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#### Abstract

In this paper, we determine the dimensions of the residue codes of extremal Type II $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-codes for lengths 32 and 40 . We demonstrate that every binary doubly even self-dual code of length 32 can be realized as the residue code of some extremal Type II $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-code. It is also shown that there is a unique extremal Type II $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-code of length 32 whose residue code has the smallest dimension 6 up to equivalence. As a consequence, many new extremal Type II $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-codes of lengths 32 and 40 are constructed.
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## 1 Introduction

As described in [19], self-dual codes are an important class of linear codes for both theoretical and practical reasons. It is a fundamental problem to classify self-dual codes of modest length, and construct self-dual codes with

[^0]the largest minimum weight among self-dual codes of that length. Among self-dual $\mathbb{Z}_{k}$-codes, self-dual $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-codes have been widely studied because such codes have applications to unimodular lattices and nonlinear binary codes, where $\mathbb{Z}_{k}$ denotes the ring of integers modulo $k$ and $k$ is a positive integer.

A $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-code $C$ is Type II if $C$ is self-dual and the Euclidean weights of all codewords of $C$ are divisible by $8[2,14]$. This is a remarkable class of self-dual $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-codes related to even unimodular lattices. A Type II $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-code of length $n$ exists if and only if $n \equiv 0(\bmod 8)$, and the minimum Euclidean weight $d_{E}$ of a Type II $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-code of length $n$ is bounded by $d_{E} \leq 8\lfloor n / 24\rfloor+8[2]$. A Type II $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-code meeting this bound with equality is called extremal. If $C$ is a Type II $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-code, then the residue code $C^{(1)}$ is a binary doubly even code containing the all-ones vector $\mathbf{1}[7,14]$.

It follows from the mass formula in [8] that for a given binary doubly even code $B$ containing $\mathbf{1}$ there is a Type II $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-code $C$ with $C^{(1)}=B$. However, it is not known in general whether there is an extremal Type II $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-code $C$ with $C^{(1)}=B$ or not. Recently, at length 24 , binary doubly even codes which are the residue codes of extremal Type II $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-codes have been classified in [13]. In particular, there is an extremal Type II $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-code whose residue code has dimension $k$ if and only if $k \in\{6,7, \ldots, 12\}$ [13, Table 1]. It is shown that there is a unique extremal Type II $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-code with residue code of dimension 6 up to equivalence [13]. Also, every binary doubly even self-dual code of length 24 can be realized as the residue code of some extremal Type II $\mathbb{Z}_{4^{-}}$ code [5, Postscript] (see also [13]). Since extremal Type II $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-codes of length 24 and their residue codes are related to the Leech lattice [2,5] and structure codes of the moonshine vertex operator algebra [13], respectively, this length is of special interest. For the next two lengths $n=32$ and 40 , a number of extremal Type II $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-codes are known (see [15]). However, only a few extremal Type II $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-codes which have residue codes of dimension less than $n / 2$ are known for these lengths $n$. This motivates us to study the dimensions of the residue codes of extremal Type II $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-codes for these lengths.

In this paper, it is shown that there is an extremal Type II $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-code of length 32 whose residue code has dimension $k$ if and only if $k \in\{6,7, \ldots, 16\}$. In particular, we study two cases $k=6$ and 16 . We demonstrate that every binary doubly even self-dual code of length 32 can be realized as the residue code of some extremal Type II $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-code. It is also shown that there is a unique extremal Type II $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-code of length 32 with residue code of dimension 6 up to equivalence. Finally, it is shown that there is an extremal Type II $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-code of length 40 whose residue code has dimension $k$ if and only if $k \in\{7,8, \ldots, 20\}$.

As a consequence, many new extremal Type II $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-codes of lengths 32 and 40 are constructed. Extremal Type II $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-codes of lengths 32 and 40 are used to construct extremal even unimodular lattices by Construction A (see [2]). All computer calculations in this paper were done by Magma [3].

## 2 Preliminaries

### 2.1 Extremal Type II $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-codes

Let $\mathbb{Z}_{4}(=\{0,1,2,3\})$ denote the ring of integers modulo 4 . $\mathrm{A} \mathbb{Z}_{4}$-code $C$ of length $n$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-submodule of $\mathbb{Z}_{4}^{n}$. Two $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-codes are equivalent if one can be obtained from the other by permuting the coordinates and (if necessary) changing the signs of certain coordinates. The dual code $C^{\perp}$ of $C$ is defined as $C^{\perp}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{Z}_{4}^{n} \mid x \cdot y=0\right.$ for all $\left.y \in C\right\}$, where $x \cdot y=x_{1} y_{1}+\cdots+x_{n} y_{n}$ for $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ and $y=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$. A code $C$ is self-dual if $C=C^{\perp}$.

The Euclidean weight of a codeword $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ of $C$ is $n_{1}(x)+$ $4 n_{2}(x)+n_{3}(x)$, where $n_{\alpha}(x)$ denotes the number of components $i$ with $x_{i}=$ $\alpha(\alpha=1,2,3)$. The minimum Euclidean weight $d_{E}$ of $C$ is the smallest Euclidean weight among all nonzero codewords of $C$. A $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-code $C$ is Type II if $C$ is self-dual and the Euclidean weights of all codewords of $C$ are divisible by $8[2,14]$. A Type II $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-code of length $n$ exists if and only if $n \equiv 0$ $(\bmod 8)$, and the minimum Euclidean weight $d_{E}$ of a Type II $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-code of length $n$ is bounded by $d_{E} \leq 8\lfloor n / 24\rfloor+8$ [2]. A Type II $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-code meeting this bound with equality is called extremal.

