On the Residue Codes of Extremal Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 -Codes of Lengths 32 and 40

Masaaki Harada*

May 17, 2011

Abstract

In this paper, we determine the dimensions of the residue codes of extremal Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 -codes for lengths 32 and 40. We demonstrate that every binary doubly even self-dual code of length 32 can be realized as the residue code of some extremal Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 -code. It is also shown that there is a unique extremal Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 -code of length 32 whose residue code has the smallest dimension 6 up to equivalence. As a consequence, many new extremal Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 -codes of lengths 32 and 40 are constructed.

Keywords: extremal Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 -code, residue code, binary doubly even code

AMS Subject Classification: 94B05

1 Introduction

As described in [19], self-dual codes are an important class of linear codes for both theoretical and practical reasons. It is a fundamental problem to classify self-dual codes of modest length, and construct self-dual codes with

^{*}Department of Mathematical Sciences, Yamagata University, Yamagata 990–8560, Japan, and PRESTO, Japan Science and Technology Agency, Kawaguchi, Saitama 332–0012, Japan. email: mharada@sci.kj.yamagata-u.ac.jp

the largest minimum weight among self-dual codes of that length. Among self-dual \mathbb{Z}_k -codes, self-dual \mathbb{Z}_4 -codes have been widely studied because such codes have applications to unimodular lattices and nonlinear binary codes, where \mathbb{Z}_k denotes the ring of integers modulo k and k is a positive integer.

A \mathbb{Z}_4 -code C is Type II if C is self-dual and the Euclidean weights of all codewords of C are divisible by 8 [2, 14]. This is a remarkable class of self-dual \mathbb{Z}_4 -codes related to even unimodular lattices. A Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 -code of length n exists if and only if $n \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$, and the minimum Euclidean weight d_E of a Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 -code of length n is bounded by $d_E \leq 8 \lfloor n/24 \rfloor + 8$ [2]. A Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 -code meeting this bound with equality is called extremal. If C is a Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 -code, then the residue code $C^{(1)}$ is a binary doubly even code containing the all-ones vector $\mathbf{1}$ [7, 14].

It follows from the mass formula in [8] that for a given binary doubly even code B containing 1 there is a Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 -code C with $C^{(1)} = B$. However, it is not known in general whether there is an extremal Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 -code C with $C^{(1)} = B$ or not. Recently, at length 24, binary doubly even codes which are the residue codes of extremal Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 -codes have been classified in [13]. In particular, there is an extremal Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 -code whose residue code has dimension k if and only if $k \in \{6, 7, ..., 12\}$ [13, Table 1]. It is shown that there is a unique extremal Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 -code with residue code of dimension 6 up to equivalence [13]. Also, every binary doubly even self-dual code of length 24 can be realized as the residue code of some extremal Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 code [5, Postscript] (see also [13]). Since extremal Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 -codes of length 24 and their residue codes are related to the Leech lattice [2, 5] and structure codes of the moonshine vertex operator algebra [13], respectively, this length is of special interest. For the next two lengths n=32 and 40, a number of extremal Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 -codes are known (see [15]). However, only a few extremal Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 -codes which have residue codes of dimension less than n/2 are known for these lengths n. This motivates us to study the dimensions of the residue codes of extremal Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 -codes for these lengths.

In this paper, it is shown that there is an extremal Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 -code of length 32 whose residue code has dimension k if and only if $k \in \{6, 7, \ldots, 16\}$. In particular, we study two cases k = 6 and 16. We demonstrate that every binary doubly even self-dual code of length 32 can be realized as the residue code of some extremal Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 -code. It is also shown that there is a unique extremal Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 -code of length 32 with residue code of dimension 6 up to equivalence. Finally, it is shown that there is an extremal Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 -code of length 40 whose residue code has dimension k if and only if $k \in \{7, 8, \ldots, 20\}$.

As a consequence, many new extremal Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 -codes of lengths 32 and 40 are constructed. Extremal Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 -codes of lengths 32 and 40 are used to construct extremal even unimodular lattices by Construction A (see [2]). All computer calculations in this paper were done by MAGMA [3].

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Extremal Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 -codes

Let \mathbb{Z}_4 (= $\{0, 1, 2, 3\}$) denote the ring of integers modulo 4. A \mathbb{Z}_4 -code C of length n is a \mathbb{Z}_4 -submodule of \mathbb{Z}_4^n . Two \mathbb{Z}_4 -codes are equivalent if one can be obtained from the other by permuting the coordinates and (if necessary) changing the signs of certain coordinates. The dual code C^{\perp} of C is defined as $C^{\perp} = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}_4^n \mid x \cdot y = 0 \text{ for all } y \in C\}$, where $x \cdot y = x_1 y_1 + \cdots + x_n y_n$ for $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$. A code C is self-dual if $C = C^{\perp}$.

