

Constructive enumeration of self-dual codes using tools from permutation groups

Akihiro Munemasa¹

¹Graduate School of Information Sciences
Tohoku University
(joint with K. Betsumiya and M. Harada)

August 25, 2011
International Conference on Coding and Cryptography
Ewha Womans University
Seoul, Korea

Binary Codes

- $\mathbb{F}_2 = \{0, 1\}$.
- $X = \mathbb{F}_2^n$ with $d =$ Hamming distance.
 - $d(x, y) =$ the number of i 's with $x_i \neq y_i$, where $x, y \in X$.
 - $d(x, y) = \text{wt}(x - y)$, the **weight** of the vector $x - y$, the number of nonzero (in this case 1) entries in $x - y$.
 - $\text{supp}(x)$, the **support** of a vector x , the set of nonzero (in this case 1) coordinates in x .
- $C =$ linear code of length n , i.e., $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}_2^n$, closed under binary addition.
 - $\min(C) := \min\{\text{wt}(x) \mid x \in C, x \neq 0\}$.
 - We say C is an $[n, k]$ code if $\dim C = k$.
 - We say C is an $[n, k, d]$ code if moreover $\min(C) = d$.

Equivalence and Automorphisms

Definition

If σ is a permutation on $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and

$x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$, then $\sigma(x) := (x_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma^{-1}(n)})$.

Two binary codes C, C' of length n are said to be **equivalent** if $\sigma(C) = C'$ for some permutation σ of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

Definition

A permutation σ is an **automorphism** of a linear code $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}_2^n$ if $\sigma(C) = C$. $\text{Aut}(C)$ denotes the group of all automorphisms of C .

Self-Dual Codes

- Scalar product: $(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i$.
- $C^\perp = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \mid (x, y) = 0\}$: dual code
- C is **self-orthogonal** if $C \subset C^\perp$
- C is **self-dual** if $C = C^\perp$
- C is **doubly even** if $\text{wt}(c) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ for all $c \in C$.

Proposition

$C \subset \mathbb{F}_2^n$ is self-dual $\implies \dim C = \frac{n}{2}$.

doubly even \implies self-orthogonal.

doubly even self-dual code exists $\iff n \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$.

Extremal Doubly Even Self-Dual Codes

Recall that a doubly even self-dual (**d.e.s.d.**) code is a linear code C with $C = C^\perp$, satisfying $\text{wt}(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ for all $x \in C$.

Proposition (Mallows–Sloane, 1973)

A doubly even self-dual code C of length n satisfies $\min(C) \leq 4\lfloor \frac{n}{24} \rfloor + 4$.

Definition

A doubly even self-dual code is said to be **extremal** if $\min(C) = 4\lfloor \frac{n}{24} \rfloor + 4$.

Table of Doubly Even Self-Dual Codes

length n	$\min(C)$ $4\lfloor \frac{n}{24} \rfloor + 4$	extremal codes	non-extremal codes
8	4	1	
16	4	2	
24	8	1	8
32	8	5	80
40	8	16470	77873
48	12	1	?
56	12	≥ 166	?
64	12	≥ 3270	?
72	16	?	?

Pless (1972), Pless–Sloane (1975), Conway–Pless (1980),
Conway–Pless–Sloane (1992),

Betsumiya–Harada–Munemasa (2011)

Punctured and shortened codes

Let $S \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$. Let C be a binary linear code of length n .

Definition

The **punctured code** of C with respect to S is the code obtained from C by restricting to the coordinates $\{1, \dots, n\} \setminus S$.

(forget S)

Definition

The **shortened code** of C with respect to S is the subcode of C consisting of codewords whose support is disjoint from S , and then deleting the coordinates S .

(forget S only if 0)

The balance principle

Suppose

$$\{1, \dots, n\} = S_1 \cup S_2 \text{ (disjoint)}, \quad |S_1| = n_1, \quad |S_2| = n_2.$$

Theorem (The balance principle (Koch 1989))

Let C be a self-dual code of length n .