The classification of Type II $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-codes has been done for lengths 8 and 16 [7, 16]. At lengths 24,32 and 40 , a number of extremal Type II $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-codes are known (see [15]). At length 48, only two inequivalent extremal Type II $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-codes are known $[2,12]$. At lengths 56 and 64 , recently, an extremal Type II $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-code has been constructed in [11].

### 2.2 Binary doubly even self-dual codes

Throughout this paper, we denote by $\operatorname{dim}(B)$ the dimension of a binary code $B$. Also, for a binary code $B$ and a binary vector $v$, we denote by $\langle B, v\rangle$ the binary code generated by the codewords of $B$ and $v$. A binary code $B$ is called doubly even if $\operatorname{wt}(x) \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$ for any codeword $x \in B$, where $\mathrm{wt}(x)$ denotes the weight of $x$. A binary doubly even self-dual code of
length $n$ exists if and only if $n \equiv 0(\bmod 8)$, and the minimum weight $d$ of a binary doubly even self-dual code of length $n$ is bounded by $d \leq 4\lfloor n / 24\rfloor+4$ (see $[15,19]$ ). A binary doubly even self-dual code meeting this bound with equality is called extremal.

Two binary codes $B$ and $B^{\prime}$ are equivalent, denoted $B \cong B^{\prime}$, if $B$ can be obtained from $B^{\prime}$ by permuting the coordinates. The classification of binary doubly even self-dual codes has been done for lengths up to 32 (see [6, 15, 19]). There are 85 inequivalent binary doubly even self-dual codes of length 32 , five of which are extremal [6].

### 2.3 Residue codes of $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-codes

Every $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-code $C$ of length $n$ has two binary codes $C^{(1)}$ and $C^{(2)}$ associated with $C$ :

$$
C^{(1)}=\{c \bmod 2 \mid c \in C\} \text { and } C^{(2)}=\left\{c \bmod 2 \mid c \in \mathbb{Z}_{4}^{n}, 2 c \in C\right\} .
$$

The binary codes $C^{(1)}$ and $C^{(2)}$ are called the residue and torsion codes of $C$, respectively. If $C$ is self-dual, then $C^{(1)}$ is a binary doubly even code with $C^{(2)}=C^{(1)^{\perp}}[7]$. If $C$ is Type II, then $C^{(1)}$ contains the all-ones vector $\mathbf{1}[14]$.

The following two lemmas can be easily shown (see [13] for length 24).
Lemma 2.1. Let $B$ be the residue code of an extremal Type II $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-code of length $n \in\{24,32,40\}$. Then $B$ satisfies the following conditions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
B \text { is doubly even; } \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{1} \in B ;  \tag{2}\\
& B^{\perp} \text { has minimum weight at least } 4 . \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. The assertions (1) and (2) follow from [7] and [14], respectively, as described above. If $C$ is an extremal Type II $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-code of length $n$, then $C^{(2)}$ has minimum weight at least $2\lfloor n / 24\rfloor+2$ (see [11]). The assertion (3) follows.

Lemma 2.2. Let $B$ be the residue code of an extremal Type $I I \mathbb{Z}_{4}$-code of length $n$. Then, $6 \leq \operatorname{dim}(B) \leq 16$ if $n=32$, and $7 \leq \operatorname{dim}(B) \leq 20$ if $n=$ 40.

Proof. Since a binary doubly even code is self-orthogonal, $\operatorname{dim}(B) \leq n / 2$. From (3), $B^{\perp}$ has minimum weight at least 4 . It is known that a $[32, k, 4]$ code exists only if $k \leq 26$ and a [ $40, k, 4$ ] code exists only if $k \leq 33$ (see [4]). The result follows.

In this paper, we consider the existence of an extremal Type II $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-code with residue code of dimension $k$ for a given $k$. To do this, the following lemma is useful, and it was shown in [13] for length 24 . Since its modification to lengths 32 and 40 is straightforward, we omit the proof.

Lemma 2.3. Let $C$ be an extremal Type II $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-code of length $n \in\{24,32,40\}$. Let $v$ be a binary vector of length $n$ and weight 4 such that $v \notin C^{(1)}$ and the code $\left\langle C^{(1)}, v\right\rangle$ is doubly even. Then there is an extremal Type II $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-code $C^{\prime}$ such that $C^{\prime(1)}=\left\langle C^{(1)}, v\right\rangle$.

### 2.4 Construction method

In this subsection, we review the method of construction of Type II $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-codes, which was given in [16]. Let $C_{1}$ be a binary code of length $n \equiv 0(\bmod 8)$ and dimension $k$ satisfying conditions (1) and (2). Without loss of generality, we may assume that $C_{1}$ has generator matrix of the following form:

$$
G_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
A & \tilde{I}_{k} \tag{4}
\end{array}\right),
$$

where $A$ is a $k \times(n-k)$ matrix which has the property that the first row is $\mathbf{1}$, $\tilde{I}_{k}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 0 & & \\ \vdots & I_{k-1} & \\ 0 & & \end{array}\right)$, and $I_{k-1}$ denotes the identity matrix of order $k-1$. Since $C_{1}$ is self-orthogonal, the matrix $G_{1}$ can be extended to a generator $\operatorname{matrix}\binom{G_{1}}{D}$ of $C_{1}^{\perp}$. Then we have a generator matrix of a Type II $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-code $C$ as follows:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
A & & \tilde{I}_{k}+2 B  \tag{5}\\
& & 2 D
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $B$ is a $k \times k(1,0)$-matrices and we regard the matrices as matrices over $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$. Here, we can choose freely the entries above the diagonal elements
and the $(1,1)$-entry of $B$, and the rest is completely determined from the property that $C$ is Type II. Hence, there are $2^{1+k(k-1) / 2} k \times k(1,0)$-matrices $B$ in (5), and there are $2^{1+k(k-1) / 2}$ Type II $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-codes $C$ with $C^{(1)}=C_{1}[8,16]$.

