$
- C : self-orthogonal if $C \subset C^\perp$

Self-dual, self-orthogonal codes

- R : finite commutative ring
- n : positive integer
- $(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i$, for $x, y \in R^n$
- C : R -submodule of R^n
- $C^\perp = \{x \in R^n \mid (x, y) = 0 \text{ for all } y \in C\}$
- C : **self-dual** if $C = C^\perp$
- C : **self-orthogonal** if $C \subset C^\perp$

Mass formulas

The number of self-dual codes of length n

- over \mathbb{F}_p (the number of maximal totally isotropic subspaces, the index of a maximal parabolic subgroup in a finite classical group) is known for years.
- over \mathbb{Z}_4 : was given by Gaborit (1996).

Mass formula = a formula giving the number of certain (self-dual or self-orthogonal, for instance) codes of length n over a ring R .

Mass formulas

The number of self-dual codes of length n

- over \mathbb{F}_p (the number of maximal totally isotropic subspaces, the index of a maximal parabolic subgroup in a finite classical group) is known for years.
- over \mathbb{Z}_4 : was given by Gaborit (1996).

Mass formula = a formula giving the number of certain (self-dual or self-orthogonal, for instance) codes of length n over a ring R .

More mass formulas

	\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}	\mathbb{Z}_{p^3}	\mathbb{Z}_{p^m}
s.d.	BBN	NNW	?
s.o.	BM	?	?

	\mathbb{Z}_4	\mathbb{Z}_8	\mathbb{Z}_{2^m}
s.d.	G	NNW	?
s.o.	BM	?	?
even s.d.	G	?	?
even s.o.	BM*	?	?

G Gaborit, 1996

BBN Balmaceda–Betty–Nemenzo, 2008

BM Betty–Munemasa, submitted

NNW Nagata–Nemenzo–Wada, preprint

* $1 \in C_1$, $n \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$.

More mass formulas

	\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}	\mathbb{Z}_{p^3}	\mathbb{Z}_{p^m}
s.d.	BBN	NNW	?
s.o.	BM	?	?

	\mathbb{Z}_4	\mathbb{Z}_8	\mathbb{Z}_{2^m}
s.d.	G	NNW	?
s.o.	BM	?	?
even s.d.	G	?	?
even s.o.	BM*	?	?

G Gaborit, 1996

BBN Balmaceda–Betty–Nemenzo, 2008

BM Betty–Munemasa, submitted

NNW Nagata–Nemenzo–Wada, preprint

* $1 \in C_1$, $n \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$.

Mass formula for even self-dual codes over \mathbb{Z}_8

In particular, we want to verify our numerical result (with M. Harada) on

the number of 8-frames in the E_8 -lattice

$$= 45,102,825 \quad (\text{by computer})$$

$$= \frac{|\text{Aut}(E_8)|}{2^8 \cdot 8!} \cdot \# \text{ even self-dual codes of length 8 over } \mathbb{Z}_8$$

$$\theta_{E_8} = 1 + 240q + 2160q^2 + 6720q^3 + 17520q^4 + \dots$$

The number of (not necessarily even) self-dual codes over \mathbb{Z}_8 is due to Nagata–Nemenzo–Wada.

Mass formula for even self-dual codes over \mathbb{Z}_8

In particular, we want to verify our numerical result (with M. Harada) on

the number of 8-frames in the E_8 -lattice

$$= 45,102,825 \quad (\text{by computer})$$

$$= \frac{|\text{Aut}(E_8)|}{2^8 \cdot 8!} \cdot \# \text{ even self-dual codes of length 8 over } \mathbb{Z}_8$$

$$\theta_{E_8} = 1 + 240q + 2160q^2 + 6720q^3 + 17520q^4 + \dots$$

The number of (not necessarily even) self-dual codes over \mathbb{Z}_8 is due to Nagata–Nemenzo–Wada.

Mass formula for even self-dual codes over \mathbb{Z}_8

In particular, we want to verify our numerical result (with M. Harada) on

the number of 8-frames in the E_8 -lattice

$$= 45,102,825 \quad (\text{by computer})$$

$$= \frac{|\text{Aut}(E_8)|}{2^8 \cdot 8!} \cdot \# \text{ even self-dual codes of length 8 over } \mathbb{Z}_8$$

$$\theta_{E_8} = 1 + 240q + 2160q^2 + 6720q^3 + 17520q^4 + \dots$$

The number of (not necessarily even) self-dual codes over \mathbb{Z}_8 is due to Nagata–Nemenzo–Wada.

Mass formula for even self-dual codes over \mathbb{Z}_8

In particular, we want to verify our numerical result (with M. Harada) on

the number of 8-frames in the E_8 -lattice

$$= 45,102,825 \quad (\text{by computer})$$

$$= \frac{|\text{Aut}(E_8)|}{2^8 \cdot 8!} \cdot \# \text{ even self-dual codes of length 8 over } \mathbb{Z}_8$$

$$\theta_{E_8} = 1 + 240q + 2160q^2 + 6720q^3 + 17520q^4 + \dots$$

The number of (not necessarily even) self-dual codes over \mathbb{Z}_8 is due to Nagata–Nemenzo–Wada.