C_1 = the shortend code of C with respect to S_2 ,

C_2 = the shortend code of C with respect to S_1 ,

$k_1 = \dim C_1$, $k_2 = \dim C_2$.

Then

$$n_1 - 2k_1 = n_2 - 2k_2.$$

The balance principle: $n_1 - 2k_1 = n_2 - 2k_2$

A generator matrix of a self-dual code of length $n = n_1 + n_2$ has the following form:

$$\begin{array}{cc} & \begin{array}{cc} n_1 & n_2 \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} k_1 \{ \\ \\ n_1 - 2k_1 \{ \end{array} & \begin{array}{|cc|} \hline C_1 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & C_2 \\ \hline C_1^\perp/C_1 & C_2^\perp/C_2 \\ \hline \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \} k_2 \\ \} n_2 - 2k_2 \end{array}$$

$$n_1 - 2k_1 = \dim C_1^\perp/C_1 = n_2 - 2k_2 = \dim C_2^\perp/C_2.$$

C_1 = the shortend code of C with respect to S_2 ,

C_2 = the shortend code of C with respect to S_1 .

Self-dual $[10, 5, 4]$ code does not exist

$$n_1 - 2k_1 = n_2 - 2k_2$$

	$n_1 = 4$	$n_2 = 6$	
$k_1 = 1\{$	1111	0	
	0	111111	
	0	?	$\leftarrow k_2 = 2$
$n_1 - 2k_1 = 2\{$	*	*	$\} n_2 - 2k_2 = 2$

The balance principle: $n_1 - 2k_1 = n_2 - 2k_2$

Aim: Given C_1, C_2 , construct self-dual codes of length $n_1 + n_2$.

$$n_1 - 2k_1 \left\{ \begin{array}{c|c} & \begin{array}{c} n_1 \\ n_2 \end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} k_1 \{ \\ \\ \} k_2 \end{array} & \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline C_1 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & C_2 \\ \hline C_1^\perp/C_1 & C_2^\perp/C_2 \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline & \begin{array}{c} \} n_2 - 2k_2 \end{array} \end{array} \right.$$

Filling the last set of rows is equivalent to choosing a linear bijection

$$f : C_1^\perp/C_1 \rightarrow C_2^\perp/C_2$$

Then the resulting code is

$$C_f = \{(x|y) \mid x \in C_1^\perp, y \in f(x + C_1)\}$$

$$\dim C_f = k_1 + k_2 + n_1 - 2k_1 = \frac{1}{2}(n_1 + n_2).$$

The balance principle: $n_1 - 2k_1 = n_2 - 2k_2$

Proposition

C_1 : self-orthogonal $[n_1, k_1]$ code

C_2 : self-orthogonal $[n_2, k_2]$ code

For $f : C_1^\perp/C_1 \rightarrow C_2^\perp/C_2$: linear bijection, define

$$C_f = \{(x|y) \mid x \in C_1^\perp, y \in f(x + C_1)\}.$$

Then C_f is an $[n_1 + n_2, \frac{1}{2}(n_1 + n_2)]$ code.

When is C_f self-dual (equivalently, self-orthogonal)? This occurs precisely when

$$\forall x, \forall x' \in C_1^\perp, \forall y \in f(x + C_1), \forall y' \in f(x' + C_1), (x, x') = (y, y').$$

C_i : self-orthogonal $[n_i, k_i]$ code for $i = 1, 2$

$$C_f = \{(x|y) \mid x \in C_1^\perp, y \in f(x + C_1)\}$$

Induced scalar product

$$(\cdot, \cdot) : C_1^\perp / C_1 \times C_1^\perp / C_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$$

$$(\cdot, \cdot) : C_2^\perp / C_2 \times C_2^\perp / C_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$$

For a linear bijection $f : C_1^\perp / C_1 \rightarrow C_2^\perp / C_2$,

C_f is self-dual (\iff self-orthogonal)