Since any Type II $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-code is equivalent to some Type II $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-code containing 1 [14], without loss of generality, we may assume that the first row of $B$ is the zero vector. This reduces our search space for finding extremal Type II $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-codes. In fact, there are only $2^{(k-1)(k-2) / 2}$ Type II $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-codes $C$ with $C^{(1)}=C_{1}$ containing 1 (see also [1]).

## 3 Extremal Type II $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-codes of length 32

### 3.1 Known extremal Type II $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-codes of length 32

Currently, 57 inequivalent extremal Type II $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-codes of length 32 are known (see [9, 15]). Among the 57 known codes, 54 codes have residue codes which are extremal doubly even self-dual codes. In particular, for every binary extremal doubly even self-dual code $B$ of length 32 , there is an extremal Type II $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-code $C$ with $C^{(1)} \cong B$ [9].

Only $C_{5,1}$ in [2] and $\tilde{C}_{31,2}, \tilde{C}_{31,3}$ in [17] are known extremal Type II $\mathbb{Z}_{4}{ }^{-}$ codes whose residue codes are not extremal doubly even self-dual codes (see [9]). The residue codes of $\tilde{C}_{31,2}, \tilde{C}_{31,3}$ in [17] have dimension 11. The residue code of $C_{5,1}$ in [2] is the first order Reed-Muller code $R M(1,5)$ of length 32 , thus, $\operatorname{dim}\left(C_{5,1}^{(1)}\right)=6$. In Section 3.4, we show that there is a unique extremal Type II $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-code of length 32 with residue code of dimension 6 , up to equivalence.

### 3.2 Determination of dimensions of residue codes

By Lemma 2.2, if $C$ is an extremal Type II $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-code of length 32 , then $6 \leq$ $\operatorname{dim}\left(C^{(1)}\right) \leq 16$. In this subsection, we show the converse assertion using Lemma 2.3. To do this, we first fix the coordinates of $R M(1,5)$ by considering
the following matrix as a generator matrix of $R M(1,5)$ :

$$
\left(\begin{array}{llll}
11111111 & 11111111 & 11111111 & 11111111  \tag{6}\\
11111111 & 11111111 & 00000000 & 00000000 \\
11111111 & 00000000 & 11111111 & 00000000 \\
11110000 & 11110000 & 11110000 & 11110000 \\
11001100 & 11001100 & 11001100 & 11001100 \\
10101010 & 10101010 & 10101010 & 10101010
\end{array}\right) .
$$

It is well known that $R M(1,5)$ has the following weight enumerator:

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+62 y^{16}+y^{32} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $i=7,8, \ldots, 15$, we define $B_{32, i}$ to be the binary code $\left\langle B_{32, i-1}, v_{i}\right\rangle$, where $B_{32,6}=R M(1,5)$ and the support $\operatorname{supp}\left(v_{i}\right)$ of the vector $v_{i}$ is listed in Table 1. The weight distributions of $B_{32, i}(i=7,8, \ldots, 15)$ are also listed in the table, where $A_{j}$ denotes the number of codewords of weight $j$ ( $j=$ $4,8,12,16)$. From the weight distributions, one can easily verify that $v_{i} \notin$ $B_{32, i-1}$ and $B_{32, i}$ is doubly even for $i=7,8, \ldots, 15$. Note that the code $C_{5,1}$ in [2] is an extremal Type II $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-code with residue code $R M(1,5)$, and there are extremal Type II $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-codes with residue codes of dimension 16. By Lemma 2.3, we have the following:

Proposition 3.1. There is an extremal Type II $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-code of length 32 whose residue code has dimension $k$ if and only if $k \in\{6,7, \ldots, 16\}$.

Remark 3.2. In the next two subsections, we study two cases $k=6$ and 16 .
As another approach to Proposition 3.1, we explicitly found an extremal Type II $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-code $C_{32, i}$ with $C_{32, i}^{(1)} \cong B_{32, i}$ for $i=7,8, \ldots, 15$, using the method given in Section 2.4. Any $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-code with residue code of dimension $k$ is equivalent to a code with generator matrix of the form:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I_{k} & A  \tag{8}\\
O & 2 B
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $A$ is a matrix over $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ and $B$ is a $(1,0)$-matrix. For these codes $C_{32, i}$, we give generator matrices of the form (8), by only listing in Figure 1 the $i \times(32-i)$ matrices $A$ in (8) to save space. Note that the lower part in (8) can be obtained from the matrices $\left(\begin{array}{ll}I_{k} & A\end{array}\right)$, since $C^{(2)}=C^{(1)^{\perp}}$ and $\left(\begin{array}{ll}I_{k} & A \bmod 2\end{array}\right)$ is a generator matrix of $C^{(1)}$, where $A \bmod 2$ denotes the binary matrix whose $(i, j)$-entry is $a_{i j} \bmod 2$ for $A=\left(a_{i j}\right)$.

