

A matrix approach to Yang multiplication, I

Akihiro Munemasa
Tohoku University

July 24, 2017
International Conference and
PhD-Master Summer School
“Groups and Graphs, Metrics and Manifolds”
Ural Federal University

About this talk

Part I:

- Hadamard's inequality
- Hadamard matrices and generalizations
- Constructions of Hadamard matrices
- Quaternions and Lagrange's identity
- Yang's generalization of Lagrange's identity
- Yang's theorem

Part II:

- Complementary sequences
- A Laurent polynomial associated to a sequence
- A two-variable Laurent polynomial associated to a matrix
- A new proof of Yang's theorem using matrices

Hadamard's inequality for an $n \times n$ matrix X

$$\det(X) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \prod_{i=1}^n x_{i,\sigma(i)}.$$

This is a polynomial function in n^2 variables x_{ij} .

The function $\det : [-1, 1]^{n^2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ takes maxima and minima, but they are not fully understood.

This is **not** a problem in multivariable calculus, rather, a combinatorial problem.

\det is linear in each variable,

\implies maxima and minima occur at end points

\implies enough to consider

$$\det : \{-1, 1\}^{n^2} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}.$$

$$\mathbf{X} \in \{-1, 1\}^{n \times n}$$

Let $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}^\top$. Then $G_{ii} = n$. Let

$$\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n \geq 0.$$

be the eigenvalues of \mathbf{G} . Then by the arithmetic-geometric mean,

$$\begin{aligned} \det(\mathbf{X})^2 = \det \mathbf{G} &= \prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \leq \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \right)^n \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{n} \operatorname{tr} \mathbf{G} \right)^n = \left(\frac{1}{n} n^2 \right)^n = n^n. \end{aligned}$$

$$|\det \mathbf{X}| \leq n^{n/2} \quad \text{with equality iff } \mathbf{G} = n\mathbf{I},$$

or equivalently, rows of \mathbf{X} are pairwise orthogonal.

Hadamard matrices

A matrix $H \in \{-1, 1\}^{n \times n}$ is called a **Hadamard matrix** if $HH^T = nI$.

Examples (Sylvester matrices):

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \dots$$

For $n = 3$:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \pm 1 & \pm 1 & \pm 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

impossible. In fact, $4 \mid n$ is necessary:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \dots 1 & 1 \dots 1 & 1 \dots 1 & 1 \dots 1 \\ 1 \dots 1 & 1 \dots 1 & -1 \dots -1 & -1 \dots -1 \\ 1 \dots 1 & -1 \dots -1 & 1 \dots 1 & -1 \dots -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The Hadamard conjecture

If a Hadamard matrix of order n exists, then $n = 1, 2$ or $4 \mid n$.
Conversely,

Conjecture

$$4 \mid n \implies \exists \text{Hadamard matrix of order } n.$$

Before proceeding further into this combinatorial problem, let me digress into topology.

Complex Hadamard matrices

Instead of

$$\det : \{-1, 1\}^{n^2} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z},$$

consider

$$\det : (S^1)^{n^2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C},$$

where $S^1 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid z\bar{z} = 1\}$.

With $G = XX^*$, $X \in (S^1)^{n \times n}$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\det(X)|^2 = \det G &= \prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \leq \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \right)^n \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{n} \operatorname{tr} G \right)^n = \left(\frac{1}{n} n^2 \right)^n = n^n. \end{aligned}$$

Equality holds iff rows of X are pairwise orthogonal.

Complex Hadamard matrices

A matrix $H \in (S^1)^{n \times n}$ is called a **complex Hadamard matrix** if $HH^* = nI$.

Examples: (ordinary) Hadamard matrices, the character tables of abelian groups.

What is

$\{H \in (S^1)^{n \times n} \mid HH^* = nI\} / \left(\begin{array}{l} \text{left and right multiplication} \\ \text{by monomial matrices} \end{array} \right)$,

for $n \geq 6$?

The 5th workshop on Real and Complex Hadamard Matrices and Applications, **10–14 July, 2017**, Budapest.