$\iff f$ is an isometry, i.e.,

$$(x + C_1, x' + C_1) = (f(x + C_1), f(x' + C_1)) \quad (\forall x, x' \in C_1^\perp).$$

Special case: $n_2 = 2, C_2 = 0$

C_1	0
0	C_2
C_1^\perp/C_1	C_2^\perp/C_2

becomes

$$n_1 - 2k_1 \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} n_1 & 2 \\ C_1 & 0 \\ C_1^\perp/C_1 & 0^\perp \end{array} \right\} 2 = 1 + 1$$

Then $k_1 = \frac{1}{2}n_1 - 1$.

$\implies C_1$ is a subcode of of codimension 1 in a self-dual $[n_1, \frac{1}{2}n_1]$ code \tilde{C}_1 .

Special case: $n_2 = 2, C_2 = 0$

C_1	0
x	1 1
y	0 1

$C_1 \subset \langle C_1, x \rangle = \tilde{C}_1$: self-dual $[n_1, \frac{1}{2}n_1]$ code

Every self-dual $[n_1 + 2, \frac{1}{2}n_1 + 1, d]$ code with $d > 2$ can be obtained from

- a self-dual $[n_1, \frac{1}{2}n_1]$ code \tilde{C}_1 ,
- an $[n_1, \frac{1}{2}n_1 - 1]$ subcode C_1 of \tilde{C}_1 ,
- $y \in C_1^\perp$ with $\text{wt}(y)$ odd

Actually y and C_1 determine each other.

Special case: $n_2 = 2, C_2 = 0$

In practice one starts from a self-dual $[n_1, \frac{1}{2}n_1]$ code \tilde{C}_1

\tilde{C}_1	0 11
y	01

Then y determines C_1 as $\tilde{C}_1 \cap y^\perp$.

Alternatively, C_1 can be specified as a kernel of a nonzero linear mapping $\tilde{C}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$ (building-up method).

General case: $n_1 - 2k_1 = n_2 - 2k_2$

C_i : self-orthogonal $[n_i, k_i]$ code for $i = 1, 2$

C_1	0
0	C_2
C_1^\perp/C_1	C_2^\perp/C_2

Assume $\mathbf{1} \in C_1$, $\mathbf{1} \in C_2$ (so n_1 and n_2 are even). The induced scalar products on C_1^\perp/C_1 , C_2^\perp/C_2 are symplectic. A linear bijection

$$f : C_1^\perp/C_1 \rightarrow C_2^\perp/C_2$$

corresponds to an element of $\text{Sp}(2m, 2)$ ($2m = n_1 - 2k_1$)

$$|\text{Sp}(2m, 2)| = 2^{m^2} \prod_{i=1}^m (2^{2i} - 1).$$

General case: $n_1 - 2k_1 = n_2 - 2k_2$

C_i : self-orthogonal $[n_i, k_i]$ code for $i = 1, 2$

$f : C_1^\perp / C_1 \rightarrow C_2^\perp / C_2$

$C_f = \{(x|y) \mid x \in C_1^\perp, y \in f(x + C_1)\}$

$\sigma_i \in \text{Aut } C_i \implies \sigma_i \text{ induces } C_i^\perp / C_i \rightarrow C_i^\perp / C_i$

$\sigma_2 \circ f \circ \sigma_1 : C_1^\perp / C_1 \rightarrow C_2^\perp / C_2$

Then $C_f \cong C_{\sigma_2 \circ f \circ \sigma_1}$. This means that

$\{\text{isometries } f\} \rightarrow \{\text{self-dual codes obtained from } C_1, C_2\}$

induces

$\text{Aut } C_2 \setminus \text{Sp}(2m, 2) / \text{Aut } C_1$

$\rightarrow \{\text{self-dual codes obtained from } C_1, C_2\} / \cong .$

Theorem

Let C_i be a self-orthogonal $[n_i, k_i]$ code $\ni \mathbf{1}$ for $i = 1, 2$, and assume $n - 2k_1 = n_2 - 2k_2 = 2m$. Then there is a mapping from $\text{Aut } C_2 \setminus \text{Sp}(2m, 2) / \text{Aut } C_1$ to the set of equivalence classes of self-dual codes with generator matrix of the form