Table 1: $\operatorname{Supports} \operatorname{supp}\left(v_{i}\right)$ and weight distributions of $B_{32, i}$

| $i$ | $\operatorname{supp}\left(v_{i}\right)$ | $A_{4}$ | $A_{8}$ | $A_{12}$ | $A_{16}$ |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 7 | $\{1,2,3,4\}$ | 1 | 0 | 7 | 110 |
| 8 | $\{1,2,5,6\}$ | 3 | 0 | 21 | 206 |
| 9 | $\{1,2,7,8\}$ | 6 | 4 | 42 | 406 |
| 10 | $\{1,2,9,10\}$ | 10 | 12 | 102 | 774 |
| 11 | $\{1,2,11,12\}$ | 16 | 36 | 208 | 1526 |
| 12 | $\{1,2,13,14\}$ | 28 | 84 | 420 | 3030 |
| 13 | $\{1,2,17,18\}$ | 36 | 196 | 924 | 5878 |
| 14 | $\{1,2,19,20\}$ | 48 | 428 | 1936 | 11558 |
| 15 | $\{1,2,21,22\}$ | 72 | 892 | 3960 | 22918 |

### 3.3 Residue codes of dimension 16

As described above, there are 85 inequivalent binary doubly even self-dual codes of length 32 . These codes are denoted by C1, C2, .., C85 in [6, Table A], where C81,..., C85 are extremal. For each $B$ of the 5 extremal ones, there is an extremal Type II $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-code $C$ with $C^{(1)} \cong B[9]$.

Using the method given in Section 2.4, we explicitly found an extremal Type II $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-code $D_{32, i}$ with $D_{32, i}^{(1)} \cong \mathrm{C} i$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, 80$. Generator matrices for $D_{32, i}$ can be written in the form $\left(I_{16} \quad M_{i}\right)(i=1,2, \ldots, 80)$, where $M_{i}$ can be obtained electronically from
http://sci.kj.yamagata-u.ac.jp/~~mharada/Paper/z4-32.txt
Hence, we have the following:
Proposition 3.3. Every binary doubly even self-dual code of length 32 can be realized as the residue code of some extremal Type II $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-code.

Among known 57 inequivalent extremal Type II $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-codes of length 32, the residue codes of 54 codes are extremal doubly even self-dual codes and the residue codes of the other three codes $C_{5,1}$ in [2] and $\tilde{C}_{31,2}, \tilde{C}_{31,3}$ in [17] have dimensions 6,11 and 11, respectively. In particular, $\tilde{C}_{31,2}^{(1)}$ and $\tilde{C}_{31,3}^{(1)}$ have the following identical weight enumerators:

$$
1+496 y^{12}+1054 y^{16}+496 y^{20}+y^{32}
$$

$\left(\begin{array}{l}0000000000000000020033322 \\ 1100111100110001121111012 \\ 1010101010101011011010111 \\ 0110011001100110110101111 \\ 0011110000111100001122203 \\ 0011111111000001130022200 \\ 111111111111110000000000\end{array}\right)$
$\left(\begin{array}{l}00000020000002003300032 \\ 00000000000000001322021 \\ 1010101101010110101111 \\ 10101011010103100313131 \\ 11110021111002112220300 \\ 11001121100110112232002 \\ 00111120011112110203220 \\ 00000001111111002002202 \\ 11111110000000000022220\end{array}\right)$
$\left(\begin{array}{l}000000200000131202000 \\ 000000200200130102202 \\ 000000000200330032002 \\ 000000000200130021200 \\ 000000200200132000100 \\ 110011211011330200023 \\ 101010110110101111111 \\ 011001301101031131113 \\ 001111000211000000012 \\ 001111211100000222220 \\ 111111100200200022000\end{array}\right)$
000000000000000003332220 000000000000000001321202 101010101010101101011111 101010010101011100331331 111100110000110110200300 110011110011000110202230 001111111100000112202223 111111111111111000000000 ) 0000002000000333222002 0000002000000132120000 0000002000000132012022 0000002000000132201220 0011110001111332002320 1100112110011332022032 1010101101010101111111 0101103010110033111333 0000000111111200202201 1111111000000200000200 00000020033202002230 00000000033002022223 00000020013220202122 00000020013022001022 00000020033020030020 00000020033000320222 10101011010111111111 10101011003113331133 11110021120021220200 11001101100012022200 00111101100100000220 11111110000002222220 )

Figure 1: Matrices $A$ in generator matrices of $C_{32, i}$

Hence, by Table 1, none of $\tilde{C}_{31,2}$ and $\tilde{C}_{31,3}$ is equivalent to $C_{32,11}$. The code $C_{32, i}^{(1)}$ has dimension $i$ for $i=7,8, \ldots, 15$, and $D_{32, i}^{(1)}$ is a non-extremal doubly even self-dual code for $i=1,2, \ldots, 80$. Since equivalent $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-codes have equivalent residue codes, we have the following:

Corollary 3.4. There are at least 146 inequivalent extremal Type $I I \mathbb{Z}_{4}$-codes of length 32 .

