

May 16, 2016

For today's lecture, we let V be a finite-dimensional vector space over \mathbf{R} , with positive-definite inner product.

Recall that a total ordering $<$ of V partitions V into three parts

$$V = V_+ \cup \{0\} \cup (-V_+),$$

such that

$$V_+ + V_+ \subset V_+, \quad (38)$$

$$\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}V_+ \subset V_+ \cup \{0\}. \quad (39)$$

Lemma 27. *Let Δ be a finite set of nonzero vectors in V_+ . If $(\alpha, \beta) \leq 0$ for any distinct $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta$, then Δ consists of linearly independent vectors.*

Proof. Let

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} a_\alpha \alpha = 0, \quad (40)$$

and define

$$\sigma = \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \Delta \\ a_\alpha > 0}} a_\alpha \alpha.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq (\sigma, \sigma) \\ &= \left(\sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \Delta \\ a_\alpha > 0}} a_\alpha \alpha, \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} a_\alpha \alpha - \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \Delta \\ a_\beta < 0}} a_\beta \beta \right) \\ &= \left(\sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \Delta \\ a_\alpha > 0}} a_\alpha \alpha, - \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \Delta \\ a_\beta < 0}} a_\beta \beta \right) \quad (\text{by (40)}) \\ &= - \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \Delta \\ a_\alpha > 0}} \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \Delta \\ a_\beta < 0}} a_\alpha a_\beta (\alpha, \beta) \\ &\leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

This forces $\sigma = 0$, so there is no $\alpha \in \Delta$ with $a_\alpha > 0$. Similarly, we can show that there is no $\alpha \in \Delta$ with $a_\alpha < 0$. Therefore, $a_\alpha = 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta$. \square

Lemma 28. *Let $\Delta \subset V_+$ be a subset, and let $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta$ be linearly independent. If $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}_{>0}\beta + \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}\Delta$, then $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}(\Delta \setminus \{\alpha\})$.*

Proof. Since

$$\alpha \in \mathbf{R}_{>0}\beta + \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}\Delta$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \mathbf{R}_{>0}\beta + \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}\alpha + \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}\beta + \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}(\Delta \setminus \{\alpha, \beta\}) \\
&= \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}\alpha + \mathbf{R}_{>0}\beta + \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}(\Delta \setminus \{\alpha, \beta\}) \\
&\subset \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}\alpha + V_+ \cap \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}(\Delta \setminus \{\alpha\}),
\end{aligned}$$

there exists $a \in \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}$ such that

$$\alpha \in a\alpha + V_+ \cap \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}(\Delta \setminus \{\alpha\}). \quad (41)$$

Thus

$$(1 - a)\alpha \in V_+, \quad (42)$$

$$(1 - a)\alpha \in \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}(\Delta \setminus \{\alpha\}). \quad (43)$$

By (42), we have $1 - a > 0$. The result then follows from (43). \square

For a root system Φ in V , we denote by $\mathcal{P}(\Phi)$ and $\mathcal{S}(\Phi)$, the set of positive systems and that of simple systems, respectively, in Φ . More specifically,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{P}(\Phi) &= \{\{\alpha \in \Phi \mid \alpha > 0\} \mid \text{“} > \text{” is a total ordering of } V\}, \\
\mathcal{S}(\Phi) &= \{\Delta \subset \Phi \mid \Phi \subset \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}\Delta \cup \mathbf{R}_{\leq 0}\Delta, \Delta \text{ is linearly independent}\}.
\end{aligned}$$

It is clear that $\mathcal{P}(\Phi)$ is non-empty, since V can be given a total ordering. We show that $\mathcal{S}(\Phi)$ is non-empty by establishing a bijection between $\mathcal{S}(\Phi)$ and $\mathcal{P}(\Phi)$, which is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi : \mathcal{S}(\Phi) &\rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\Phi) \\
\Delta &\mapsto \Phi \cap \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}\Delta.
\end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

Lemma 29. *Let Φ be a root system in V . If Δ is a simple system contained in a positive system Π , then*

- (i) $\Pi = \Phi \cap \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}\Delta$,
- (ii) $\Delta = \{\alpha \in \Pi \mid \alpha \notin \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}(\Pi \setminus \{\alpha\})\}$.

Proof. (i) Since Δ is a simple system, we have

$$\Phi \subset \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}\Delta \cup \mathbf{R}_{\leq 0}\Delta. \quad (45)$$

Since $\Delta \subset \Pi \subset V_+$ for some total ordering of V , we have

$$\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}\Delta \subset V_+ \cup \{0\}, \quad (46)$$

$$\mathbf{R}_{\leq 0}\Delta \subset V_- \cup \{0\}. \quad (47)$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi &= \Phi \cap V_+ \\
&= \Phi \cap (\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}\Delta \cup \mathbf{R}_{\leq 0}\Delta) \cap V_+ \quad (\text{by (45)})
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \Phi \cap \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}\Delta \cap V_+ \quad (\text{by (47)})$$

$$= \Phi \cap (\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}\Delta \setminus \{0\}) \quad (\text{by (46)})$$

$$= \Phi \cap \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}\Delta.$$

(ii) If $\alpha \in \Pi \setminus \Delta$, then $\Delta \subset \Pi \setminus \{\alpha\}$, so $\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}(\Pi \setminus \{\alpha\}) \supset \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}\Delta \ni \alpha$. This proves

$$\Delta \supset \{\alpha \in \Pi \mid \alpha \notin \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}(\Pi \setminus \{\alpha\})\}.$$