The Euclidean weight of a codeword $x=(x_1,\ldots,x_n)$ of C is $n_1(x)+4n_2(x)+n_3(x)$, where $n_{\alpha}(x)$ denotes the number of components i with $x_i=\alpha$ ($\alpha=1,2,3$). The minimum Euclidean weight d_E of C is the smallest Euclidean weight among all nonzero codewords of C. A \mathbb{Z}_4 -code C is Type II if C is self-dual and the Euclidean weights of all codewords of C are divisible by 8 [2, 14]. A Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 -code of length n exists if and only if $n \equiv 0 \pmod 8$, and the minimum Euclidean weight d_E of a Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 -code of length n is bounded by $d_E \leq 8\lfloor n/24\rfloor + 8$ [2]. A Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 -code meeting this bound with equality is called extremal.

The classification of Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 -codes has been done for lengths 8 and 16 [7, 16]. At lengths 24, 32 and 40, a number of extremal Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 -codes are known (see [15]). At length 48, only two inequivalent extremal Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 -codes are known [2, 12]. At lengths 56 and 64, recently, an extremal Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 -code has been constructed in [11].

2.2 Binary doubly even self-dual codes

Throughout this paper, we denote by $\dim(B)$ the dimension of a binary code B. Also, for a binary code B and a binary vector v, we denote by $\langle B, v \rangle$ the binary code generated by the codewords of B and v. A binary code B is called doubly even if $\operatorname{wt}(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ for any codeword $x \in B$, where $\operatorname{wt}(x)$ denotes the weight of x. A binary doubly even self-dual code of

length n exists if and only if $n \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$, and the minimum weight d of a binary doubly even self-dual code of length n is bounded by $d \leq 4\lfloor n/24 \rfloor + 4$ (see [15, 19]). A binary doubly even self-dual code meeting this bound with equality is called extremal.

Two binary codes B and B' are equivalent, denoted $B \cong B'$, if B can be obtained from B' by permuting the coordinates. The classification of binary doubly even self-dual codes has been done for lengths up to 32 (see [6, 15, 19]). There are 85 inequivalent binary doubly even self-dual codes of length 32, five of which are extremal [6].

2.3 Residue codes of \mathbb{Z}_4 -codes

Every \mathbb{Z}_4 -code C of length n has two binary codes $C^{(1)}$ and $C^{(2)}$ associated with C:

$$C^{(1)} = \{c \mod 2 \mid c \in C\} \text{ and } C^{(2)} = \{c \mod 2 \mid c \in \mathbb{Z}_4^n, 2c \in C\}.$$

The binary codes $C^{(1)}$ and $C^{(2)}$ are called the *residue* and *torsion* codes of C, respectively. If C is self-dual, then $C^{(1)}$ is a binary doubly even code with $C^{(2)} = C^{(1)^{\perp}}$ [7]. If C is Type II, then $C^{(1)}$ contains the all-ones vector $\mathbf{1}$ [14]. The following two lemmas can be easily shown (see [13] for length 24).

Lemma 2.1. Let B be the residue code of an extremal Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 -code of length $n \in \{24, 32, 40\}$. Then B satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) B is doubly even;
- $(2) 1 \in B;$
- (3) B^{\perp} has minimum weight at least 4.

Proof. The assertions (1) and (2) follow from [7] and [14], respectively, as described above. If C is an extremal Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 -code of length n, then $C^{(2)}$ has minimum weight at least $2\lfloor n/24\rfloor + 2$ (see [11]). The assertion (3) follows.

Lemma 2.2. Let B be the residue code of an extremal Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 -code of length n. Then, $6 \leq \dim(B) \leq 16$ if n = 32, and $7 \leq \dim(B) \leq 20$ if n = 40.

Proof. Since a binary doubly even code is self-orthogonal, $\dim(B) \leq n/2$. From (3), B^{\perp} has minimum weight at least 4. It is known that a [32, k, 4] code exists only if $k \leq 26$ and a [40, k, 4] code exists only if $k \leq 33$ (see [4]). The result follows.

In this paper, we consider the existence of an extremal Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 -code with residue code of dimension k for a given k. To do this, the following lemma is useful, and it was shown in [13] for length 24. Since its modification to lengths 32 and 40 is straightforward, we omit the proof.

Lemma 2.3. Let C be an extremal Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 -code of length $n \in \{24, 32, 40\}$. Let v be a binary vector of length n and weight 4 such that $v \notin C^{(1)}$ and the code $\langle C^{(1)}, v \rangle$ is doubly even. Then there is an extremal Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 -code C' such that $C'^{(1)} = \langle C^{(1)}, v \rangle$.

