

## May 9, 2016

For today's lecture, we let  $V$  be a finite-dimensional vector space over  $\mathbf{R}$ , with positive-definite inner product. Recall that for  $0 \neq \alpha \in V$ ,  $s_\alpha \in O(V)$  denotes the reflection

$$s_\alpha(\lambda) = \lambda - \frac{2(\lambda, \alpha)}{(\alpha, \alpha)}\alpha \quad (\lambda \in V). \quad (24)$$

**Lemma 12.** For  $t \in O(V)$  and  $0 \neq \alpha \in V$ , we have  $ts_\alpha t^{-1} = s_{t\alpha}$ .

*Proof.* For  $\lambda \in V$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} ts_\alpha(\lambda) &= t \left( \lambda - \frac{2(\lambda, \alpha)}{(\alpha, \alpha)}\alpha \right) && \text{(by (24))} \\ &= t\lambda - \frac{2(\lambda, \alpha)}{(\alpha, \alpha)}t\alpha \\ &= t\lambda - \frac{2(t\lambda, t\alpha)}{(t\alpha, t\alpha)}t\alpha \\ &= s_{t\alpha}(t\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

This implies  $ts_\alpha = s_{t\alpha}t$ , and the result follows.  $\square$

For example, if  $s_\alpha$  is a reflection in a dihedral group  $G$ , and  $t \in G$  is a rotation, then  $s_\alpha$  and  $t$  are not necessarily commutative, but rotating before reflecting can be compensated by reflecting with respect to another line afterwards.

**Proposition 13.** Let  $W \subset O(V)$  be a finite reflection group, and let  $0 \neq \alpha \in V$ . If  $w, s_\alpha \in W$ , then  $s_{w\alpha} \in W$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 12, we have  $s_{w\alpha} = ws_\alpha w^{-1} \in W$ .  $\square$

**Definition 14.** Let  $\Phi$  be a nonempty finite set of nonzero vectors in  $V$ . We say that  $\Phi$  is a *root system* if

$$(R1) \quad \Phi \cap \mathbf{R}\alpha = \{\alpha, -\alpha\} \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Phi,$$

$$(R2) \quad s_\alpha\Phi = \Phi \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Phi.$$

**Proposition 15.** Let  $\Phi$  be a root system in  $V$ . Then the subgroup

$$W(\Phi) = \langle s_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Phi \rangle$$

of  $O(V)$  is a finite reflection group. Moreover,  $W(\Phi)$  is essential if and only if  $\Phi$  spans  $V$ . Conversely, for every finite reflection group  $W \subset O(V)$ , there exists a root system  $\Phi \subset V$  such that  $W = W(\Phi)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\Phi \neq \emptyset$ , the group  $W(\Phi)$  contains at least one reflection. In particular,  $W(\Phi) \neq \{\text{id}_V\}$ . By construction,  $W$  is generated by reflections. In order to show that  $W$  is finite, let  $U$  be the subspace of  $V$  spanned by  $\Phi$ . Since  $U^\perp \subset (\mathbf{R}\alpha)^\perp$  for all  $\alpha \in \Phi$ , we have  $s_\alpha(\lambda) = \lambda$  for all  $\alpha \in \Phi$  and  $\lambda \in U^\perp$ . This implies that

$$w|_{U^\perp} = \text{id}_{U^\perp} \quad (w \in W). \quad (25)$$

In particular,  $W$  leaves  $U^\perp$  invariant. Since  $W \subset O(V)$ ,  $W$  also leaves  $U$  invariant. We can form the restriction homomorphism  $W \rightarrow O(U)$  which is injective. Indeed, if an element  $w \in W$  is in the kernel of the restriction homomorphism, then  $w|_U = \text{id}_U$ . Together with (25), we see  $w = \text{id}_V$ . By (R2),  $W$  permutes the finite set  $\Phi$ , hence there is a homomorphism  $f$  from  $W$  to the symmetric group on  $\Phi$ . An element  $w \in \text{Ker } f$  fixes every element of  $\Phi$ , in particular, a basis of  $U$ . This implies that  $w$  is in the kernel of the restriction homomorphism, and hence  $w = \text{id}_V$ . We have shown that  $f$  is an injection from  $W$  to the symmetric group of  $\Phi$  which is finite. Therefore  $W$  is finite. This completes the proof of the first part.

