

Extremal Lattices and Spherical Designs

Akihiro Munemasa

Graduate School of Information Sciences
Tohoku University
Japan

(joint work with Boris Venkov)

July 21, 2004

Pusan National University

Venkov's Theorem (1984)

Let $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^{24n}$ be an **extremal even unimodular lattice**.

$$X = \{x \in \Lambda \mid (x, x) = 2n + 2\}.$$

Then X is a **spherical 11-design** (after rescaling).

Example: in \mathbb{R}^{24} , the **Leech lattice** has 196,560 shortest vectors, which form a **tight 11-design** after scaling.

Theorem 1 (Bannai–Sloane, 1981). *Every tight spherical 11-design in \mathbb{R}^{24} is equivalent to the example above.*

Definition of a Spherical Design

A **spherical t -design** X is a finite subset of the unit sphere $S^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ s.t.

$$\frac{\int_{S^{n-1}} f d\mu}{\int_{S^{n-1}} 1 d\mu} = \frac{1}{|X|} \sum_{x \in X} f(x)$$

holds for any polynomial $f(x)$ of degree $\leq t$.

If X is a spherical **$(2s + 1)$ -design** in \mathbb{R}^n with $X = -X$, then

$$|X| \geq 2 \binom{n-1+s}{s}.$$

X is said to be **tight** if equality holds.

Strategy

X : tight spherical 11-design in the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^{24}

\implies

$$|X| = 2 \binom{24 - 1 + 5}{5} = 196,560.$$

$$\implies (x, y) \in \{\pm 1, \pm \frac{1}{2}, \pm \frac{1}{4}, 0\}.$$

How can one use the fact that X is a spherical design?

$$\frac{1}{|X|} \sum_{x \in X} f(x) = \frac{\int_{S^{23}} f d\mu}{\int_{S^{23}} 1 d\mu}$$

holds for any polynomial $f(x)$ of degree at most 11.

Take $f(x) = (\alpha, x)^2$, with $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{24}$, $\alpha \neq 0$.

$$\frac{1}{|X|} \sum_{x \in X} (\alpha, x)^2 = \frac{(\alpha, \alpha)}{24}.$$

Lattice

- A **lattice** is a \mathbb{Z} -submodule of \mathbb{R}^n of rank n containing a basis of \mathbb{R}^n .
- A lattice Λ is called **integral** if $\forall x, y \in \Lambda, (x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- The **dual lattice** Λ^* of an integral lattice Λ is

$$\Lambda^* = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid (x, y) \in \mathbb{Z} \forall y \in \Lambda\} \supset \Lambda.$$

and $|\Lambda^* : \Lambda| < \infty$.

- An integral lattice Λ is called **even** if $(x, x) \in 2\mathbb{Z} \forall x \in \Lambda$.
- An integral lattice Λ is called **unimodular** if $\Lambda = \Lambda^*$.

Strategy

X : spherical 11-design, $X = -X$,
 $(x, y) \in \{\pm 1, \pm \frac{1}{2}, \pm \frac{1}{4}, 0\}$.

The lattice $\Lambda = 2\mathbb{Z}X$ is **even**, since it is integral and is generated by vectors of **even** norm.

Theorem 2 (Conway). *The Leech lattice is the unique even unimodular lattice of dimension 24 with minimum norm 4.*

$$\min \Lambda = \min\{(x, x) \mid 0 \neq x \in \Lambda\}.$$

We wish to prove $\Lambda = 2\mathbb{Z}X$ is **unimodular** and Λ has **minimum norm 4**.

Strategy

X : spherical 11-design, $X = -X$,
 $2X \ni \forall x, y, (x, x) = 4, (x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}$.

$$\frac{1}{|X|} \sum_{x \in X} f(x) = \frac{\int_{S^{23}} f d\mu}{\int_{S^{23}} 1 d\mu}$$

holds for any polynomial $f(x)$ of degree at most **11**.
Take $f(x) = (\alpha, x)^{2j}$, with $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{24}$, $j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$.

$$\sum_{x \in X} (\alpha, x)^{2j} = |X| \frac{(2j - 1)!! (\alpha, \alpha)^j}{24 \cdot 26 \cdots (24 + 2j - 2)}$$

holds for $j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$.

Strategy

$$\sum_{x \in 2X} (\alpha, x)^{2j} = |X| \frac{4^j (2j-1)!! (\alpha, \alpha)^j}{24 \cdot 26 \cdots (24 + 2j - 2)}$$

holds for $j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$.