Remark 3.5. The torsion codes of all of the 9 codes $C_{32, i}(i=7,8, \ldots, 15)$ have minimum weight 4 , since their residue codes have minimum weight 4 and the torsion code of an extremal Type II $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-code contains no codeword
$\left(\begin{array}{l}0000001130220022222 \\ 0000003101200202022 \\ 0000003302302200022 \\ 0000001322012000022 \\ 0000003320023002000 \\ 0000003322002322000 \\ 0000001322020212202 \\ 0000001102200023022 \\ 0011113300202222102 \\ 1100111320200022232 \\ 1010101033111113333 \\ 0101100131131133313 \\ 111111222220022221\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}000001130200222002 \\ 000001123002202200 \\ 002001320100220200 \\ 000003100212020022 \\ 000001302201202020 \\ 000001322020102200 \\ 000003120002210000 \\ 000003122220021022 \\ 002003320200200320 \\ 110111320202220023 \\ 101103011313311331 \\ 011010311131133313 \\ 000112022200220032 \\ 113002200200000202\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}00110222022200023 \\ 00130002202020012 \\ 00332202222000302 \\ 00312202222001020 \\ 00110023000222020 \\ 00110202302202022 \\ 00330222232202000 \\ 00310000221020202 \\ 00112200000300200 \\ 00310202000012000 \\ 10301331131111331 \\ 10013333311311133 \\ 11000032020000002 \\ 11002320220222202 \\ 11023022222020022\end{array}\right)$

Figure 1: Matrices $A$ in generator matrices of $C_{32, i}$ (continued)
of weight 2. The torsion codes of all of the 80 codes $D_{32, i}(i=1,2, \ldots, 80)$ have minimum weight 4. By Theorem 1 in [18], all of the 89 codes $C_{32, i}$ and $D_{32, i}$ have minimum Hamming weight 4. In addition, all of the codes have minimum Lee weight 8 , since the minimum Lee weight of an extremal Type II $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-code with minimum Hamming weight 4 is 8 (see [2] for the definitions).

### 3.4 Residue codes of dimension 6

At length 24, the smallest dimension among codes satisfying conditions (1)(3) is 6 . There is a unique binary $[24,6]$ code satisfying (1)-(3), and there is a unique extremal Type II $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-code with residue code of dimension 6 up to equivalence [13]. In this subsection, we show that a similar situation holds for length 32.

Lemma 3.6. Up to equivalence, $R M(1,5)$ is the unique binary $[32,6]$ code satisfying conditions (1)-(3).

Proof. Let $B_{32}$ be a binary [32, 6] code satisfying (1)-(3). From (1) and (2), the weight enumerator of $B_{32}$ is written as:

$$
1+a y^{4}+b y^{8}+c y^{12}+(62-2 a-2 b-2 c) y^{16}+c y^{20}+b y^{24}+a y^{28}+y^{32}
$$

where $a, b$ and $c$ are nonnegative integers. By the MacWilliams identity, the weight enumerator of $B_{32}^{\perp}$ is given by:

$$
1+(9 a+4 b+c) y^{2}+(294 a+24 b-10 c+1240) y^{4}+\cdots
$$

From (3), $9 a+4 b+c=0$. This gives $a=b=c=0$, since all $a, b$ and $c$ are nonnegative. Hence, the weight enumerator of $B_{32}$ is uniquely determined as (7).

Let $G$ be a generator matrix of $B_{32}$ and let $r_{i}$ be the $i$ th row of $G(i=$ $1,2, \ldots, 6)$. From the weight enumerator (7), we may assume without loss of generality that the first three rows of $G$ are as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{lllll}
11111111 & 11111111 & 11111111 & 11111111
\end{array}\right), \\
& r_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
11111111 & 11111111 & 00000000 & 00000000
\end{array}\right), \\
& r_{3}=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
11111111 & 00000000 & 11111111 & 00000000
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Put $r_{4}=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}\right)$, where $v_{i}(i=1,2,3,4)$ are vectors of length 8 and let $n_{i}$ denote the number of 1 's in $v_{i}$. Since the binary code $B_{4}$ generated by the four rows $r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}, r_{4}$ has weight enumerator $1+14 y^{16}+y^{32}$, we have the following system of equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{wt}\left(r_{4}\right) & =n_{1}+n_{2}+n_{3}+n_{4}=16, \\
\mathrm{wt}\left(r_{2}+r_{4}\right) & =\left(8-n_{1}\right)+\left(8-n_{2}\right)+n_{3}+n_{4}=16, \\
\mathrm{wt}\left(r_{3}+r_{4}\right) & =\left(8-n_{1}\right)+n_{2}+\left(8-n_{3}\right)+n_{4}=16, \\
\mathrm{wt}\left(r_{2}+r_{3}+r_{4}\right) & =n_{1}+\left(8-n_{2}\right)+\left(8-n_{3}\right)+n_{4}=16 .
\end{aligned}
$$

This system of the equations has a unique solution $n_{1}=n_{2}=n_{3}=n_{4}=4$. Hence, we may assume without loss of generality that

$$
r_{4}=\left(\begin{array}{lllll}
11110000 & 11110000 & 11110000 & 11110000
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Similarly, put $r_{5}=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{8}\right)$, where $v_{i}(i=1, \ldots, 8)$ are vectors of length 4 and let $n_{i}$ denote the number of 1 's in $v_{i}$. Since the binary code $B_{5}=\left\langle B_{4}, r_{5}\right\rangle$ has weight enumerator $1+30 y^{16}+y^{32}$, we have the following system of the equations:

$$
\sum_{a \in \Gamma_{t}} n_{a}+\sum_{b \in\{1, \ldots, 8\} \backslash \Gamma_{t}}\left(4-n_{b}\right)=16 \quad(t=1, \ldots, 8),
$$