Mass formula for even self-dual codes over \mathbb{Z}_8

In particular, we want to verify our numerical result (with M. Harada) on

the number of 8-frames in the E_8 -lattice

$$= 45,102,825 \quad (\text{by computer})$$

$$= \frac{|\text{Aut}(E_8)|}{2^8 \cdot 8!} \cdot \# \text{ even self-dual codes of length 8 over } \mathbb{Z}_8$$

$$\theta_{E_8} = 1 + 240q + 2160q^2 + 6720q^3 + 17520q^4 + \dots$$

The number of (not necessarily even) self-dual codes over \mathbb{Z}_8 is due to Nagata–Nemenzo–Wada.

Technique: from \mathbb{F}_p -codes to \mathbb{Z}_{p^2} -codes

- C_1 : self-orthogonal code over \mathbb{F}_p .
- want to count #self-orthogonal codes C over \mathbb{Z}_{p^2} such that $C \bmod p = C_1$ (residue of C).

If C_1 has generator matrix A , then C has generator matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} A + pN \\ pB \end{bmatrix}$$

for some N, B .

In what follows, generator matrices of codes will have integer entries.

Technique: from \mathbb{F}_p -codes to \mathbb{Z}_{p^2} -codes

- C_1 : self-orthogonal code over \mathbb{F}_p .
- want to count #self-orthogonal codes C over \mathbb{Z}_{p^2} such that $C \bmod p = C_1$ (**residue** of C).

If C_1 has generator matrix A , then C has generator matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} A + pN \\ pB \end{bmatrix}$$

for some N, B .

In what follows, generator matrices of codes will have **integer** entries.

Technique: from \mathbb{F}_p -codes to \mathbb{Z}_{p^2} -codes

- C_1 : self-orthogonal code over \mathbb{F}_p .
- want to count #self-orthogonal codes C over \mathbb{Z}_{p^2} such that $C \bmod p = C_1$ (**residue** of C).

If C_1 has generator matrix A , then C has generator matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} A + pN \\ pB \end{bmatrix}$$

for some N, B .

In what follows, generator matrices of codes will have **integer** entries.

Technique: from \mathbb{F}_p -codes to \mathbb{Z}_{p^2} -codes

- C_1 : self-orthogonal code over \mathbb{F}_p .
- want to count #self-orthogonal codes C over \mathbb{Z}_{p^2} such that $C \bmod p = C_1$ (**residue** of C).

If C_1 has generator matrix A , then C has generator matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} A + pN \\ pB \end{bmatrix}$$

for some N, B .

In what follows, generator matrices of codes will have **integer** entries.

Technique: from \mathbb{F}_p -codes to \mathbb{Z}_{p^2} -codes

- C_1 : self-orthogonal code over \mathbb{F}_p .
- want to count #self-orthogonal codes C over \mathbb{Z}_{p^2} such that $C \bmod p = C_1$ (**residue** of C).

If C_1 has generator matrix A , then C has generator matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} A + pN \\ pB \end{bmatrix}$$

for some N, B .

In what follows, generator matrices of codes will have **integer** entries.

Free codes

- C_1 : self-orthogonal code over \mathbb{F}_p with generator matrix $[I \ A]$.
- want to count # free self-orthogonal codes C over \mathbb{Z}_{p^2} such that $C \bmod p = C_1$.

C : free

$$\iff C \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}^k, \text{ where } k = \dim C_1$$

$$\iff C \text{ has generator matrix } [I + pN_1 \ A + pN_2]$$

$$\iff C \text{ has generator matrix } [I \ A + pN]$$

N is uniquely determined by C .

Free codes

- C_1 : self-orthogonal code over \mathbb{F}_p with generator matrix $[I \ A]$.
- want to count # free self-orthogonal codes C over \mathbb{Z}_{p^2} such that $C \bmod p = C_1$.

C : free

$$\iff C \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}^k, \text{ where } k = \dim C_1$$

$$\iff C \text{ has generator matrix } [I + pN_1 \ A + pN_2]$$

$$\iff C \text{ has generator matrix } [I \ A + pN]$$

N is uniquely determined by C .

Free codes

- C_1 : self-orthogonal code over \mathbb{F}_p with generator matrix $[I \ A]$.
- want to count # free self-orthogonal codes C over \mathbb{Z}_{p^2} such that $C \bmod p = C_1$.

C : free

$$\iff C \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}^k, \text{ where } k = \dim C_1$$

$$\iff C \text{ has generator matrix } [I + pN_1 \ A + pN_2]$$

$$\iff C \text{ has generator matrix } [I \ A + pN]$$

N is uniquely determined by C .

