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Part I
- $t$-designs
- intersection numbers
- 5-(24, 8, 1) design
- [24, 12, 8] binary self-dual code

Part II
- Assmus–Mattson theorem
- extremal binary doubly even codes

Part III
- Hadamard matrices
- ternary self-dual codes
Summary of Part I and II

\( \mathcal{D} \): 5-(24, 8, 1) design (Witt system).

- The binary code \( C \) of \( \mathcal{D} \) is a doubly even self-dual \([24, 12, 8]\) code.
- \( \{\text{supp}(x) \mid x \in C, \ \text{wt}(x) = 8\} = \mathcal{B} \).
- There is a unique 5-(24, 8, 1) design up to isomorphism.
- There is a unique doubly even self-dual \([24, 12, 8]\) code (up to isomorphism), by the Assmus–Mattson theorem.

Part III will cover

- Hadamard matrices
- Characterization of Hadamard matrices contained in the doubly even self-dual \([24, 12, 8]\) code, and their relationships to ternary self-dual codes
**Definition**

A Hadamard matrix of order \( n \) is an \( n \times n \) matrix with entries \( \pm 1 \), such that rows are pairwise orthogonal:

- \( H : n \times n \) matrix,
- \( H_{i,j} \in \{\pm 1\} \) for all \( i, j \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \),
- \( HH^\top = nl \).

**Example**

The Hadamard matrix of Sylvester type, where \( n = 2^v \):

\[
H = \left( (-1)^{x \cdot y} \right)_{x,y \in \mathbb{F}_2^v}.
\]

\[
v = 1 \implies H = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.
\]
Hadamard matrices of Sylvester type, $n = 2^v$

$v = 2 \implies H = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\
1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & -1 & 1
\end{bmatrix}$

$v = 3 \implies H = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\
1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1
\end{bmatrix}$
Existence of Hadamard matrices

A Hadamard matrix of order $n$ exists for

$$n = 1, 2, 4, 8, 12, 16, \ldots \text{ (multiples of 4)}, \ldots, 664, \ldots$$

Except $n = 1, 2$, the existence of a Hadamard matrix of order $n$ implies $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$:

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
1 \ldots & 1 & 1 \ldots & 1 \\
1 \ldots & 1 & 1 \ldots & -1 \ldots - 1 \\
1 \ldots & -1 \ldots - 1 & 1 \ldots & -1 \ldots - 1
\end{array}
\]

But it is not known whether a Hadamard matrix of order 668 exists.

**Conjecture**

A Hadamard matrix of order $n$ exists for any $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$.

Sylvester type: $n = 2^v = 1, 2, 4, 8, 16, \ldots$. 
If $H$ is a Hadamard matrix, then so is $H^\top$.

Two Hadamard matrices are said to be equivalent if one is obtained from the other by row or column permutations or negations:

$$H_1 \cong H_2 \iff \exists P, Q, PH_1 Q = H_2,$$

where $P$ and $Q$ are matrices in which only 1 or $-1$ appear exactly once in every row and once in every column, all other entries are 0.

The numbers of equivalence classes of Hadamard matrices are known for orders up to 32.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>order</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>24</th>
<th>28</th>
<th>32</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>number</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>487</td>
<td>13,710,027</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Normalized and binary Hadamard matrices

Every Hadamard matrix is equivalent to the one with 1 everywhere in the first row:

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & \pm 1 & \ddots \\ & & & \ddots & \pm 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Such a Hadamard matrix $H$ is said to be normalized. The binary Hadamard matrix associated to $H$ is

$$B = \frac{1}{2}(H + J) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & 1 \text{ or } 0 & \ddots \\ & & & \ddots & \pm 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ b^{(1)} \\ \vdots \\ b^{(n-1)} \end{bmatrix}$$
Hadamard 3-design

- $H$: a normalized Hadamard matrix of order $n$.
- $B = \frac{1}{2}(H + J)$: the associated binary Hadamard matrix. $B$ has row vectors $b^{(0)} = 1, b^{(1)}, \ldots, b^{(n-1)}$.

$$\mathcal{P} = \{1, \ldots, n\},$$

$$B = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} \{\text{supp}(b^{(i)}), \text{supp}(1 + b^{(i)})\}.$$ 

Then $(\mathcal{P}, B)$ is a $3-(n, \frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{4} - 1)$ design. Indeed, consider the transpose of

$$\begin{bmatrix}
1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\
1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\
1 & \cdots & 1 & -1 & \cdots & -1 \\
1 & \cdots & -1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\
1 & \cdots & -1 & -1 & \cdots & -1
\end{bmatrix} \in \begin{bmatrix} H \\ -H \end{bmatrix}$$
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The isomorphism class of Hadamard 3-design