2.4 Construction method

In this subsection, we review the method of construction of Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 -codes, which was given in [16]. Let C_1 be a binary code of length $n \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$ and dimension k satisfying conditions (1) and (2). Without loss of generality, we may assume that C_1 has generator matrix of the following form:

$$G_1 = \left(\begin{array}{cc} A & \tilde{I}_k \end{array} \right),$$

where A is a $k \times (n-k)$ matrix which has the property that the first row is 1,

where
$$I$$
 is a $k \times (n-k)$ matrix which has the property that the first row is I ,
$$\tilde{I}_k = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 0 & & \\ \vdots & I_{k-1} & \\ 0 & & \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and } I_{k-1} \text{ denotes the identity matrix of order } k-1.$$
Since C_1 is self-orthogonal, the matrix C_1 can be extended to a generator

Since C_1 is self-orthogonal, the matrix G_1 can be extended to a generator matrix $\begin{pmatrix} G_1 \\ D \end{pmatrix}$ of C_1^{\perp} . Then we have a generator matrix of a Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 -code C as follows:

$$\begin{pmatrix}
A & \tilde{I}_k + 2B \\
2D
\end{pmatrix},$$

where B is a $k \times k$ (1,0)-matrices and we regard the matrices as matrices over \mathbb{Z}_4 . Here, we can choose freely the entries above the diagonal elements

and the (1,1)-entry of B, and the rest is completely determined from the property that C is Type II. Hence, there are $2^{1+k(k-1)/2}$ $k \times k$ (1,0)-matrices B in (5), and there are $2^{1+k(k-1)/2}$ Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 -codes C with $C^{(1)} = C_1$ [8, 16].

Since any Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 -code is equivalent to some Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 -code containing **1** [14], without loss of generality, we may assume that the first row of B is the zero vector. This reduces our search space for finding extremal Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 -codes. In fact, there are only $2^{(k-1)(k-2)/2}$ Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 -codes C with $C^{(1)} = C_1$ containing **1** (see also [1]).

3 Extremal Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 -codes of length 32

3.1 Known extremal Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 -codes of length 32

Currently, 57 inequivalent extremal Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 -codes of length 32 are known (see [9, 15]). Among the 57 known codes, 54 codes have residue codes which are extremal doubly even self-dual codes. In particular, for every binary extremal doubly even self-dual code B of length 32, there is an extremal Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 -code C with $C^{(1)} \cong B$ [9].

Only $C_{5,1}$ in [2] and $\tilde{C}_{31,2}$, $\tilde{C}_{31,3}$ in [17] are known extremal Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 -codes whose residue codes are not extremal doubly even self-dual codes (see [9]). The residue codes of $\tilde{C}_{31,2}$, $\tilde{C}_{31,3}$ in [17] have dimension 11. The residue code of $C_{5,1}$ in [2] is the first order Reed-Muller code RM(1,5) of length 32, thus, $\dim(C_{5,1}^{(1)}) = 6$. In Section 3.4, we show that there is a unique extremal Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 -code of length 32 with residue code of dimension 6, up to equivalence.

3.2 Determination of dimensions of residue codes

By Lemma 2.2, if C is an extremal Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 -code of length 32, then $6 \le \dim(C^{(1)}) \le 16$. In this subsection, we show the converse assertion using Lemma 2.3. To do this, we first fix the coordinates of RM(1,5) by considering

the following matrix as a generator matrix of RM(1,5):

It is well known that RM(1,5) has the following weight enumerator:

$$(7) 1 + 62y^{16} + y^{32}.$$

For i = 7, 8, ..., 15, we define $B_{32,i}$ to be the binary code $\langle B_{32,i-1}, v_i \rangle$, where $B_{32,6} = RM(1,5)$ and the support $\operatorname{supp}(v_i)$ of the vector v_i is listed in Table 1. The weight distributions of $B_{32,i}$ (i = 7, 8, ..., 15) are also listed in the table, where A_j denotes the number of codewords of weight j (j = 4, 8, 12, 16). From the weight distributions, one can easily verify that $v_i \notin B_{32,i-1}$ and $B_{32,i}$ is doubly even for i = 7, 8, ..., 15. Note that the code $C_{5,1}$ in [2] is an extremal Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 -code with residue code RM(1, 5), and there are extremal Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 -codes with residue codes of dimension 16. By Lemma 2.3, we have the following:

Proposition 3.1. There is an extremal Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 -code of length 32 whose residue code has dimension k if and only if $k \in \{6, 7, ..., 16\}$.

Remark 3.2. In the next two subsections, we study two cases k = 6 and 16.

As another approach to Proposition 3.1, we explicitly found an extremal Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 -code $C_{32,i}$ with $C_{32,i}^{(1)} \cong B_{32,i}$ for $i = 7, 8, \ldots, 15$, using the method given in Section 2.4. Any \mathbb{Z}_4 -code with residue code of dimension k is equivalent to a code with generator matrix of the form:

(8)
$$\begin{pmatrix} I_k & A \\ O & 2B \end{pmatrix},$$

where A is a matrix over \mathbb{Z}_4 and B is a (1,0)-matrix. For these codes $C_{32,i}$, we give generator matrices of the form (8), by only listing in Figure 1 the $i \times (32-i)$ matrices A in (8) to save space. Note that the lower part in (8) can be obtained from the matrices $(I_k \ A)$, since $C^{(2)} = C^{(1)^{\perp}}$ and $(I_k \ A \mod 2)$ is a generator matrix of $C^{(1)}$, where $A \mod 2$ denotes the binary matrix whose (i,j)-entry is $a_{ij} \mod 2$ for $A = (a_{ij})$.