Free codes

- C_1 : self-orthogonal code over \mathbb{F}_p with generator matrix $[I \ A]$.
- want to count # free self-orthogonal codes C over \mathbb{Z}_{p^2} such that $C \bmod p = C_1$.

C : free

$$\iff C \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}^k, \text{ where } k = \dim C_1$$

$$\iff C \text{ has generator matrix } [I + pN_1 \ A + pN_2]$$

$$\iff C \text{ has generator matrix } [I \ A + pN]$$

N is uniquely determined by C .

Free codes with given residue

$$C_1 : [I \ A] / \mathbb{F}_p, \quad I + AA^T \equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \quad C : [I \ A + p\mathcal{N}] / \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}.$$

- C is self-orthogonal $\iff I + AA^T + p(A\mathcal{N}^T + \mathcal{N}A^T) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$.

So

$$\#C = \#\mathcal{N} \text{ s.t. } A\mathcal{N}^T + \mathcal{N}A^T \equiv -\frac{1}{p}(I + AA^T) \pmod{p}.$$

In general,

$$\#\{\mathcal{N} \mid A\mathcal{N}^T + \mathcal{N}A^T \equiv \text{given} \pmod{p}\} = ?$$

Note $\text{rank}_p A = k$, since $I + AA^T \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

Free codes with given residue

$$C_1 : [I \ A] / \mathbb{F}_p, \quad I + AA^T \equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \quad C : [I \ A + p\mathcal{N}] / \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}.$$

- C is self-orthogonal $\iff I + AA^T + p(A\mathcal{N}^T + \mathcal{N}A^T) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$.

So

$$\#C = \#\mathcal{N} \text{ s.t. } A\mathcal{N}^T + \mathcal{N}A^T \equiv -\frac{1}{p}(I + AA^T) \pmod{p}.$$

In general,

$$\#\{\mathcal{N} \mid A\mathcal{N}^T + \mathcal{N}A^T \equiv \text{given} \pmod{p}\} = ?$$

Note $\text{rank}_p A = k$, since $I + AA^T \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

Free codes with given residue

$$C_1 : [I \ A] / \mathbb{F}_p, \quad I + AA^T \equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \quad C : [I \ A + p\mathbf{N}] / \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}.$$

- C is self-orthogonal $\iff I + AA^T + p(A\mathbf{N}^T + \mathbf{N}A^T) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$.

So

$$\#C = \#\mathbf{N} \text{ s.t. } AN^T + \mathbf{N}A^T \equiv -\frac{1}{p}(I + AA^T) \pmod{p}.$$

In general,

$$\#\{\mathbf{N} \mid AN^T + \mathbf{N}A^T \equiv \text{given} \pmod{p}\} = ?$$

Note $\text{rank}_p A = k$, since $I + AA^T \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

Free codes with given residue

$$C_1 : [I \ A] / \mathbb{F}_p, \quad I + AA^T \equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \quad C : [I \ A + p\mathbf{N}] / \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}.$$

- C is self-orthogonal $\iff I + AA^T + p(A\mathbf{N}^T + \mathbf{N}A^T) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$.

So

$$\#C = \#\mathbf{N} \text{ s.t. } AN^T + \mathbf{N}A^T \equiv -\frac{1}{p}(I + AA^T) \pmod{p}.$$

In general,

$$\#\{\mathbf{N} \mid AN^T + \mathbf{N}A^T \equiv \text{given} \pmod{p}\} = ?$$

Note $\text{rank}_p A = k$, since $I + AA^T \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

Free codes with given residue

$$C_1 : [I \ A] / \mathbb{F}_p, \quad I + AA^T \equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \quad C : [I \ A + p\mathbf{N}] / \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}.$$

- C is self-orthogonal $\iff I + AA^T + p(A\mathbf{N}^T + \mathbf{N}A^T) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$.

So

$$\#C = \#\mathbf{N} \text{ s.t. } AN^T + \mathbf{N}A^T \equiv -\frac{1}{p}(I + AA^T) \pmod{p}.$$

In general,

$$\#\{\mathbf{N} \mid AN^T + \mathbf{N}A^T \equiv \text{given} \pmod{p}\} = ?$$

Note $\text{rank}_p A = k$, since $I + AA^T \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

Mapping on matrices

$$\#\textcolor{red}{N} \text{ s.t. } AN^T + \textcolor{red}{N}A^T \equiv -\frac{1}{p}(I + AA^T) \pmod{p}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi : M_{k \times m}(\mathbb{F}_p) &\rightarrow \text{Sym}_k(\mathbb{F}_p) \\ \textcolor{red}{N} &\mapsto AN^T + \textcolor{red}{N}A^T\end{aligned}$$

where $A \in M_{k \times m}(\mathbb{F}_p)$, $\text{rank } A = k$.