Moreover,  $W(\Phi)$  is not essential if and only if there exists a nonzero vector  $\lambda \in V$  such that  $t\lambda = \lambda$  for all  $t \in W(\Phi)$ . Since  $W(\Phi)$  is generated by  $\{s_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Phi\}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} t\lambda = \lambda \quad (\forall t \in W(\Phi)) &\iff s_\alpha\lambda = \lambda \quad (\forall \alpha \in \Phi) \\ &\iff (\lambda, \alpha) = 0 \quad (\forall \alpha \in \Phi) \\ &\iff \lambda \in U^\perp. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $W(\Phi)$  is not essential if and only if  $U^\perp \neq 0$ , or equivalently,  $\Phi$  does not span  $V$ .

Conversely, let  $W \subset O(V)$  be a finite reflection group, and let  $S$  be the set of all reflections of  $W$ . By Definition 8(iii),  $W$  is generated by  $S$ . Define

$$\Phi = \{\alpha \in V \mid s_\alpha \in S, \|\alpha\| = 1\}. \quad (26)$$

Observe

$$S = \{s_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Phi\}. \quad (27)$$

We claim that  $\Phi$  is a root system. First, since  $W \neq \{\text{id}_V\}$ , we have  $\Phi \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $\alpha \in \Phi$ . Since  $s_\alpha = s_{-\alpha}$  and  $\|\alpha\| = \|-\alpha\|$ , we see that  $\Phi$  satisfies (R1). For  $\beta \in \Phi$ , we have  $\|s_\alpha(\beta)\| = \|\beta\| = 1$ , and  $s_\alpha(\beta) \in W$  by Proposition 13, since  $s_\alpha, s_\beta \in W$ . This implies  $s_\alpha(\beta) \in \Phi$ , and hence  $s_\alpha(\Phi) = \Phi$ . Therefore,  $\Phi$  is a root system. It remains to show that  $W = W(\Phi)$ . But this follows immediately from (27) since  $W = \langle S \rangle$ .  $\square$

**Example 16.** We have seen that the group  $G_n$  generated by reflections

$$\{s_{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j} \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}, \quad (28)$$

where  $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n$  is the standard basis of  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , is a finite reflection group which is abstractly isomorphic to the symmetric group of degree  $n$ . The set

$$\Phi = \{\pm(\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j) \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n\} \quad (29)$$

is a root system. Indeed,  $\Phi$  clearly satisfies (R1). It is also clear that  $g_\sigma\Phi = \Phi$  for all  $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n$ , so in particular, (R2) holds.

**Exercise 17.** Show that (28) is precisely the set of reflections in  $G_n$ . In other words, show that  $g_\sigma$  is a reflection if and only if  $\sigma$  is a transposition.

**Definition 18.** A *total ordering* of  $V$  is a transitive relation on  $V$  (denoted  $<$ ) satisfying the following axioms.

- (i) For each pair  $\lambda, \mu \in V$ , exactly one of  $\lambda < \mu$ ,  $\lambda = \mu$ ,  $\mu < \lambda$  holds.
- (ii) For all  $\lambda, \mu, \nu \in V$ ,  $\mu < \nu$  implies  $\lambda + \mu < \lambda + \nu$ .
- (iii) Let  $\mu < \nu$  and  $c \in \mathbf{R}$ . If  $c > 0$  then  $c\mu < c\nu$ , and if  $c < 0$  then  $c\nu < c\mu$ .

For convenience, we write  $\lambda > \mu$  if  $\mu < \lambda$ . By (ii),  $\lambda > 0$  implies  $0 > -\lambda$ . Thus

$$V = V_+ \cup \{0\} \cup V_- \quad (\text{disjoint}), \quad (30)$$

where

$$V_+ = \{\lambda \in V \mid \lambda > 0\}, \quad (31)$$

$$V_- = \{\lambda \in V \mid \lambda < 0\}. \quad (32)$$

We say that  $\lambda \in V_+$  is *positive*, and  $\lambda \in V_-$  is *negative*.

**Example 19.** Let  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  be a basis of  $V$ . Define the lexicographic ordering of  $V$  with respect to  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  by

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \lambda_i < \sum_{i=1}^n b_i \lambda_i \iff \exists k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}, a_1 = b_1, \dots, a_{k-1} = b_{k-1}, a_k < b_k.$$

Clearly, this is a total ordering of  $V$ . Note that  $\lambda_i > 0$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . For  $n = 2$ , we have

$$V_+ = \{c_1 \lambda_1 + c_2 \lambda_2 \mid c_1 > 0, c_2 \in \mathbf{R}\} \cup \{c_2 \lambda_2 \mid c_2 > 0\}.$$

**Lemma 20.** Let  $<$  be a total ordering of  $V$ , and let  $\lambda, \mu \in V$ .

- (i) If  $\lambda, \mu > 0$ , then  $\lambda + \mu > 0$ .
- (ii) If  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $c \in \mathbf{R}$  and  $c > 0$ , then  $c\lambda > 0$ .
- (iii) If  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $c \in \mathbf{R}$  and  $c < 0$ , then  $c\lambda < 0$ . In particular,  $-\lambda < 0$ .