where $\Gamma_{t}(t=1, \ldots, 8)$ are $\{1, \ldots, 8\},\{5,6,7,8\},\{3,4,7,8\},\{2,4,6,8\}$, $\{1,2,7,8\},\{1,3,6,8\},\{1,4,5,8\}$ and $\{2,3,5,8\}$. This system of the equations has a unique solution $n_{i}=2(i=1, \ldots, 8)$. Hence, we may assume without loss of generality that

$$
r_{5}=\left(\begin{array}{lllll}
11001100 & 11001100 & 11001100 & 11001100
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Finally, put $r_{6}=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{16}\right)$, where $v_{i}(i=1, \ldots, 16)$ are vectors of length 2 and let $n_{i}$ denote the number of 1's in $v_{i}$. Similarly, since the binary code $\left\langle B_{5}, r_{6}\right\rangle$ has weight enumerator (7), we have $n_{i}=1(i=1, \ldots, 16)$. Hence, we may assume without loss of generality that

$$
r_{6}=\left(\begin{array}{lllll}
10101010 & 10101010 & 10101010 & 10101010
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Therefore, a generator matrix $G$ is uniquely determined up to permutation of columns.

Using a classification method similar to that described in [13, Section 4.3], we verified that all Type II $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-codes with residue codes $R M(1,5)$ are equivalent. Therefore, we have the following:

Proposition 3.7. Up to equivalence, there is a unique extremal Type II $\mathbb{Z}_{4}{ }^{-}$ code of length 32 with residue code of dimension 6 .

By Proposition 3.3 and Lemma 3.6, all binary [32, $k$ ] codes satisfying (1)(3) can be realized as the residue codes of some extremal Type II $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-codes for $k=6$ and 16. The binary [32, 7] code $N_{32}=\langle R M(1,5), v\rangle$ satisfies (1)-(3), where $R M(1,5)$ is defined by (6) and

$$
\operatorname{supp}(v)=\{1,2,3,4,5,9,17,29\} .
$$

However, we verified that none of the Type II $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-codes $C$ with $C^{(1)}=N_{32}$ is extremal, using the method in Section 2.4. Therefore, there is a binary code satisfying (1)-(3) which cannot be realized as the residue code of an extremal Type II $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-code of length 32.

## 4 Extremal Type II $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-codes of length 40

### 4.1 Determination of dimensions of residue codes

Currently, 23 inequivalent extremal Type II $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-codes of length 40 are known [5, $9,10,17]$. Among these 23 known codes, the 22 codes have residue codes which are doubly even self-dual codes and the other code is given in [17]. Using an approach similar to that used in the previous section, we determine the dimensions of the residue codes of extremal Type II $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-codes of length 40.

By Lemma 2.2, if $C$ is an extremal Type II $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-code of length 40 , then $7 \leq \operatorname{dim}\left(C^{(1)}\right) \leq 20$. Using the method given in Section 2.4, we explicitly found an extremal Type II $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-code from some binary doubly even [40, 7, 16] code. This binary code was found as a subcode of some binary doubly even self-dual code. We denote the extremal Type II $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-code by $C_{40,7}$. The weight enumerators of $C_{40,7}^{(1)}$ and $C_{40,7}^{(1)}{ }^{\perp}$ are given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1+15 y^{16}+96 y^{20}+15 y^{24}+y^{40} \\
& 1+1510 y^{4}+59520 y^{6}+1203885 y^{8}+13235584 y^{10}+87323080 y^{12} \\
& +362540160 y^{14}+982189650 y^{16}+1771386240 y^{18}+2154055332 y^{20} \\
& +\cdots+y^{40}
\end{aligned}
$$

respectively. For the code $C_{40,7}$, we give a generator matrix of the form (5), by only listing the $7 \times 40$ matrix $G_{40}$ which has form $\left(\begin{array}{ll}A & \tilde{I}_{7}+2 B\end{array}\right)$ in (5):

$$
G_{40}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
111111111111111111111111111111111 & 1111111 \\
101101001011110000011001100000101 & 0100000 \\
100000101011011000100010001111011 & 2210000 \\
10011001101100110111111101000100 & 0203000 \\
011110110111111001011010010001010 & 0002300 \\
110100101111000011100110000010100 & 0202010 \\
010111101001111110010110110100010 & 0002003
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Note that the lower part in (5) can be obtained from $G_{40}$.
Using the generator matrix $G_{40} \bmod 2$ of the binary code $C_{40,7}^{(1)}$, we establish the existence of some extremal Type II $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-codes, by Lemma 2.3, as follows. For $i=8,9 \ldots, 19$, we define $B_{40, i}$ to be the binary code $\left\langle B_{40, i-1}, w_{i}\right\rangle$, where $B_{40,7}=C_{40,7}^{(1)}$ and $\operatorname{supp}\left(w_{i}\right)$ is listed in Table 2. The weight distributions of $B_{40, i}(i=8,9, \ldots, 19)$ are also listed in the table, where $A_{j}$ denotes the number of codewords of weight $j(j=4,8,12,16,20)$. From the weight distributions, one can easily verify that $w_{i} \notin B_{40, i-1}$ and $B_{40, i}$ is doubly even for $i=8,9, \ldots, 19$. There are extremal Type II $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-codes with residue codes of dimension 20. By Lemma 2.3, we have the following:

Proposition 4.1. There is an extremal Type $I I \mathbb{Z}_{4}$-code of length 40 whose residue code has dimension $k$ if and only if $k \in\{7,8, \ldots, 20\}$.