Lemma

p : odd prime $\implies \Psi$: surjective.

$$\begin{aligned}\#\{\textcolor{red}{N} \mid AN^T + \textcolor{red}{N}A^T = \text{given}\} \\ &= \#\Psi^{-1}(\text{given}) = \#\text{Ker } \Psi \\ &= p^{\dim M_{k \times m}(\mathbb{F}_p) - \dim \text{Sym}_k(\mathbb{F}_p)} = p^{km - k(k+1)/2}.\end{aligned}$$

Mapping on matrices

$$\#\textcolor{red}{N} \text{ s.t. } AN^T + \textcolor{red}{N}A^T \equiv -\frac{1}{p}(I + AA^T) \pmod{p}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi : M_{k \times m}(\mathbb{F}_p) &\rightarrow \text{Sym}_k(\mathbb{F}_p) \\ \textcolor{red}{N} &\mapsto AN^T + \textcolor{red}{N}A^T\end{aligned}$$

where $A \in M_{k \times m}(\mathbb{F}_p)$, $\text{rank } A = k$.

Lemma

p : odd prime $\implies \Psi$: surjective.

$$\begin{aligned}\#\{\textcolor{red}{N} \mid AN^T + \textcolor{red}{N}A^T = \text{given}\} \\ &= \#\Psi^{-1}(\text{given}) = \#\text{Ker } \Psi \\ &= p^{\dim M_{k \times m}(\mathbb{F}_p) - \dim \text{Sym}_k(\mathbb{F}_p)} = p^{km - k(k+1)/2}.\end{aligned}$$

Mapping on matrices

$$\#\textcolor{red}{N} \text{ s.t. } A\textcolor{red}{N}^T + \textcolor{red}{N}A^T \equiv -\frac{1}{p}(I + AA^T) \pmod{p}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi : M_{k \times m}(\mathbb{F}_p) &\rightarrow \text{Sym}_k(\mathbb{F}_p) \\ \textcolor{red}{N} &\mapsto A\textcolor{red}{N}^T + \textcolor{red}{N}A^T\end{aligned}$$

where $A \in M_{k \times m}(\mathbb{F}_p)$, $\text{rank } A = k$.

Lemma

p : odd prime $\implies \Psi$: surjective.

$$\begin{aligned}\#\{\textcolor{red}{N} \mid A\textcolor{red}{N}^T + \textcolor{red}{N}A^T = \text{given}\} \\ &= \#\Psi^{-1}(\text{given}) = \#\text{Ker } \Psi \\ &= p^{\dim M_{k \times m}(\mathbb{F}_p) - \dim \text{Sym}_k(\mathbb{F}_p)} = p^{km - k(k+1)/2}.\end{aligned}$$

Mapping on matrices

$$\#\textcolor{red}{N} \text{ s.t. } AN^T + \textcolor{red}{N}A^T \equiv -\frac{1}{p}(I + AA^T) \pmod{p}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi : M_{k \times m}(\mathbb{F}_p) &\rightarrow \text{Sym}_k(\mathbb{F}_p) \\ \textcolor{red}{N} &\mapsto AN^T + \textcolor{red}{N}A^T\end{aligned}$$

where $A \in M_{k \times m}(\mathbb{F}_p)$, $\text{rank } A = k$.

Lemma

p : odd prime $\implies \Psi$: surjective.

$$\begin{aligned}\#\{\textcolor{red}{N} \mid AN^T + \textcolor{red}{N}A^T = \text{given}\} \\ &= \#\Psi^{-1}(\text{given}) = \#\text{Ker } \Psi \\ &= p^{\dim M_{k \times m}(\mathbb{F}_p) - \dim \text{Sym}_k(\mathbb{F}_p)} = p^{km - k(k+1)/2}.\end{aligned}$$

Mapping on matrices

$$\#\textcolor{red}{N} \text{ s.t. } A\textcolor{red}{N}^T + \textcolor{red}{N}A^T \equiv -\frac{1}{p}(I + AA^T) \pmod{p}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi : M_{k \times m}(\mathbb{F}_p) &\rightarrow \text{Sym}_k(\mathbb{F}_p) \\ \textcolor{red}{N} &\mapsto A\textcolor{red}{N}^T + \textcolor{red}{N}A^T\end{aligned}$$

where $A \in M_{k \times m}(\mathbb{F}_p)$, $\text{rank } A = k$.

Lemma

p : odd prime $\implies \Psi$: surjective.

$$\begin{aligned}\#\{\textcolor{red}{N} \mid A\textcolor{red}{N}^T + \textcolor{red}{N}A^T = \text{given}\} \\ &= \#\Psi^{-1}(\text{given}) = \#\text{Ker } \Psi \\ &= p^{\dim M_{k \times m}(\mathbb{F}_p) - \dim \text{Sym}_k(\mathbb{F}_p)} = p^{km - k(k+1)/2}.\end{aligned}$$

$$p = 2,$$

$$\Psi : N \mapsto AN^T + NA^T \in \text{Sym}_k(\mathbb{F}_p)$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Psi : M_{k \times m}(\mathbb{F}_2) & \rightarrow & \text{Alt}_k(\mathbb{F}_2) \\ N & \mapsto & AN^T + NA^T \end{array}$$

where $A \in M_{k \times m}(\mathbb{F}_2)$, $\text{rank } A = k$.