**Definition**

Two designs \((\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B})\) and \((\mathcal{P}', \mathcal{B}')\) are said to be isomorphic if there is a bijection from \(\mathcal{P}\) to \(\mathcal{P}'\) which maps \(\mathcal{B}\) to \(\mathcal{B}'\).

\[
\begin{align*}
H & \xrightarrow{\text{normalize}} B \rightarrow (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}) \\
\text{swap rows} & \downarrow \\
H' & \xrightarrow{\text{normalize}} B' \rightarrow (\mathcal{P}', \mathcal{B}')
\end{align*}
\]

In general, \((\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}) \not\sim (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}')\)

**Definition**

The binary code of a Hadamard matrix \(H\) is defined as that of the Hadamard 3-design \((\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B})\) obtained from the binary Hadamard matrix associated to any normalized Hadamard matrix equivalent to \(H\).

Is it well defined?
The isomorphism class of the binary code

\[ H = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ h^{(1)} \\ \vdots \\ h^{(n-1)} \end{bmatrix} \longrightarrow B = \frac{1}{2}(H + J) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ b^{(1)} \\ \vdots \\ b^{(n-1)} \end{bmatrix} \]

\[ \begin{bmatrix} h^{(1)} \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ h^{(n-1)} \end{bmatrix} \longrightarrow H' = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ h^{(1)} \\ h^{(2)} * h^{(1)} \\ \vdots \\ h^{(n-1)} * h^{(1)} \end{bmatrix} \longrightarrow B' = \frac{1}{2}(H' + J) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ b^{(1)} \\ b^{(2)} + b^{(1)} + 1 \\ \vdots \\ b^{(n-1)} + b^{(1)} + 1 \end{bmatrix} \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( h^{(1)} )</th>
<th>( h^{(2)} )</th>
<th>( h^{(1)} * h^{(2)} )</th>
<th>( b^{(1)} )</th>
<th>( b^{(2)} )</th>
<th>( b^{(1)} + b^{(2)} + 1 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( B \) and \( B' \) generate the same binary code
Normalized and binary Hadamard matrices

\[ H = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ h^{(1)} \\ \vdots \\ h^{(n-1)} \end{bmatrix} \quad \longrightarrow \quad B = \frac{1}{2} (H + J) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ b^{(1)} \\ \vdots \\ b^{(n-1)} \end{bmatrix} \]

Then

- \( B \) has first row \( \mathbf{1} \), the vector with weight \( n \).
- All the other rows have weight \( \frac{n}{2} \).
- Two distinct rows of weight \( \frac{n}{2} \) have \( \frac{n}{4} \) coordinates in common in their supports.
- \( n \equiv 0 \pmod{8} \) \( \implies \) the binary code of \( H \) is self-orthogonal.
Lemma

Let $C$ be the binary code of a Hadamard matrix of order $n$.

- If $n \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$, then $C$ is doubly even self-orthogonal.
- If $n \equiv 8 \pmod{16}$, then $C$ is doubly even self-dual.

In particular, for $n = 24$, $C$ is doubly even self-dual.

- One can ask: which of the 60 Hadamard matrices of order 24 give the extended binary Golay code?
- Among the 60 Hadamard matrices of order 24, only two give the extended binary Golay code.
A (linear) ternary code of length $n$ is a subspace of the vector space $\mathbb{F}_3^n$. If $C$ is a ternary code and $\dim C = k$, we say $C$ is an ternary $[n, k]$ code. The dual code of a ternary code $C$ is defined as

$$C^\perp = \{ x \in \mathbb{F}_3^n \mid x \cdot y = 0 \ (\forall y \in C) \}.$$  

where

$$x \cdot y = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i y_i.$$ 

Then $\dim C^\perp = n - \dim C$. The code $C$ is said to be self-orthogonal if $C \subset C^\perp$ and self-dual if $C = C^\perp$. Two ternary codes are said to be isomorphic if one is obtained from the other by permutation and negation of coordinates.
Generator matrix of a ternary code

If a ternary code $C$ of length $n$ is generated by row vectors $x^{(1)}, \ldots, x^{(m)}$, then the matrix

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
x^{(1)} \\
\vdots \\
x^{(m)}
\end{bmatrix}
$$

is called a generator matrix of $C$. This means

$$
C = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{m} \epsilon_i x^{(i)} \mid \epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_m \in \mathbb{F}_3 \right\} \subset \mathbb{F}_3^n.
$$

Definition

The ternary code of a Hadamard matrix $H$ is the ternary code with generator matrix $H$. 
For $x \in \mathbb{F}_3^v$, we write

$$\text{supp}(x) = \{i | 1 \leq i \leq v, \ x_i \neq 0\},$$

$$\text{wt}(x) = |\text{supp}(x)|.$$

For a ternary code $C$, its minimum weight is

$$\min\{\text{wt}(x) \mid 0 \neq x \in C\}.$$

If an $[v, k]$ ternary code $C$ has minimum weight $d$, we call $C$ an $[v, k, d]$ code.
Lemma

Let $n$ be an integer divisible by 4. If $3|n$ and $9 \nmid n$, then the ternary code of a Hadamard matrix of order $n$ is self-dual.