Inverse orthogonal matrices and spin models

A matrix $\mathbf{H} \in (\mathbb{C}^\times)^{n \times n}$ is called an **inverse-orthogonal matrix** if $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{H}^{(-1)})^\top = n\mathbf{I}$, where

$$\mathbf{H}^{(-1)} = \text{elementwise inverse of } \mathbf{H}.$$

Complex Hadamard \implies inverse-orthogonal.

Jones (1989) defined a “**spin model**” which is a special class of inverse-orthogonal matrices.

Jaeger (1992) “Strongly regular graphs and spin models...”:

Higman-Sims (sporadic finite **simple group** \rightarrow strongly regular **graph** \rightarrow spin **model**).

Jaeger (1996), Jaeger-Matsumoto-Nomura (1998): spin models \rightarrow association schemes

Back to real Hadamard matrices

Conjecture

$$4 \mid n \implies \exists \text{Hadamard matrix of order } n.$$

- If H_1 and H_2 are Hadamard matrices, then so is $H_1 \otimes H_2$.
- In particular, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a Hadamard matrix of order 2^n .
- Paley (1933): if $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ is a prime, then there exists a *skew* Hadamard matrix H of order $p + 1$ such that $H + H^T = 2I$.

Yet we do not know

$$\liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\{n \mid 1 \leq n \leq N, \exists \text{Hadamard matrix of order } n\}|}{N} > 0.$$

Symmetric regular Hadamard matrices

A Hadamard matrix is said to be **regular** if it has constant row and column sums.

Theorem (Goethals-Seidel (1970))

*Symmetric **regular** Hadamard matrices with constant diagonal are equivalent to strongly regular graphs with Latin square or negative Latin square parameters:*

$$(v, k, \lambda, \mu) = (4m^2, m(2m \pm 1), \\ (m \pm 1)(m \pm 2) \mp 2m - 2, m(m \pm 1)).$$

Circulant Hadamard matrices

Cyclic symmetry:

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

is a **circulant** Hadamard matrix.

Conjecture

There is no circulant Hadamard matrix of order $n > 4$.

2×2 block matrices, dihedral group

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ -b & a \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ -B & A \end{bmatrix}? \quad (A(-B)^\top + BA^\top = 0?)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & BR \\ -BR & A \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & A(-BR)^\top + (BR)A^\top \\ &= -ARB^\top + BRA^\top && \text{if } R = R^\top, \\ &= -ABR + BAR && \text{if } BR = RB^\top, AR = RA^\top \\ &= 0 && \text{if } AB = BA. \end{aligned}$$

Goethals-Seidel (1970)

Let

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{BR} & \mathbf{CR} & \mathbf{DR} \\ -\mathbf{BR} & \mathbf{A} & -\mathbf{D}^\top \mathbf{R} & \mathbf{C}^\top \mathbf{R} \\ -\mathbf{CR} & \mathbf{D}^\top \mathbf{R} & \mathbf{A} & -\mathbf{B}^\top \mathbf{R} \\ -\mathbf{DR} & -\mathbf{C}^\top \mathbf{R} & \mathbf{B}^\top \mathbf{R} & \mathbf{A} \end{bmatrix}, \quad R = \begin{bmatrix} & & & 1 \\ & & \cdot & \\ & \cdot & & \\ 1 & & & \end{bmatrix}$$

If $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D}$ are circulant and

$$\mathbf{AA}^\top + \mathbf{BB}^\top + \mathbf{CC}^\top + \mathbf{DD}^\top = 4n\mathbf{I},$$

then rows of \mathbf{H} are pairwise orthogonal.

A Hadamard matrix of order $4n$ has $(4n)^2$ entries, while four circulant matrices $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D}$ can be specified only by a total of $4n$ entries.