Lemma

Ψ : surjective.

$$\begin{aligned} & \#\{N \mid AN^T + NA^T = \text{given} \in \text{Alt}_k(\mathbb{F}_p)\} \\ &= \#\text{Ker } \Psi = 2^{\dim M_{k \times m}(\mathbb{F}_p) - \dim \text{Alt}_k(\mathbb{F}_p)} \\ &= 2^{km - k(k-1)/2}. \end{aligned}$$

$$p = 2,$$

$$\Psi : N \mapsto AN^T + NA^T \in \text{Sym}_k(\mathbb{F}_p)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi : M_{k \times m}(\mathbb{F}_2) &\rightarrow \text{Alt}_k(\mathbb{F}_2) \\ N &\mapsto AN^T + NA^T\end{aligned}$$

where $A \in M_{k \times m}(\mathbb{F}_2)$, $\text{rank } A = k$.

Lemma

Ψ : surjective.

$$\begin{aligned}\#\{N \mid AN^T + NA^T = \text{given} \in \text{Alt}_k(\mathbb{F}_p)\} \\ = \#\text{Ker } \Psi = 2^{\dim M_{k \times m}(\mathbb{F}_p) - \dim \text{Alt}_k(\mathbb{F}_p)} \\ = 2^{km - k(k-1)/2}.\end{aligned}$$

$$p = 2,$$

$$\Psi : N \mapsto AN^T + NA^T \in \text{Sym}_k(\mathbb{F}_p)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi : M_{k \times m}(\mathbb{F}_2) &\rightarrow \text{Alt}_k(\mathbb{F}_2) \\ N &\mapsto AN^T + NA^T\end{aligned}$$

where $A \in M_{k \times m}(\mathbb{F}_2)$, $\text{rank } A = k$.

Lemma

Ψ : surjective.

$$\begin{aligned}\#\{N \mid AN^T + NA^T = \text{given} \in \text{Alt}_k(\mathbb{F}_p)\} \\ = \#\text{Ker } \Psi = 2^{\dim M_{k \times m}(\mathbb{F}_p) - \dim \text{Alt}_k(\mathbb{F}_p)} \\ = 2^{km - k(k-1)/2}.\end{aligned}$$

$$p = 2,$$

$$\Psi : N \mapsto AN^T + NA^T \in \text{Sym}_k(\mathbb{F}_p)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi : M_{k \times m}(\mathbb{F}_2) &\rightarrow \text{Alt}_k(\mathbb{F}_2) \\ N &\mapsto AN^T + NA^T\end{aligned}$$

where $A \in M_{k \times m}(\mathbb{F}_2)$, $\text{rank } A = k$.

Lemma

Ψ : surjective.

$$\begin{aligned}\#\{N \mid AN^T + NA^T = \text{given} \in \text{Alt}_k(\mathbb{F}_p)\} \\ = \#\text{Ker } \Psi = 2^{\dim M_{k \times m}(\mathbb{F}_p) - \dim \text{Alt}_k(\mathbb{F}_p)} \\ = 2^{km - k(k-1)/2}.\end{aligned}$$

$$p = 2,$$

$$\Psi : N \mapsto AN^T + NA^T \in \text{Sym}_k(\mathbb{F}_p)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi : M_{k \times m}(\mathbb{F}_2) &\rightarrow \text{Alt}_k(\mathbb{F}_2) \\ N &\mapsto AN^T + NA^T\end{aligned}$$

where $A \in M_{k \times m}(\mathbb{F}_2)$, $\text{rank } A = k$.

Lemma

Ψ : surjective.

$$\begin{aligned}\#\{N \mid AN^T + NA^T = \text{given} \in \text{Alt}_k(\mathbb{F}_p)\} \\ = \#\text{Ker } \Psi = 2^{\dim M_{k \times m}(\mathbb{F}_p) - \dim \text{Alt}_k(\mathbb{F}_p)} \\ = 2^{km - k(k-1)/2}.\end{aligned}$$

Even codes over \mathbb{Z}_4

$$C_1 : [I \ A] / \mathbb{F}_2, \quad C : [I \ A + 2\mathbf{N}] / \mathbb{Z}_4.$$

Problem

- When is C even (i.e., Euclidean norm (weight) $\equiv 0 \pmod{8}$)?
- Count $\#\mathbf{N}$ for which C is even.