As another approach to Proposition 4.1, we explicitly found an extremal Type II $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-code $C_{40, i}$ with $C_{40, i}^{(1)} \cong B_{40, i}$ for $i=8,9, \ldots, 19$. To save space,

Table 2: $\operatorname{Supports} \operatorname{supp}\left(w_{i}\right)$ and weight distributions of $B_{40, i}$

| $i$ | $\operatorname{supp}\left(w_{i}\right)$ | $A_{4}$ | $A_{8}$ | $A_{12}$ | $A_{16}$ | $A_{20}$ |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 8 | $\{1,2,4,29\}$ | 1 | 0 | 1 | 35 | 180 |
| 9 | $\{1,2,5,33\}$ | 3 | 0 | 3 | 75 | 348 |
| 10 | $\{1,2,7,31\}$ | 6 | 1 | 10 | 150 | 688 |
| 11 | $\{1,2,9,10\}$ | 10 | 6 | 22 | 313 | 1344 |
| 12 | $\{1,2,11,17\}$ | 15 | 21 | 48 | 634 | 2658 |
| 13 | $\{1,2,12,39\}$ | 22 | 56 | 102 | 1271 | 5288 |
| 14 | $\{1,2,13,27\}$ | 29 | 99 | 280 | 2620 | 10326 |
| 15 | $\{1,2,14,37\}$ | 37 | 175 | 688 | 5296 | 20374 |
| 16 | $\{1,2,15,35\}$ | 47 | 313 | 1548 | 10694 | 40330 |
| 17 | $\{1,2,20,36\}$ | 57 | 509 | 3436 | 21698 | 79670 |
| 18 | $\{1,2,21,28\}$ | 68 | 845 | 7344 | 43826 | 157976 |
| 19 | $\{1,2,24,32\}$ | 84 | 1533 | 15184 | 87938 | 314808 |

we only list in Figure 2 the $i \times(40-i)$ matrices $A$ in generator matrices of the form (8).
Remark 4.2. Similar to Remark 3.5, all of the codes $C_{40, i}(i=7,8, \ldots, 19)$ have minimum Hamming weight 4 and minimum Lee weight 8 .

### 4.2 Residue codes of dimension 7

At lengths 24 and 32, the smallest dimensions among binary codes satisfying (1)-(3) are both 6 , and there is a unique extremal Type II $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-code with residue code of dimension 6 , up to equivalence, for both lengths (see [13] and Proposition 3.7).

At length 40 , we found an extremal Type II $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-code $C_{40,7}^{\prime}$ with residue code $C_{40,7}^{\prime(1)}=\left\langle C_{40,7}^{(1)} \cap\langle v\rangle^{\perp}, v\right\rangle$, where

$$
\operatorname{supp}(v)=\{1,3,4,6,8,9,10,11,12,13,18,20\} .
$$

The weight enumerators of $C_{40,7}^{\prime(1)}$ and ${C_{40,7}^{\prime(1)}{ }^{\perp} \text { are given by: }}^{\text {a }}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1+y^{12}+11 y^{16}+102 y^{20}+11 y^{24}+y^{28}+y^{40} \\
& 1+1542 y^{4}+59264 y^{6}+1204653 y^{8}+13234816 y^{10}+87321928 y^{12} \\
& +362544000 y^{14}+982186834 y^{16}+1771383424 y^{18}+2154061668 y^{20} \\
& +\cdots+y^{40}
\end{aligned}
$$

respectively. In order to give a generator matrix of $C_{40,7}^{\prime}$ of the form (8), we only list the $7 \times 33$ matrix $A$ in (8):

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{l}
100000000000001011111111111030232 \\
011011011101000001011000101230302 \\
011100001110011110001110100311332 \\
100000111111113101101010010201033 \\
010110010110101100111101000312111 \\
010001111010000010000001011311013 \\
111111111111111000000000000020200
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Hence, at length 40, there are at least two inequivalent extremal Type II $\mathbb{Z}_{4^{-}}$ codes whose residue codes have the smallest dimension among binary codes satisfying (1)-(3).

Among these 23 known codes, the 22 codes have residue codes which are doubly even self-dual codes and the residue code of the other code given in [17] has dimension 13 and the following weight enumerator:

$$
1+156 y^{12}+1911 y^{16}+4056 y^{20}+1911 y^{24}+156 y^{28}+y^{40}
$$

It turns out that the code in [17] and $C_{40,13}$ are inequivalent. Hence, none of the codes $C_{40, i}(i=7,8, \ldots, 19)$ and $C_{40,7}^{\prime}$ is equivalent to any of the known codes. Thus, we have the following:

Corollary 4.3. There are at least 37 inequivalent extremal Type II $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-codes of length 40.

The binary [40, 8] code $N_{40}=\left\langle C_{40,7}^{(1)}, w\right\rangle$ satisfies (1)-(3), where