Table 1:	Supports	$supp(v_i)$	and	weight	distri	butions	of $B_{32,i}$
----------	----------	-------------	-----	--------	--------	---------	---------------

i	$supp(v_i)$	A_4	A_8	A_{12}	A_{16}
7	$\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$	1	0	7	110
8	$\{1, 2, 5, 6\}$	3	0	21	206
9	$\{1, 2, 7, 8\}$	6	4	42	406
10	$\{1, 2, 9, 10\}$	10	12	102	774
11	$\{1, 2, 11, 12\}$	16	36	208	1526
12	$\{1, 2, 13, 14\}$	28	84	420	3030
13	$\{1, 2, 17, 18\}$	36	196	924	5878
14	$\{1, 2, 19, 20\}$	48	428	1936	11558
15	$\{1, 2, 21, 22\}$	72	892	3960	22918

3.3 Residue codes of dimension 16

As described above, there are 85 inequivalent binary doubly even self-dual codes of length 32. These codes are denoted by C1, C2,..., C85 in [6, Table A], where C81,..., C85 are extremal. For each B of the 5 extremal ones, there is an extremal Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 -code C with $C^{(1)} \cong B$ [9].

Using the method given in Section 2.4, we explicitly found an extremal Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 -code $D_{32,i}$ with $D_{32,i}^{(1)} \cong Ci$ for $i=1,2,\ldots,80$. Generator matrices for $D_{32,i}$ can be written in the form $(I_{16} \ M_i)$ $(i=1,2,\ldots,80)$, where M_i can be obtained electronically from

http://sci.kj.yamagata-u.ac.jp/~mharada/Paper/z4-32.txt

Hence, we have the following:

Proposition 3.3. Every binary doubly even self-dual code of length 32 can be realized as the residue code of some extremal Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 -code.

Among known 57 inequivalent extremal Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 -codes of length 32, the residue codes of 54 codes are extremal doubly even self-dual codes and the residue codes of the other three codes $C_{5,1}$ in [2] and $\tilde{C}_{31,2}$, $\tilde{C}_{31,3}$ in [17] have dimensions 6, 11 and 11, respectively. In particular, $\tilde{C}_{31,2}^{(1)}$ and $\tilde{C}_{31,3}^{(1)}$ have the following identical weight enumerators:

$$1 + 496y^{12} + 1054y^{16} + 496y^{20} + y^{32}.$$

```
000000000000000003332220
0000000000000000020033322
                                   000000000000000001321202
1100111100110001121111012
                                   101010101010101111010111111
1010101010101011011010111
                                   1010100101010111100331331
0110011001100110110101111
                                   111100110000110110200300
00111100001111100001122203
                                   110011110011000110202230
001111111111000001130022200
                                   0011111111100000112202223
111111111111111100000000000
                                   11111111111111110000000000
                                   0000002000000333222002
00000020000002003300032
                                   0000002000000132120000
00000000000000001322021
                                   0000002000000132012022
10101011010101101011111
                                   0000002000000132201220
10101011010103100313131\\
                                   0011110001111332002320
11110021111002112220300
                                   1100112110011332022032
11001121100110112232002\\
                                   10101011010101011111111
00111120011112110203220\\
                                   0101103010110033111333
000000011111111002002202
                                   0000000111111200202201
1111111100000000000022220
                                   11111111000000200000200
                                   00000020033202002230
000000200000131202000
                                   0000000003300202223
000000200200130102202
                                   00000020013220202122
000000000200330032002\\
                                   00000020013022001022
000000000200130021200
                                   00000020033020030020
000000200200132000100
                                   00000020033000320222
110011211011330200023
                                   101010110101111111111
1010101101101011111111
                                   10101011003113331133
011001301101031131113
                                   11110021120021220200\\
001111000211000000012
                                   11001101100012022200
001111211100000222220
                                   00111101100100000220
1111111100200200022000\\
                                  111111110000002222220
```

Figure 1: Matrices A in generator matrices of $C_{32,i}$

Hence, by Table 1, none of $\tilde{C}_{31,2}$ and $\tilde{C}_{31,3}$ is equivalent to $C_{32,11}$. The code $C_{32,i}^{(1)}$ has dimension i for $i=7,8,\ldots,15$, and $D_{32,i}^{(1)}$ is a non-extremal doubly even self-dual code for $i=1,2,\ldots,80$. Since equivalent \mathbb{Z}_4 -codes have equivalent residue codes, we have the following:

Corollary 3.4. There are at least 146 inequivalent extremal Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 -codes of length 32.