Quaternions

Goethals-Seidel array:

$$Y = \begin{bmatrix} A & BR & CR & DR \\ -BR & A & -D^T R & C^T R \\ -CR & D^T R & A & -B^T R \\ -DR & -C^T R & B^T R & A \end{bmatrix}$$
$$Y = \begin{bmatrix} a & b & c & d \\ -b & a & -d & c \\ -c & d & a & -b \\ -d & -c & b & a \end{bmatrix} = a1 + bi + cj + dk$$

$$i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = -1,$$

$$ij = -ji = k, \quad jk = -kj = i, \quad ki = -ik = j.$$

$$\det Y = (a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2)^2 = |a1 + bi + cj + dk|^4.$$

Quaternions

$$\mathbb{H} = \{a\mathbf{1} + b\mathbf{i} + c\mathbf{j} + d\mathbf{k} \mid a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

$$i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = -1,$$

$$ij = -ji = k, \quad jk = -kj = i, \quad ki = -ik = j.$$

For $Y = a\mathbf{1} + b\mathbf{i} + c\mathbf{j} + d\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{H}$, define the **norm** by

$$|Y| = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2}.$$

Then

$$|YZ| = |Y||Z| \quad (Y, Z \in \mathbb{H}).$$

$$Y = a\mathbf{1} + b\mathbf{i} + c\mathbf{j} + d\mathbf{k},$$

$$Z = e\mathbf{1} + f\mathbf{i} + g\mathbf{j} + h\mathbf{k},$$

$$YZ = q\mathbf{1} + r\mathbf{i} + s\mathbf{j} + t\mathbf{k},$$

$$q^2 + r^2 + s^2 + t^2 = (a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2)(e^2 + f^2 + g^2 + h^2).$$

Lagrange's identity

Hamilton (1843); Lagrange (1770)

$$Y = a1 + bi + cj + dk,$$

$$Z = e1 + fi + gj + hk,$$

$$YZ = q1 + ri + sj + tk.$$

$$q^2 + r^2 + s^2 + t^2 = (a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2)(e^2 + f^2 + g^2 + h^2).$$

$$q = ae - bf - cg - dh,$$

$$r = af + be + ch - dg,$$

$$s = ag - bh + ce + df,$$

$$t = ah + bg - cf + de.$$

Every natural number is a sum of four integer squares.

Generalization of Lagrange identity by Yang (1983)

$$q^2 + r^2 + s^2 + t^2 = (a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2)(e^2 + f^2 + g^2 + h^2).$$

$$q = ae - bf - cg - dh,$$

$$r = af + be + ch - dg,$$

$$s = ag - bh + ce + df,$$

$$t = ah + bg - cf + de.$$

In a commutative ring with automorphism $*$ satisfying $*^2 = \text{id}$, replace x^2 by xx^* for $x \in \{a, b, \dots, t\}$, to get

$$\begin{aligned} & qq^* + rr^* + ss^* + tt^* \\ &= (aa^* + bb^* + cc^* + dd^*)(ee^* + ff^* + gg^* + hh^*). \end{aligned}$$

Generalization of Lagrange identity by Yang (1983)

$$qq^* + rr^* + ss^* + tt^* \\ = (aa^* + bb^* + cc^* + dd^*)(ee^* + ff^* + gg^* + hh^*)$$

if

$$q = ae - bf - cg - dh \rightarrow a^*e - b^*f - c^*g - d^*h \\ r = af + be + ch - dg \rightarrow a^*f + b^*e + ch - dg \\ s = ag - bh + ce + df \rightarrow a^*g - bh + c^*e + df \\ t = ah + bg - cf + de \rightarrow ah^* + bg - cf + d^*e$$

Yang used this for the Laurent polynomial ring $\mathbb{Z}[x^{\pm 1}]$ with $* : x \mapsto x^{-1}$.

Composition of $\{\pm 1\}$ -sequences: a method to produce long sequences from short ones.

a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h are “nice” $\{\pm 1\}$ -sequences

$\implies q, r, s, t$ can be used to build circulant matrices

$$A, B, C, D \text{ with } AA^T + BB^T + CC^T + DD^T = 4nI$$

\implies (Goethals-Seidel array) Hadamard matrix

The proof is constructive but it has no explanation. We expanded the original proof (9 lines) to a 9 page paper (arXiv:1705.05062v2), which will be explained in detail in my second talk.