C_1	0
0	C_2
C_1^\perp / C_1	C_2^\perp / C_2

$\leftarrow f$

Doubly even version

$O^+(2m, 2) =$ orthogonal group.

Theorem

Let C_i be a **doubly even** $[n_i, k_i]$ code $\ni \mathbf{1}$ for $i = 1, 2$, and assume $n - 2k_1 = n_2 - 2k_2 = 2m$, $n_1 \equiv n_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$. Then there is a mapping from $\text{Aut } C_2 \setminus O^+(2m, 2) / \text{Aut } C_1$ to the set of equivalence classes of **doubly even** self-dual codes with generator matrix of the form

C_1	0
0	C_2
C_1^\perp / C_1	C_2^\perp / C_2

$\leftarrow f$

We now apply this theorem with $n_1 = 16$, $n_2 = 24$.

Doubly even self-dual $[40, 20, 8]$ codes

C_1 : doubly even $[16, k_1]$ code $\ni \mathbf{1}$

C_2 : doubly even $[24, k_2]$ code $\ni \mathbf{1}$

$$16 - 2k_1 = 24 - 2k_2 = 2m.$$

There is a mapping from $\text{Aut } C_2 \setminus O^+(2m, 2) / \text{Aut } C_1$ to the set of equivalence classes of doubly even self-dual codes with generator matrix of the form

C_1	0
0	C_2
C_1^\perp / C_1	C_2^\perp / C_2

Possible C_1, C_2 can easily be enumerated for all k_1, k_2 .

However ...

Doubly even self-dual $[40, 20, 8]$ codes

C_1 : doubly even $[16, k_1]$ code $\ni \mathbf{1}$

C_2 : doubly even $[24, k_2]$ code $\ni \mathbf{1}$

MAGMA could not compute $\text{Aut } C_2 \setminus O^+(2m, 2) / \text{Aut } C_1$
when $m \geq 6$.

Thus we need:

$$16 - 2k_1 = 24 - 2k_2 = 2m \leq 10,$$

or equivalently, $k_1 \geq 3$.

We obtain a classification of doubly even self-dual $[40, 20, 8]$ codes containing a $[16, \geq 3]$ code ($\ni \mathbf{1}$) as a shortened code. There are 16468 codes up to equivalence.

Doubly even self-dual $[40, 20, 8]$ codes

- King (2001) computed (without classifying) the total number of doubly even self-dual $[40, 20, 8]$ codes:

10263335567003567415076803513287627980544163840000000

- We found 16468 codes up to equivalence, whose total number is

10263328648423680225300693565121891639210557440000000

Slightly short of complete!

There is at least one doubly even self-dual $[40, 20, 8]$ code which does not contain $[16, \geq 3]$ code ($\ni 1$) as a shortened code.

16468+2 doubly even self-dual $[40, 20, 8]$ codes

Theorem

- 1 There are exactly **two** (up to equivalence) doubly even self-dual $[40, 20, 8]$ codes which do not contain $[16, \geq 3]$ code ($\ni 1$) as a shortened code.
- 2 There are 16470 (up to equivalence) doubly even self-dual $[40, 20, 8]$ codes.

Remark

- The **two** exceptional codes appeared already in the work of Yorgov (1983) and Yorgov–Zyapkov (1996).
- We have **no direct proof** of Part 1 of the above theorem.
- Similar consideration played an important role in the proof (by computer) of the uniqueness of doubly even self-dual $[48, 24, 12]$ code by Houghten–Lam–Thiel–Parker (2003).