Remark 3.5. The torsion codes of all of the 9 codes $C_{32,i}$ (i = 7, 8, ..., 15) have minimum weight 4, since their residue codes have minimum weight 4 and the torsion code of an extremal Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 -code contains no codeword

 $\begin{pmatrix} 0000001130220022222\\ 0000003101200202022\\ 0000003302302200022\\ 0000001322012000022\\ 0000003320023002000\\ 0000003322002322000\\ 0000001322020212202\\ 0000001102200023022\\ 0011113300202222102\\ 1100111320200022232\\ 1010101033111113333\\ 0101100131131133313\\ 1111112222220022221 \end{pmatrix}$

 $\begin{array}{c} 000001130200222002\\ 000001123002202200\\ 002001320100220200\\ 000003100212020022\\ 00000130220120202\\ 000001322020102200\\ 000003120002210000\\ 000003122220021022\\ 002003320200200320\\ 110111320202220023\\ 101103011313311331\\ 01101031113113313\\ 000112022200220032\\ 113002200200000202\\ \end{array}$

Figure 1: Matrices A in generator matrices of $C_{32,i}$ (continued)

of weight 2. The torsion codes of all of the 80 codes $D_{32,i}$ (i = 1, 2, ..., 80) have minimum weight 4. By Theorem 1 in [18], all of the 89 codes $C_{32,i}$ and $D_{32,i}$ have minimum Hamming weight 4. In addition, all of the codes have minimum Lee weight 8, since the minimum Lee weight of an extremal Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 -code with minimum Hamming weight 4 is 8 (see [2] for the definitions).

3.4 Residue codes of dimension 6

At length 24, the smallest dimension among codes satisfying conditions (1)–(3) is 6. There is a unique binary [24,6] code satisfying (1)–(3), and there is a unique extremal Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 -code with residue code of dimension 6 up to equivalence [13]. In this subsection, we show that a similar situation holds for length 32.

Lemma 3.6. Up to equivalence, RM(1,5) is the unique binary [32,6] code satisfying conditions (1)–(3).

Proof. Let B_{32} be a binary [32,6] code satisfying (1)–(3). From (1) and (2), the weight enumerator of B_{32} is written as:

$$1 + ay^4 + by^8 + cy^{12} + (62 - 2a - 2b - 2c)y^{16} + cy^{20} + by^{24} + ay^{28} + y^{32},$$

where a, b and c are nonnegative integers. By the MacWilliams identity, the weight enumerator of B_{32}^{\perp} is given by:

$$1 + (9a + 4b + c)y^2 + (294a + 24b - 10c + 1240)y^4 + \cdots$$

From (3), 9a + 4b + c = 0. This gives a = b = c = 0, since all a, b and c are nonnegative. Hence, the weight enumerator of B_{32} is uniquely determined as (7).

Let G be a generator matrix of B_{32} and let r_i be the ith row of G (i = 1, 2, ..., 6). From the weight enumerator (7), we may assume without loss of generality that the first three rows of G are as follows:

Put $r_4 = (v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4)$, where v_i (i = 1, 2, 3, 4) are vectors of length 8 and let n_i denote the number of 1's in v_i . Since the binary code B_4 generated by the four rows r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4 has weight enumerator $1 + 14y^{16} + y^{32}$, we have the following system of equations:

$$wt(r_4) = n_1 + n_2 + n_3 + n_4 = 16,$$

$$wt(r_2 + r_4) = (8 - n_1) + (8 - n_2) + n_3 + n_4 = 16,$$

$$wt(r_3 + r_4) = (8 - n_1) + n_2 + (8 - n_3) + n_4 = 16,$$

$$wt(r_2 + r_3 + r_4) = n_1 + (8 - n_2) + (8 - n_3) + n_4 = 16.$$

This system of the equations has a unique solution $n_1 = n_2 = n_3 = n_4 = 4$. Hence, we may assume without loss of generality that

$$r_A = (11110000 \ 11110000 \ 11110000 \ 11110000).$$

Similarly, put $r_5 = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_8)$, where v_i $(i = 1, \dots, 8)$ are vectors of length 4 and let n_i denote the number of 1's in v_i . Since the binary code $B_5 = \langle B_4, r_5 \rangle$ has weight enumerator $1 + 30y^{16} + y^{32}$, we have the following system of the equations:

$$\sum_{a \in \Gamma_t} n_a + \sum_{b \in \{1, \dots, 8\} \setminus \Gamma_t} (4 - n_b) = 16 \quad (t = 1, \dots, 8),$$

where Γ_t (t = 1, ..., 8) are $\{1, ..., 8\}$, $\{5, 6, 7, 8\}$, $\{3, 4, 7, 8\}$, $\{2, 4, 6, 8\}$, $\{1, 2, 7, 8\}$, $\{1, 3, 6, 8\}$, $\{1, 4, 5, 8\}$ and $\{2, 3, 5, 8\}$. This system of the equations has a unique solution $n_i = 2$ (i = 1, ..., 8). Hence, we may assume without loss of generality that

Finally, put $r_6 = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{16})$, where v_i $(i = 1, \dots, 16)$ are vectors of length 2 and let n_i denote the number of 1's in v_i . Similarly, since the binary code $\langle B_5, r_6 \rangle$ has weight enumerator (7), we have $n_i = 1$ $(i = 1, \dots, 16)$. Hence, we may assume without loss of generality that

Therefore, a generator matrix G is uniquely determined up to permutation of columns.