Take $\alpha \in \Lambda^* = (2\mathbb{Z}X)^*$. Then $(\alpha, x) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $x \in 2X$,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} n_k k^{2j} = |X| \frac{(2j-1)!! 4^j (\alpha, \alpha)^j}{24 \cdot 26 \cdots (24 + 2j - 2)}$$

holds for $j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$, where

$$n_k = |\{x \in 2X \mid (\alpha, x) = \pm k\}| \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots).$$

System of Linear Equations

X : spherical 11-design, $X = -X$,
 $2X \ni \forall x, y, (x, x) = 4, (x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}$.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2^2 & 3^2 & \cdots \\ 1 & 2^4 & 3^4 & \cdots \\ 1 & 2^6 & 3^6 & \cdots \\ 1 & 2^8 & 3^8 & \cdots \\ 1 & 2^{10} & 3^{10} & \cdots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} n_1 \\ n_2 \\ n_3 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c_1(m) \\ c_2(m) \\ c_3(m) \\ c_4(m) \\ c_5(m) \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$c_j(m) = |X| \frac{(2j-1)!! 4^j m^j}{24 \cdot 26 \cdots (24 + 2j - 2)},$$

$$m = (\alpha, \alpha),$$

$$n_k = |\{x \in 2X \mid (\alpha, x) = \pm k\}|$$

Trick

X : spherical 11-design, $X = -X$,
 $2X \ni \forall x, y, (x, x) = 4, (x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}. \Lambda = 2\mathbb{Z}X$.
Take $\alpha \in \Lambda^*$ in such a way that

$$m = (\alpha, \alpha) = \min\{(\beta, \beta) \mid 0 \neq \beta \in \alpha + \Lambda\}.$$

Then $|(\alpha, x)| \leq 2 \quad \forall x \in 2X$, unless $\alpha \in 2X$.

Indeed, since $(x, x) = 4$,

$(\alpha, x) \geq 3 \quad (\exists x \in 2X \subset \Lambda) \implies \alpha - x \in \alpha + \Lambda$ and

$$(\alpha - x, \alpha - x) = (\alpha, \alpha) - 2(\alpha, x) + (x, x)$$

$$\leq (\alpha, \alpha) - 2 \cdot 3 + 4$$

$$< (\alpha, \alpha).$$

Trick

X : spherical 11-design, $X = -X$,
 $2X \ni \forall x, y, (x, x) = 4, (x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}. \Lambda = 2\mathbb{Z}X$.
Take $\alpha \in \Lambda^*$ in such a way that

$$m = (\alpha, \alpha) = \min\{(\beta, \beta) \mid 0 \neq \beta \in \alpha + \Lambda\}.$$

Then $|(\alpha, x)| \leq 2 \quad \forall x \in 2X$, unless $\alpha \in 2X$.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2^2 \\ 1 & 2^4 \\ 1 & 2^6 \\ 1 & 2^8 \\ 1 & 2^{10} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} n_1 \\ n_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c_1(m) \\ c_2(m) \\ c_3(m) \\ c_4(m) \\ c_5(m) \end{pmatrix}$$

Conclusion

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2^2 \\ 1 & 2^4 \\ 1 & 2^6 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} n_1 \\ n_2 \end{pmatrix} = |X|m \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{6} \\ \frac{m}{13} \\ \frac{5m^2}{91} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$m^2 - 7m + \frac{182}{15} = 0 \implies m \notin \mathbb{Q}.$$

But $m = (\alpha, \alpha)$, $\alpha \in \Lambda^*$, $k = |\Lambda^* : \Lambda| < \infty$

$$\implies k\alpha \in \Lambda \implies (k\alpha, k\alpha) \in \mathbb{Z} \implies m = (\alpha, \alpha) \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

Conclusion:

$$\alpha \in \Lambda^* : \text{minimal in } \alpha + \Lambda, \alpha \notin 2X \implies \text{contradiction}$$

This implies $\Lambda^* = \Lambda$

$X =$ shortest vectors of Λ

$$\implies \Lambda = \text{Leech lattice.}$$

Observation

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2^2 \\ 1 & 2^4 \\ 1 & 2^6 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} n_1 \\ n_2 \end{pmatrix} = |X|m \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{6} \\ \frac{m}{13} \\ \frac{5m^2}{91} \end{pmatrix}$$

- $|X|$ is not important.
- Suffices to assume X is a spherical 6-design (equivalently, 7-design, since $X = -X$).