Conversely, suppose $\alpha \in \Pi$ and $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}(\Pi \setminus \{\alpha\})$. Then there exists $\beta \in \Pi \setminus \{\alpha\}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &\in \mathbf{R}_{> 0}\beta + \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}(\Pi \setminus \{\alpha, \beta\}) \\ &\subset \mathbf{R}_{> 0}\beta + \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}\Pi \\ &= \mathbf{R}_{> 0}\beta + \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}\Delta \end{aligned} \quad (\text{by (i)}).$$

Since $\beta \in \Pi \setminus \{\alpha\} \subset \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}\Delta \setminus \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}\alpha$, there exists $\delta \in \Delta \setminus \{\alpha\}$ such that

$$\beta \in \mathbf{R}_{> 0}\delta + \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}\Delta.$$

Thus $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}_{> 0}\delta + \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}\Delta$, and hence $\{\alpha\} \cup \Delta$ is linearly dependent. This implies $\alpha \notin \Delta$. \square

Recall that for $0 \neq \alpha \in V$, $s_\alpha \in O(V)$ denotes the reflection

$$s_\alpha(\lambda) = \lambda - \frac{2(\lambda, \alpha)}{(\alpha, \alpha)}\alpha \quad (\lambda \in V). \quad (48)$$

Theorem 30. *Let Φ be a root system in V . Then the mapping $\pi : \mathcal{S}(\Phi) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\Phi)$ defined by (44) is a bijection whose inverse is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \pi^{-1} : \mathcal{P}(\Phi) &\rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\Phi) \\ \Pi &\mapsto \{\alpha \in \Pi \mid \alpha \notin \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}(\Pi \setminus \{\alpha\})\}. \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

Moreover,

(i) *for every simple system Δ in Φ , $\pi(\Delta)$ is the unique positive system containing Δ ,*

(ii) *for every positive system Π in Φ , $\pi^{-1}(\Pi)$ is the unique simple system contained in Π .*

Proof. If $\Delta \in \mathcal{S}(\Phi)$, then Δ is a basis of the subspace spanned by Φ , so there exists a basis $\tilde{\Delta}$ of V containing Δ . By Example 19, there exists a total ordering $<$ of V such that $\alpha > 0$ for all $\alpha \in \tilde{\Delta}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(\Delta) &= \Phi \cap \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}\Delta \\ &= \Phi \cap (\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}\Delta \cup \mathbf{R}_{\leq 0}\Delta) \cap V_+ \\ &= \Phi \cap V_+ \end{aligned}$$

is a positive system containing Δ .

Next we show that π is injective. Suppose $\Delta, \Delta' \in \mathcal{S}(\Phi)$ and $\pi(\Delta) = \pi(\Delta')$. Then both Δ and Δ' are simple system contained in $\Pi = \pi(\Delta)$. By Lemma 29(ii), we have

$$\Delta = \{\alpha \in \Pi \mid \alpha \notin \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}(\Pi \setminus \{\alpha\})\} = \Delta'.$$

Therefore, π is injective. Note that this shows

$$\pi^{-1}(\Pi) \subset \{\{\alpha \in \Pi \mid \alpha \notin \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}(\Pi \setminus \{\alpha\})\}\}. \quad (50)$$

Next we show that π is surjective. Suppose $\Pi \in \mathcal{P}(\Phi)$. Define \mathcal{D} by

$$\mathcal{D} = \{\Delta \subset \Pi \mid \Pi \subset \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}\Delta\}. \quad (51)$$

Since Φ is a finite set, so are Π and \mathcal{D} . Since $\Pi \in \mathcal{D}$, \mathcal{D} is non-empty. Thus, there exists a minimal member Δ of \mathcal{D} . This means

$$\Pi \subset \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}\Delta, \quad (52)$$

$$\forall \alpha \in \Delta, \Pi \not\subset \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}(\Delta \setminus \{\alpha\}). \quad (53)$$

Since Π is a positive system, there exists a total ordering of V such that $\Pi = \Phi \cap V_+$. In particular, $\Delta \subset V_+$. We claim

$$(\alpha, \beta) \leq 0 \text{ for all pairs } \alpha \neq \beta \text{ in } \Delta. \quad (54)$$

Indeed, suppose, to the contrary, $(\alpha, \beta) > 0$ for some distinct $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta$. Since $\pm s_\alpha(\beta) \in \Phi = \Pi \cup (-\Pi)$, in view of (48), we may assume without loss of generality $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}_{> 0}\beta + \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}\Delta$. Then by Lemma 28, we obtain $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}(\Delta \setminus \{\alpha\})$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}(\Delta \setminus \{\alpha\}) &= \mathbf{R}_{> 0}\alpha + \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}(\Delta \setminus \{\alpha\}) \\ &= \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}\Delta \\ &\supset \Pi, \end{aligned}$$

contradicting (53). This proves (54). Now, by Lemma 27, Δ consists of linearly independent vectors. We have shown that Δ is a simple system, and by construction, $\Delta \subset \Pi$. Lemma 29(i) then implies $\Pi = \pi(\Delta)$. Therefore, π is surjective. This also implies that equality holds in (50), which shows that the inverse π^{-1} is given by (49).

Finally, (i) follows from Lemma 29(i), while (ii) follows from Lemma 29(ii). \square