Using a classification method similar to that described in [13, Section 4.3], we verified that all Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 -codes with residue codes RM(1,5) are equivalent. Therefore, we have the following:

Proposition 3.7. Up to equivalence, there is a unique extremal Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 code of length 32 with residue code of dimension 6.

By Proposition 3.3 and Lemma 3.6, all binary [32, k] codes satisfying (1)–(3) can be realized as the residue codes of some extremal Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 -codes for k = 6 and 16. The binary [32, 7] code $N_{32} = \langle RM(1,5), v \rangle$ satisfies (1)–(3), where RM(1,5) is defined by (6) and

$$supp(v) = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 9, 17, 29\}.$$

However, we verified that none of the Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 -codes C with $C^{(1)} = N_{32}$ is extremal, using the method in Section 2.4. Therefore, there is a binary code satisfying (1)–(3) which cannot be realized as the residue code of an extremal Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 -code of length 32.

4 Extremal Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 -codes of length 40

4.1 Determination of dimensions of residue codes

Currently, 23 inequivalent extremal Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 -codes of length 40 are known [5, 9, 10, 17]. Among these 23 known codes, the 22 codes have residue codes which are doubly even self-dual codes and the other code is given in [17]. Using an approach similar to that used in the previous section, we determine the dimensions of the residue codes of extremal Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 -codes of length 40.

By Lemma 2.2, if C is an extremal Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 -code of length 40, then $7 \leq \dim(C^{(1)}) \leq 20$. Using the method given in Section 2.4, we explicitly found an extremal Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 -code from some binary doubly even [40, 7, 16] code. This binary code was found as a subcode of some binary doubly even self-dual code. We denote the extremal Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 -code by $C_{40,7}$. The weight enumerators of $C_{40,7}^{(1)}$ and $C_{40,7}^{(1)}$ are given by:

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + 15y^{16} + 96y^{20} + 15y^{24} + y^{40}, \\ 1 + 1510y^4 + 59520y^6 + 1203885y^8 + 13235584y^{10} + 87323080y^{12} \\ + 362540160y^{14} + 982189650y^{16} + 1771386240y^{18} + 2154055332y^{20} \\ + \cdots + y^{40}, \end{aligned}$$

respectively. For the code $C_{40,7}$, we give a generator matrix of the form (5), by only listing the 7×40 matrix G_{40} which has form ($A \tilde{I}_7 + 2B$) in (5):

Note that the lower part in (5) can be obtained from G_{40} .

Using the generator matrix G_{40} mod 2 of the binary code $C_{40,7}^{(1)}$, we establish the existence of some extremal Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 -codes, by Lemma 2.3, as follows. For $i=8,9\ldots,19$, we define $B_{40,i}$ to be the binary code $\langle B_{40,i-1},w_i\rangle$, where $B_{40,7}=C_{40,7}^{(1)}$ and $\sup(w_i)$ is listed in Table 2. The weight distributions of $B_{40,i}$ ($i=8,9,\ldots,19$) are also listed in the table, where A_j denotes the number of codewords of weight j (j=4,8,12,16,20). From the weight distributions, one can easily verify that $w_i \notin B_{40,i-1}$ and $B_{40,i}$ is doubly even for $i=8,9,\ldots,19$. There are extremal Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 -codes with residue codes of dimension 20. By Lemma 2.3, we have the following:

Proposition 4.1. There is an extremal Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 -code of length 40 whose residue code has dimension k if and only if $k \in \{7, 8, ..., 20\}$.

As another approach to Proposition 4.1, we explicitly found an extremal Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 -code $C_{40,i}$ with $C_{40,i}^{(1)} \cong B_{40,i}$ for $i = 8, 9, \ldots, 19$. To save space,

\overline{i}	$supp(w_i)$	A_4	A_8	A_{12}	A_{16}	A_{20}
8	$\{1, 2, 4, 29\}$	1	0	1	35	180
9	$\{1, 2, 5, 33\}$	3	0	3	75	348
10	$\{1, 2, 7, 31\}$	6	1	10	150	688
11	$\{1, 2, 9, 10\}$	10	6	22	313	1344
12	$\{1, 2, 11, 17\}$	15	21	48	634	2658
13	$\{1, 2, 12, 39\}$	22	56	102	1271	5288
14	$\{1, 2, 13, 27\}$	29	99	280	2620	10326
15	$\{1, 2, 14, 37\}$	37	175	688	5296	20374
16	$\{1, 2, 15, 35\}$	47	313	1548	10694	40330
17	$\{1, 2, 20, 36\}$	57	509	3436	21698	79670
18	$\{1, 2, 21, 28\}$	68	845	7344	43826	157976
19	$\{1, 2, 24, 32\}$	84	1533	15184	87938	314808

we only list in Figure 2 the $i \times (40 - i)$ matrices A in generator matrices of the form (8).

Remark 4.2. Similar to Remark 3.5, all of the codes $C_{40,i}$ (i = 7, 8, ..., 19) have minimum Hamming weight 4 and minimum Lee weight 8.

4.2 Residue codes of dimension 7

At lengths 24 and 32, the smallest dimensions among binary codes satisfying (1)–(3) are both 6, and there is a unique extremal Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 -code with residue code of dimension 6, up to equivalence, for both lengths (see [13] and Proposition 3.7).