In addition to C being self-orthogonal, i.e.,

$$I + AA^T + 2(A\mathbf{N}^T + \mathbf{N}A^T) \equiv 0 \pmod{4},$$

we need:

$$\text{Diag}(I + AA^T + 2(A\mathbf{N}^T + \mathbf{N}A^T) + 4\mathbf{N}\mathbf{N}^T) \equiv 0 \pmod{8}.$$

Even codes over \mathbb{Z}_4

$$C_1 : [I \ A] / \mathbb{F}_2, \quad C : [I \ A + 2\mathbf{N}] / \mathbb{Z}_4.$$

Problem

- When is C even (i.e., Euclidean norm (weight) $\equiv 0 \pmod{8}$)?
- Count $\#\mathbf{N}$ for which C is even.

In addition to C being self-orthogonal, i.e.,

$$I + AA^T + 2(A\mathbf{N}^T + \mathbf{N}A^T) \equiv 0 \pmod{4},$$

we need:

$$\text{Diag}(I + AA^T + 2(A\mathbf{N}^T + \mathbf{N}A^T) + 4\mathbf{N}\mathbf{N}^T) \equiv 0 \pmod{8}.$$

Even codes over \mathbb{Z}_4

$$C_1 : [I \ A] / \mathbb{F}_2, \quad C : [I \ A + 2\mathbf{N}] / \mathbb{Z}_4.$$

Problem

- When is C even (i.e., Euclidean norm (weight) $\equiv 0 \pmod{8}$)?
- Count $\#\mathbf{N}$ for which C is even.

In addition to C being self-orthogonal, i.e.,

$$I + AA^T + 2(A\mathbf{N}^T + \mathbf{N}A^T) \equiv 0 \pmod{4},$$

we need:

$$\text{Diag}(I + AA^T + 2(A\mathbf{N}^T + \mathbf{N}A^T) + 4\mathbf{N}\mathbf{N}^T) \equiv 0 \pmod{8}.$$

Even codes over \mathbb{Z}_4

$$C_1 : [I \ A] / \mathbb{F}_2, \quad C : [I \ A + 2\mathbf{N}] / \mathbb{Z}_4.$$

Problem

- When is C even (i.e., Euclidean norm (weight) $\equiv 0 \pmod{8}$)?
- Count $\#\mathbf{N}$ for which C is even.

In addition to C being self-orthogonal, i.e.,

$$I + AA^T + 2(A\mathbf{N}^T + \mathbf{N}A^T) \equiv 0 \pmod{4},$$

we need:

$$\text{Diag}(I + AA^T + 2(A\mathbf{N}^T + \mathbf{N}A^T) + 4\mathbf{N}\mathbf{N}^T) \equiv 0 \pmod{8}.$$

Even codes over \mathbb{Z}_4

$$C_1 : [I \ A] / \mathbb{F}_2, \quad C : [I \ A + 2\mathbf{N}] / \mathbb{Z}_4.$$

Problem

- When is C even (i.e., Euclidean norm (weight) $\equiv 0 \pmod{8}$)?
- Count $\#\mathbf{N}$ for which C is even.

In addition to C being self-orthogonal, i.e.,

$$I + AA^T + 2(A\mathbf{N}^T + \mathbf{N}A^T) \equiv 0 \pmod{4},$$

we need:

$$\text{Diag}(I + AA^T + 2(A\mathbf{N}^T + \mathbf{N}A^T) + 4\mathbf{N}\mathbf{N}^T) \equiv 0 \pmod{8}.$$

$$I + AA^T + 2(A\textcolor{red}{N}^T + \textcolor{red}{N}A^T) \equiv 0 \pmod{4},$$

$$\text{Diag}(I + AA^T + 2(A\textcolor{red}{N}^T + \textcolor{red}{N}A^T) + 4\textcolor{red}{N}\textcolor{red}{N}^T) \equiv 0 \pmod{8}.$$

$$A\textcolor{red}{N}^T + \textcolor{red}{N}A^T \equiv \frac{1}{2}(I + AA^T) \pmod{2}$$

$$\text{Diag}(I + AA^T + 4A\textcolor{red}{N}^T + 4\textcolor{red}{N}\textcolor{red}{N}^T) \equiv 0 \pmod{8},$$

$$\frac{1}{4} \text{Diag}(I + AA^T) \equiv \text{Diag}(A\textcolor{red}{N}^T + \textcolor{red}{N}\textcolor{red}{N}^T) \pmod{2}$$

$$\equiv \text{Diag}((A + J)\textcolor{red}{N}^T) \pmod{2}.$$

$$I + AA^T + 2(A\textcolor{red}{N}^T + \textcolor{red}{N}A^T) \equiv 0 \pmod{4},$$

$$\text{Diag}(I + AA^T + 2(A\textcolor{red}{N}^T + \textcolor{red}{N}A^T) + 4\textcolor{red}{N}\textcolor{red}{N}^T) \equiv 0 \pmod{8}.$$

$$A\textcolor{red}{N}^T + \textcolor{red}{N}A^T \equiv \frac{1}{2}(I + AA^T) \pmod{2}$$

$$\text{Diag}(I + AA^T + 4A\textcolor{red}{N}^T + 4\textcolor{red}{N}\textcolor{red}{N}^T) \equiv 0 \pmod{8},$$