*Proof.* (i) By Definition 18(ii), we have  $\lambda + \mu > \lambda > 0$ .

(ii) By Definition 18(iii), we have  $c\lambda > c \cdot 0 = 0$ .

(iii) By Definition 18(iii), we have  $c\lambda < c \cdot 0 = 0$ . Taking  $c = -1$  gives the second statement.  $\square$

**Definition 21.** Let  $\Phi$  be a root system in  $V$ . A subset  $\Pi$  of  $\Phi$  is called a *positive system* if there exists a total ordering  $<$  of  $V$  such that

$$\Pi = \{\alpha \in \Phi \mid \alpha > 0\}. \quad (33)$$

Since a total ordering of  $V$  always exists by Example 19, and every total ordering of  $V$  defines a positive system of a root system  $\Phi$  in  $V$ , according to Definition 21, there are many positive systems in  $\Phi$ .

**Example 22.** Continuing Example 16, let  $<$  be the total ordering defined by the basis  $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n$ . Then  $\varepsilon_i > \varepsilon_j$  if  $i < j$ . Thus, according to (33),

$$\Pi = \{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}.$$

**Lemma 23.** *If  $\Pi$  is a positive system in a root system  $\Phi$ , then  $\Phi = \Pi \cup (-\Pi)$  (disjoint), where*

$$-\Pi = \{-\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Pi\}. \quad (34)$$

*In particular,*

$$-\Pi = \{\alpha \in \Phi \mid \alpha < 0\}. \quad (35)$$

*Proof.* We have

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi \cap (-\Pi) &= \emptyset && \text{(by Lemma 20(iii)),} \\ \Pi &\subset \Phi && \text{(by Definition 21),} \\ -\Pi &\subset \Phi && \text{(by Definition 14(R1)).} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, it remains to show  $\Phi \subset \Pi \cup (-\Pi)$ . Suppose  $\alpha \in \Phi \setminus \Pi$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \notin \Pi &\implies \alpha \not> 0 && \text{(by (33))} \\ &\implies \alpha < 0 && \text{(since } 0 \notin \Phi) \\ &\implies 0 < -\alpha && \text{(by Definition 18(ii))} \\ &\implies -\alpha \in \Pi && \text{(by (33))} \\ &\implies \alpha \in -\Pi && \text{(by (34)).} \end{aligned}$$

This proves  $\Phi \setminus \Pi \subset (-\Pi)$ , proving  $\Phi \subset \Pi \cup (-\Pi)$ .

Since  $\Phi = \Pi \cup (-\Pi)$  (disjoint) and  $0 \notin \Phi$ , (33) implies (35).  $\square$

**Definition 24.** Let  $\Pi$  be a positive system in a root system  $\Phi$ . We call  $-\Pi$  defined by (34) the *negative system* in  $\Phi$  with respect to  $\Pi$ .

**Definition 25.** Let  $\Delta$  be a subset of a root system  $\Phi$ . We call  $\Delta$  a *simple system* if  $\Delta$  is a basis of the subspace spanned by  $\Phi$ , and if moreover each  $\alpha \in \Phi$  is a linear combination of  $\Delta$  with coefficients all of the same sign (all nonnegative or all nonpositive). In other words,

$$\Phi \subset \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}\Delta \cup \mathbf{R}_{\leq 0}\Delta, \quad (36)$$

where

$$\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}\Delta = \left\{ \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} c_\alpha \alpha \mid c_\alpha \geq 0 (\alpha \in \Delta) \right\}.$$

If  $\Delta$  is a simple system, we call its elements *simple roots*.

**Example 26.** Continuing Example 22,

$$\Delta = \{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_{i+1} \mid 1 \leq i < n\} \quad (37)$$

is a simple system. Indeed, for  $\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j \in \Phi$ , we have

$$\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j = \begin{cases} \sum_{k=i}^{j-1} (\varepsilon_k - \varepsilon_{k+1}) \in \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0} \Delta & \text{if } i < j, \\ \sum_{k=j}^{i-1} -(\varepsilon_k - \varepsilon_{k+1}) \in \mathbf{R}_{\leq 0} \Delta & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$