Theorem 3. *Let X be a spherical 7-design in \mathbb{R}^{24} with $X = -X$, $4(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z} \forall x, y \in X$. Then $2X$ coincides with the 196,560 shortest vectors of the Leech lattice.*

Corollary 1 (Bannai–Sloane, 1981). *A tight spherical 11-design in \mathbb{R}^{24} is unique.*

Extremal Lattices

An even unimodular lattice $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^{24n}$ is called **extremal** if $\min \Lambda = 2n + 2$.

Examples:

- $24n = 24$, $\min \Lambda = 4$: the Leech lattice.
- $24n = 48$, $\min \Lambda = 6$: three lattices known.
- $24n = 72$, $\min \Lambda = 8$: no lattices known.
- $24n \geq 96$, $\min \Lambda = 2n + 2$: no lattices known.

Venkov's theorem implies that we always have a spherical 11-design.

Dimension 48

Theorem 4. $\mathbb{R}^{48} \supset X$: spherical 9-design, $X \ni \forall x, y$, $6(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\implies \Lambda = \sqrt{6}\mathbb{Z}X$ = an extremal lattice, $\sqrt{6}X$ = the set of shortest vectors of Λ .

Proof. $\alpha \in \Lambda^*$: minimal in $\alpha + \Lambda$, $m = (\alpha, \alpha)$.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2^2 & 3^2 \\ 1 & 2^4 & 3^4 \\ 1 & 2^6 & 3^6 \\ 1 & 2^8 & 3^8 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} n_1 \\ n_2 \\ n_3 \end{pmatrix} = |X|m \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2(m) \\ c_3(m) \\ c_4(m) \end{pmatrix}$$

\implies an irreducible cubic equation in m . □

Remark 1. Such a design is necessarily a spherical 11-design by Venkov's theorem. There are three extremal lattices of dimension 48 known.

Dimension 72

Theorem 5. $\mathbb{R}^{72} \supset X$: spherical 11-design, $X \ni \forall x, y$,
 $8(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\implies \Lambda = \sqrt{8}\mathbb{Z}X$ = an extremal lattice, $\sqrt{8}X$ =
the set of shortest vectors of Λ .

Proof. $\alpha \in \Lambda^*$: minimal in $\alpha + \Lambda$, $m = (\alpha, \alpha)$.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2^2 & 3^2 & 4^2 \\ 1 & 2^4 & 3^4 & 4^4 \\ 1 & 2^6 & 3^6 & 4^6 \\ 1 & 2^8 & 3^8 & 4^8 \\ 1 & 2^{10} & 3^{10} & 4^{10} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} n_1 \\ n_2 \\ n_3 \\ n_4 \end{pmatrix} = |X|m \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2(m) \\ c_3(m) \\ c_4(m) \\ c_5(m) \end{pmatrix}$$

\implies an irreducible quartic equation in m . □

Problem 1. Does there exist an extremal even unimodular lattice of dimension 72?

Binary Code Analogues

spherical $(2t + 1)$ -design

integral lattice

unimodular lattice

Venkov's theorem

Leech lattice

tight 11-design in \mathbb{R}^{24}

extremal lattice in \mathbb{R}^{48}

spherical 11-design in \mathbb{R}^{48}

spherical 11-design in \mathbb{R}^{72}

t -design

binary self-orthogonal code

binary self-dual code

Assmus–Mattson theorem

extended binary Golay code

$S(5, 8, 24)$

extended binary quadratic residue

code of length 48

self-orthogonal 5-(48, 12, 8) design

self-orthogonal 5-(72, 16, 78) design

Binary Code Analogues

Let X be (the set of blocks of) a 5-design which is **likely to be** derived from a putative extremal doubly even self-dual $[72, 36, 16]$ code.

- $\forall x \in X, \text{wt}(x) = 16.$
- $\forall x, y \in X, (x, y) = 0$ (self-orthogonal).
- $|X| = 249849.$

Theorem 6 (Harada–Kitazume–Munemasa, 2004). *The set X coincides with the set of vectors of weight 16 in an extremal doubly even self-dual $[72, 36, 16]$ code.*

An analogous result for length 48 was obtained by Harada–Munemasa–Tonchev (preprint, 2004).