At length 40, we found an extremal Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 -code $C'_{40,7}$ with residue code $C'_{40,7}^{(1)} = \langle C^{(1)}_{40,7} \cap \langle v \rangle^{\perp}, v \rangle$, where

$$supp(v) = \{1, 3, 4, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 18, 20\}.$$

The weight enumerators of $C_{40,7}^{\prime(1)}$ and $C_{40,7}^{\prime(1)^{\perp}}$ are given by:

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + y^{12} + 11y^{16} + 102y^{20} + 11y^{24} + y^{28} + y^{40}, \\ 1 + 1542y^4 + 59264y^6 + 1204653y^8 + 13234816y^{10} + 87321928y^{12} \\ + 362544000y^{14} + 982186834y^{16} + 1771383424y^{18} + 2154061668y^{20} \\ + \cdots + y^{40}, \end{aligned}$$

respectively. In order to give a generator matrix of $C'_{40,7}$ of the form (8), we only list the 7×33 matrix A in (8):

Hence, at length 40, there are at least two inequivalent extremal Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 codes whose residue codes have the smallest dimension among binary codes
satisfying (1)–(3).

Among these 23 known codes, the 22 codes have residue codes which are doubly even self-dual codes and the residue code of the other code given in [17] has dimension 13 and the following weight enumerator:

$$1 + 156y^{12} + 1911y^{16} + 4056y^{20} + 1911y^{24} + 156y^{28} + y^{40}$$

It turns out that the code in [17] and $C_{40,13}$ are inequivalent. Hence, none of the codes $C_{40,i}$ ($i=7,8,\ldots,19$) and $C'_{40,7}$ is equivalent to any of the known codes. Thus, we have the following:

Corollary 4.3. There are at least 37 inequivalent extremal Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 -codes of length 40.

The binary [40, 8] code
$$N_{40} = \langle C_{40,7}^{(1)}, w \rangle$$
 satisfies (1)–(3), where $\operatorname{supp}(w) = \{4, 8, 13, 22, 23, 34, 36, 39\}.$

However, we verified that none of the Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 -codes C with $C^{(1)} = N_{40}$ is extremal, using the method in Section 2.4. Therefore, there is a binary code satisfying (1)–(3) which cannot be realized as the residue code of an extremal Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 -code of length 40. It is not known whether there is a binary [40, 7] code B satisfying (1)–(3) such that none of the Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 -codes C with $C^{(1)} = B$ is extremal.

Acknowledgment. The author would like to thank Akihiro Munemasa for his help in the classification given in Proposition 3.7. Thanks are due also the anonymous referee for useful comments.

```
11211101101110100310100112102303
                                           1013110011000000000111100332213\\
01311010010110010300111111130210
                                           0002011110110100012111011201210
01100100001110100111011112012220\\
                                           00201010101001111001010010213131
11001111011010001201110113003301
                                           11000010001000101111100111021112\\
11001000111101000210000010131312\\
                                           00221110110111101001010010302231
11200100010001011101001103021013
                                           00001111111111111111111000000020020\\
0001111111111111111100000000022000
                                           1130000000000000000000000000020202
1130000000000000000000000000002000202
                                           1123000000000000000000000000020020
                                           120011011011111010103011101331\\
103110111100010111001011023131\\
                                           01001101101111010101031121133
011330110011001110001103313323\\
                                           00000001111010111020003121200\\
0022211010001001101101111133012
                                           02010010001101101001032332000\\
0002200100010001010111100310332\\
                                           02100000111100110102100213022\\
002220100011110100101101202003
                                           1311010101010100011032010133222\\
1100210101010011101011111102220
                                           020111100111011111010111220200
0002011111111111111111010000102220\\
                                           001001111111101111032103022002
1130200000000000000000002002220\\
                                           110000000000000000000002200023\\
1102300000000000000000000020002
                                           110000000000000000020002202302\\
1123000000000000000000000200002\\
                                           13000000000000000022022022012
                                           1102000000000000000022203222\\
1120000000000000000220023020\\
                                           011131010101101101000003101
0022011110101110000033030222
                                           110220010000010031111302003\\
0111111111111110001101302313122
                                           000220111100110100120310212
0022201111001101001002312221\\
                                           011311111011001111032103110
0133101110110011110230103311
                                           110020000000000000022020302
11202000000000000000202002322\\
                                           0111130011110101010111231311
1100011000000000000322022013\\
                                           0022020111011111010132220021\\
1011311011110101010333211300
                                           011133101111010121013213321
01331111001010111111201213332\\
                                           1130020000000000000002222202\\
1132200000000000000202200000\\
                                           112232000000000020000002022\\
1102300000000000000202022000
                                           11230000000000002000202020200\\
1103000000000000000202202200
                                           110203000000000020022222202\\
                                           1100022000000000232222022\\
11022020000000000032220020
                                           1011313101100102333331133
11020030000000000202000202\\
                                           0111313101110101031331323
10113131011001100113231131
                                           1102200000000000203022000\\
10131111011100101213331301\\
                                           1102020010101100322230113\\
11220000000000000203020222\\
                                           10113310010111112011100120\\
002220201010111100322332313
                                           1102000010101102320011330
101333300101111110213200300\\
                                           0000202001001113100230020\\
000002001010111100120311332\\
                                           10333311111111111133320220\\
112200000100111111122310200\\
                                           1100200000000000202302020
013113311111101111133120022
                                           1130222000000002022222022
11302020000000000220202200
                                           1100320000000000220000220\\
11003220000000000202002000\\
                                           1123200000000002020220020
11232000000000000200200222\\
                                           1102203000000000002220000\\
11220320000000000222220002
                                           1102032000000002002220222
```