$$\frac{1}{4} \text{Diag}(I + AA^T) \equiv \text{Diag}(A\textcolor{red}{N}^T + \textcolor{red}{N}\textcolor{red}{N}^T) \pmod{2}$$

$$\equiv \text{Diag}((A + J)\textcolor{red}{N}^T) \pmod{2}.$$

$$I + AA^T + 2(A\textcolor{red}{N}^T + \textcolor{red}{N}A^T) \equiv 0 \pmod{4},$$

$$\text{Diag}(I + AA^T + 2(A\textcolor{red}{N}^T + \textcolor{red}{N}A^T) + 4\textcolor{red}{N}\textcolor{red}{N}^T) \equiv 0 \pmod{8}.$$

$$A\textcolor{red}{N}^T + \textcolor{red}{N}A^T \equiv \frac{1}{2}(I + AA^T) \pmod{2}$$

$$\text{Diag}(I + AA^T + 4A\textcolor{red}{N}^T + 4\textcolor{red}{N}\textcolor{red}{N}^T) \equiv 0 \pmod{8},$$

$$\frac{1}{4} \text{Diag}(I + AA^T) \equiv \text{Diag}(A\textcolor{red}{N}^T + \textcolor{red}{N}\textcolor{red}{N}^T) \pmod{2}$$

$$\equiv \text{Diag}((A + J)\textcolor{red}{N}^T) \pmod{2}.$$

$$I + AA^T + 2(A\textcolor{red}{N}^T + \textcolor{red}{N}A^T) \equiv 0 \pmod{4},$$

$$\text{Diag}(I + AA^T + 2(A\textcolor{red}{N}^T + \textcolor{red}{N}A^T) + 4\textcolor{red}{N}\textcolor{red}{N}^T) \equiv 0 \pmod{8}.$$

$$A\textcolor{red}{N}^T + \textcolor{red}{N}A^T \equiv \frac{1}{2}(I + AA^T) \pmod{2}$$

$$\text{Diag}(I + AA^T + 4A\textcolor{red}{N}^T + 4\textcolor{red}{N}\textcolor{red}{N}^T) \equiv 0 \pmod{8},$$

$$\frac{1}{4} \text{Diag}(I + AA^T) \equiv \text{Diag}(A\textcolor{red}{N}^T + \textcolor{red}{N}\textcolor{red}{N}^T) \pmod{2}$$

$$\equiv \text{Diag}((A + J)\textcolor{red}{N}^T) \pmod{2}.$$

$$I + AA^T + 2(A\textcolor{red}{N}^T + \textcolor{red}{N}A^T) \equiv 0 \pmod{4},$$

$$\text{Diag}(I + AA^T + 2(A\textcolor{red}{N}^T + \textcolor{red}{N}A^T) + 4\textcolor{red}{N}\textcolor{red}{N}^T) \equiv 0 \pmod{8}.$$

$$A\textcolor{red}{N}^T + \textcolor{red}{N}A^T \equiv \frac{1}{2}(I + AA^T) \pmod{2}$$

$$\text{Diag}(I + AA^T + 4A\textcolor{red}{N}^T + 4\textcolor{red}{N}\textcolor{red}{N}^T) \equiv 0 \pmod{8},$$

$$\frac{1}{4} \text{Diag}(I + AA^T) \equiv \text{Diag}(A\textcolor{red}{N}^T + \textcolor{red}{N}\textcolor{red}{N}^T) \pmod{2}$$

$$\equiv \text{Diag}((A + J)\textcolor{red}{N}^T) \pmod{2}.$$

$AN^T + NA^T \equiv \text{given } (\bmod 2),$

$\text{Diag}((A + J)N^T) \equiv \text{given } (\bmod 2).$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Psi : M_{k \times m}(\mathbb{F}_2) & \rightarrow & \text{Sym}_k(\mathbb{F}_2) \\ N & \mapsto & AN^T + NA^T + \text{Diag}((A + J)N^T) \end{array}$$

Lemma

Ψ : surjective if $\mathbf{1} \notin C_1 = \text{span} [I \ A]$.

$$\begin{aligned} & \#\{N \mid AN^T + NA^T = \text{given}, \text{Diag}((A + J)N^T) = \text{given}\} \\ &= \#\text{Ker } \Psi = 2^{\dim M_{k \times m}(\mathbb{F}_2) - \dim \text{Sym}_k(\mathbb{F}_2)} \\ &= 2^{km - k(k+1)/2}. \end{aligned}$$

$A\textcolor{red}{N}^T + \textcolor{red}{N}A^T \equiv \text{given } (\text{mod } 2),$

$\text{Diag}((A + J)\textcolor{red}{N}^T) \equiv \text{given } (\text{mod } 2).$

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi : M_{k \times m}(\mathbb{F}_2) &\rightarrow \text{Sym}_k(\mathbb{F}_2) \\ \textcolor{red}{N} &\mapsto AN^T + \textcolor{red}{N}A^T + \text{Diag}((A + J)\textcolor{red}{N}^T)\end{aligned}$$