$$
\operatorname{supp}(w)=\{4,8,13,22,23,34,36,39\}
$$

However, we verified that none of the Type II $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-codes $C$ with $C^{(1)}=N_{40}$ is extremal, using the method in Section 2.4. Therefore, there is a binary code satisfying (1)-(3) which cannot be realized as the residue code of an extremal Type II $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-code of length 40 . It is not known whether there is a binary $[40,7]$ code $B$ satisfying (1)-(3) such that none of the Type II $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$-codes $C$ with $C^{(1)}=B$ is extremal.
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| $\left(\begin{array}{l} 11211101101110100310100112102303 \\ 01311010010110010300111111130210 \\ 01100100001110100111011112012220 \\ 11001111011010001201110113003301 \\ 11001000111101000210000010131312 \\ 11200100010001011101001103021013 \\ 00011111111111111100000000022000 \\ 11300000000000000000000002000202 \end{array}\right)$ | $\left(\begin{array}{l} 1011110100101100103011111110102 \\ 1013110011000000000111100332213 \\ 0002011110110100012111011201210 \\ 0020101010100111001010010213131 \\ 1100001000100010111100111021112 \\ 0022111011011101001010010302231 \\ 0000111111111111111000000020020 \\ 113000000000000000000000020202 \\ 1123000000000000000000000020020 \end{array}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\left(\begin{array}{l}103110111100010111001011023131 \\ 011330110011001110001103313323 \\ 002221101000100110110111133012 \\ 000220010001000101011100310332 \\ 002220100011110100101101202003 \\ 110021010101001110101111102220 \\ 00020111111111111010000102220 \\ 113020000000000000000002002220 \\ 110230000000000000000000020002 \\ 112300000000000000000000200002\end{array}\right)$ | $\left(\begin{array}{l}12001101101111010103011101331 \\ 01001101101111010101031121133 \\ 00000001111010111020003121200 \\ 02010010001101101001032332000 \\ 02100000111100110102100213022 \\ 13110101010100011032010133222 \\ 02011110011101111010111220200 \\ 0010011111101111032103022002 \\ 1100000000000000000002200023 \\ 11000000000000000020002202302 \\ 13000000000000000022022022012\end{array}\right)$ |
| $\left(\begin{array}{l}1120000000000000000220023020 \\ 0022011110101110000033030222 \\ 0111111111110001101302313122 \\ 002220111100110100100231221 \\ 0133101110110011110230103311 \\ 1120200000000000000202002322 \\ 1100011000000000000322022013 \\ 1011311011110101010333211300 \\ 013311100101011111201213332 \\ 113220000000000000020220000 \\ 110230000000000000020202200 \\ 1103000000000000000202202200\end{array}\right)$ | $\left(\begin{array}{l}110200000000000000022203222 \\ 011131010101101101000003101 \\ 110220010000010031111302003 \\ 000220111100110100120310212 \\ 011311111011001111032103110 \\ 11002000000000000022020302 \\ 011113001111010101011231311 \\ 00220201110111101013222021 \\ 01113310111010121013213321 \\ 11300200000000000002222202 \\ 11223200000000020000002022 \\ 11230000000000020002020200 \\ 110203000000000020022222202\end{array}\right)$ |
| $\left(\begin{array}{l}11022020000000000032220020 \\ 11020030000000000202000202 \\ 10113131011001100113231131 \\ 10131111011100101213331301 \\ 11220000000000000203020222 \\ 00222020101011100322332313 \\ 10133330010111110213200300 \\ 00000200101011100120311332 \\ 11220000010011111122310200 \\ 01311331111110111133120022 \\ 11302020000000000220202200 \\ 11003220000000000202002000 \\ 11232000000000000200200222 \\ 11220320000000000222220002\end{array}\right)$ | $\left(\begin{array}{l}1100022000000000232222022 \\ 1011313101100102333331133 \\ 0111313101110101031331323 \\ 1102200000000000203022000 \\ 1102020010101100322230113 \\ 1011331001011112011100120 \\ 1102000010101102320011330 \\ 0000202001001113100230020 \\ 10333311111111133320220 \\ 1100200000000000202302020 \\ 113022200000002022222022 \\ 110032000000000220000220 \\ 1123200000000002020220020 \\ 1102203000000000002220000 \\ 1102032000000002002220222\end{array}\right)$ |

Figure 2: Matrices $A$ in generator matrices of $C_{40, i}$
$\left(\begin{array}{l}112020000002002220302220 \\ 110020200003002022020020 \\ 000200000000000333020002 \\ 112002000000002222232002 \\ 011133301113001231111331 \\ 10111311103102220313102 \\ 101331111101100220313212 \\ 000022200100111132200203 \\ 112002001010112231002313 \\ 103333111113113310331200 \\ 112222000002002220003002 \\ 11300200000200020200002 \\ 112232000000000022020020 \\ 112302000002000222220200 \\ 112220300000000000222002 \\ 110003200002000000200022 \\ 1100200220022202222302 \\ 0010210300202202002222 \\ 1300220020022220001202 \\ 0111231033331313331313 \\ 131030133002022202220 \\ 131010031201000000002 \\ 0211001113232220002022 \\ 1311011200232020002200 \\ 1300000000220222212202 \\ 121103101313311313113 \\ 1300020222022012200020 \\ 1300200202220201202200 \\ 1301130320322020202000 \\ 1300200222222222000201 \\ 1100220022203020002022 \\ 1300000222022002022012 \\ 1100020020222302022002 \\ 1100000002202222320000\end{array}\right) \quad\left(\begin{array}{l}11202002200000220232020 \\ 00000222200020033302020 \\ 11220000000020220003202 \\ 10313133101110321311131 \\ 10111331311100200013332 \\ 10313311311120220031321 \\ 11220020200121111222220 \\ 00222220001011023300011 \\ 10311311311131113031302 \\ 11200022000020022020322 \\ 11322002000000202200020 \\ 11023020200000200002220 \\ 11030200200000220220200 \\ 11022003200020200202000 \\ 11200220300000220002200 \\ 11220032000020202022002 \\ 11202322000020020022200\end{array}\right)$

Figure 2: Matrices $A$ in generator matrices of $C_{40, i}$ (continued)
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