10111101001011001030111111110102

Figure 2: Matrices A in generator matrices of $C_{40,i}$

11202002200000220232020000002222000200333020201122000000002022000320210313133101110321311131 1011133131110020001333210313311311120220031321112200202001211112222200022222000101102330001110311311311131113031302 112000220000200220203221132200200000020220002011023020200000200002220 110302002000002202202001102200320002020020200011200220300000220002200 11220032000020202022200211202322000020020022200

013002020002021202002 002330022000030000022011200202202002320000 113030002100030202302113212220120232221222003133313011121330213 113230022222012003302 013222220200222212022011222222202000222030 013002202012222022000101233113131113113113 013200200202102002002013002002222000002201013220022201020220020 013202012020220002022011022023222020002002013220120202022202022 102312200022002022222011003020220022222002

Figure 2: Matrices A in generator matrices of $C_{40,i}$ (continued)

References

- [1] R.A L. Betty and A. Munemasa, Mass formula for self-orthogonal codes over \mathbb{Z}_{p^2} , J. Combin. Inform. System Sci. **34** (2009), 51–66.
- [2] A. Bonnecaze, P. Solé, C. Bachoc and B. Mourrain, Type II codes over Z₄, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory 43 (1997), 969–976.
- [3] W. Bosma and J. Cannon, Handbook of Magma Functions, Department of Mathematics, University of Sydney, Available online at http://magma.maths.usyd.edu.au/magma/.

- [4] A.E. Brouwer, "Bounds on the size of linear codes," in Handbook of Coding Theory, V.S. Pless and W.C. Huffman (Editors), Elsevier, Amsterdam 1998, pp. 295–461.
- [5] A.R. Calderbank and N.J.A. Sloane, Double circulant codes over \mathbb{Z}_4 and even unimodular lattices, *J. Algebraic Combin.* **6** (1997), 119–131.
- [6] J.H. Conway, V. Pless and N.J.A. Sloane, The binary self-dual codes of length up to 32: a revised enumeration, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 60 (1992), 183–195.
- [7] J.H. Conway and N.J.A. Sloane, Self-dual codes over the integers modulo 4, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 62 (1993), 30–45.
- [8] P. Gaborit, Mass formulas for self-dual codes over Z_4 and $F_q + uF_q$ rings, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* **42** (1996), 1222–1228.
- [9] P. Gaborit and M. Harada, Construction of extremal Type II codes over
 Z₄, Des. Codes Cryptogr. 16 (1999), 257–269.
- [10] M. Harada, New extremal Type II codes over \mathbb{Z}_4 , Des. Codes Cryptogr. 13 (1998), 271–284.
- [11] M. Harada, Extremal Type II \mathbb{Z}_4 -codes of lengths 56 and 64, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 117 (2010), 1285–1288.
- [12] M. Harada, M. Kitazume, A. Munemasa and B. Venkov, On some self-dual codes and unimodular lattices in dimension 48, European J. Combin. 26 (2005), 543–557.
- [13] M. Harada, C.H. Lam and A. Munemasa, On the structure codes of the moonshine vertex operator algebra, (submitted), ArXiv:math.QA/1005.1144.
- [14] M. Harada, P. Solé and P. Gaborit, Self-dual codes over \mathbb{Z}_4 and unimodular lattices: a survey, Algebras and combinatorics (Hong Kong, 1997), 255–275, Springer, Singapore, 1999.
- [15] W.C. Huffman, On the classification and enumeration of self-dual codes, Finite Fields Appl. 11 (2005), 451–490.

- [16] V. Pless, J. Leon and J. Fields, All \mathbb{Z}_4 codes of Type II and length 16 are known, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 78 (1997), 32–50.
- [17] V. Pless, P. Solé and Z. Qian, Cyclic self-dual \mathbb{Z}_4 -codes, Finite Fields Appl. 3 (1997), 48–69.
- [18] E. Rains, Optimal self-dual codes over \mathbb{Z}_4 , Discrete Math. 203 (1999), 215-228.
- [19] E. Rains and N.J.A. Sloane, "Self-dual codes," Handbook of Coding Theory, V.S. Pless and W.C. Huffman (Editors), Elsevier, Amsterdam 1998, pp. 177–294.