Lemma

Ψ : surjective if $\mathbf{1} \notin C_1 = \text{span} [I \ A]$.

$$\begin{aligned}\#\{\textcolor{red}{N} \mid AN^T + \textcolor{red}{N}A^T = \text{given}, \text{Diag}((A + J)\textcolor{red}{N}^T) = \text{given}\} \\ = \#\text{Ker } \Psi = 2^{\dim M_{k \times m}(\mathbb{F}_2) - \dim \text{Sym}_k(\mathbb{F}_2)} \\ = 2^{km - k(k+1)/2}.\end{aligned}$$

$$A\textcolor{red}{N}^T + \textcolor{red}{N}A^T \equiv \text{given } (\bmod 2),$$

$$\text{Diag}((A+J)\textcolor{red}{N}^T) \equiv \text{given } (\bmod 2).$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi : M_{k \times m}(\mathbb{F}_2) &\rightarrow \text{Sym}_k(\mathbb{F}_2) \\ \textcolor{red}{N} &\mapsto A\textcolor{red}{N}^T + \textcolor{red}{N}A^T + \text{Diag}((A+J)\textcolor{red}{N}^T)\end{aligned}$$

Lemma

Ψ : surjective if $\mathbf{1} \notin C_1 = \text{span} [I \ A]$.

$$\begin{aligned}&\#\{\textcolor{red}{N} \mid A\textcolor{red}{N}^T + \textcolor{red}{N}A^T = \text{given}, \text{Diag}((A+J)\textcolor{red}{N}^T) = \text{given}\} \\&= \#\text{Ker } \Psi = 2^{\dim M_{k \times m}(\mathbb{F}_2) - \dim \text{Sym}_k(\mathbb{F}_2)} \\&= 2^{km - k(k+1)/2}.\end{aligned}$$

$$A\textcolor{red}{N}^T + \textcolor{red}{N}A^T \equiv \text{given } (\bmod 2),$$

$$\text{Diag}((A+J)\textcolor{red}{N}^T) \equiv \text{given } (\bmod 2).$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi : M_{k \times m}(\mathbb{F}_2) &\rightarrow \text{Sym}_k(\mathbb{F}_2) \\ \textcolor{red}{N} &\mapsto A\textcolor{red}{N}^T + \textcolor{red}{N}A^T + \text{Diag}((A+J)\textcolor{red}{N}^T)\end{aligned}$$

Lemma

Ψ : surjective if $\mathbf{1} \notin C_1 = \text{span} [I \ A]$.

$$\begin{aligned}&\#\{\textcolor{red}{N} \mid A\textcolor{red}{N}^T + \textcolor{red}{N}A^T = \text{given}, \text{Diag}((A+J)\textcolor{red}{N}^T) = \text{given}\} \\&= \#\text{Ker } \Psi = 2^{\dim M_{k \times m}(\mathbb{F}_2) - \dim \text{Sym}_k(\mathbb{F}_2)} \\&= 2^{km - k(k+1)/2}.\end{aligned}$$

$$A\textcolor{red}{N}^T + \textcolor{red}{N}A^T \equiv \text{given } (\bmod 2),$$

$$\text{Diag}((A+J)\textcolor{red}{N}^T) \equiv \text{given } (\bmod 2).$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi : M_{k \times m}(\mathbb{F}_2) &\rightarrow \text{Sym}_k(\mathbb{F}_2) \\ \textcolor{red}{N} &\mapsto AN^T + NA^T + \text{Diag}((A+J)\textcolor{red}{N}^T)\end{aligned}$$

Lemma

Ψ : surjective if $\mathbf{1} \notin C_1 = \text{span} [I \ A]$.

$$\begin{aligned}&\#\{\textcolor{red}{N} \mid AN^T + NA^T = \text{given}, \text{Diag}((A+J)\textcolor{red}{N}^T) = \text{given}\} \\&= \#\text{Ker } \Psi = 2^{\dim M_{k \times m}(\mathbb{F}_2) - \dim \text{Sym}_k(\mathbb{F}_2)} \\&= 2^{km - k(k+1)/2}.\end{aligned}$$

More mass formulas

	\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}	\mathbb{Z}_{p^3}	\mathbb{Z}_{p^m}
s.d.	BBN	NNW	?
s.o.	BM	?	?

	\mathbb{Z}_4	\mathbb{Z}_8	\mathbb{Z}_{2^m}
s.d.	G	NNW	?
s.o.	BM	?	?
even s.d.	G	?	?
even s.o.	BM*	?	?

G Gaborit, 1996

BBN Balmaceda–Betty–Nemenzo, to appear

BM Betty–Munemasa, submitted

NNW Nagata–Nemenzo–Wada, preprint

* $1 \in C_1$, $n \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$.