In particular, the ternary code of a Hadamard matrix of order 24 is self-dual.

- Leon–Pless–Sloane (1981): there are two self-dual codes of length 24 with minimum weight 9 (largest possible), up to isomorphism.
- One can ask: which of the 60 Hadamard matrices of order 24 give the codes with minimum weight 9?
- Among the 60 Hadamard matrices of order 24, only two give codes with minimum weight 9.
Assmus and Key in their 1992 book observed:

\[
\text{DB}:=\text{HadamardDatabase}(); \\
\text{NumberOfMatrices(DB,24)} \text{ eq } 60; \\
\text{H24s}:=\{\text{Matrix(DB,24,i)}: i \text{ in } [1..60]\}; \\
\text{normalize}:=\text{func}<\text{H}|\text{H*DiagonalMatrix(Eltseq(H[1]))}>; \\
\text{J}:=\text{Matrix}((\text{Integers()}),24,24,[1:i \text{ in } [1..24^2]]); \\
\text{bH}:=\text{func}<\text{H}|\text{Parent(H)}![x \text{ div } 2:x \text{ in } \text{Eltseq(normalize(H)+J)}]>; \\
\text{bC}:=\text{func}<\text{H}|\text{LinearCode(ChangeRing(bH(H),GF(2))})>; \\
\text{tCT}:=\text{func}<\text{H}|\text{LinearCode(ChangeRing(Transpose(H),GF(3))})>;
\]

\[
[\text{i}:\text{i} \text{ in } [1..60]|\text{MinimumWeight(bC(H24s[i]) eq 8] eq [3,9];} \\
[\text{i}:\text{i} \text{ in } [1..60]|\text{MinimumWeight(tCT(H24s[i])) eq 9] eq [3,9];}
\]
Fact

Let $H$ be a Hadamard matrix of order 24. The following are equivalent.

- The binary code of $H$ has minimum weight 8 (largest).
- The ternary code of $H^\top$ has minimum weight 9 (largest).
- The binary code of $H$ is doubly even self-dual, and the minimum weight is 4 or 8.
- The ternary code of $H^\top$ is self-dual, and the minimum weight is 6 or 9. (A ternary self-dual code may have minimum weight 3, but no ternary code of a Hadamard matrix has minimum weight 3).
- There are two (up to equivalence) Hadamard matrices $H$ satisfying the above equivalent conditions.
$H$: a normalized Hadamard matrix of order 24

- $C_2$: the binary code of $H = \text{the binary code with generator matrix } B = \frac{1}{2}(H + J)$.
- $C_3$: the ternary code of $H^\top$.
- $C_2$ is doubly even self-dual, and $C_3$ is self-dual.
- $C_2$ has only weights divisible by 4, $C_3$ has only weights divisible by 3.

**Fact**

The following are equivalent:

- $C_2$ has minimum weight 8 (largest).
- $C_3$ has minimum weight 9 (largest).

We first show: $C_3 = C_3^\perp$ has no vectors of weight 3.
Suppose

\[ C_3^\perp = \{ \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{F}_3^n \mid H^\top \mathbf{v}^\top = 0 \} \]

\[ = \{ \mathbf{v} \mod 3 \mid \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Z}^n, \ \mathbf{v}H \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \} \]

contains a vector \( \mathbf{v} \) of weight 3:

\[ \mathbf{v} = (0, \ldots, 0, \epsilon_i, 0, \ldots, 0, \epsilon_j, 0, \ldots, 0, \epsilon_k, 0, \ldots, 0) \]

where \( \epsilon_i, \epsilon_j, \epsilon_k \in \{ \pm 1 \} \).

\[ \mathbf{v}H \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \]

\[ \implies \epsilon_i H_{i,\ell} + \epsilon_j H_{j,\ell} + \epsilon_k H_{k,\ell} \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \quad (\forall \ell \in \{1, \ldots, n\}) \]

\[ \implies \epsilon_i H_{i,\ell} = \epsilon_j H_{j,\ell} = \epsilon_k H_{k,\ell} \quad (\forall \ell \in \{1, \ldots, n\}) \]

\[ \implies \epsilon_j \epsilon_i H_{i,\ell} = H_{j,\ell} \quad (\forall \ell \in \{1, \ldots, n\}) \]

\[ \implies \text{row } i \text{ of } H = \text{row } j \text{ of } H, \text{ up to sign} \]

This is impossible for a Hadamard matrix \( H \).
$C_3^\perp$ does not have weight 3

- $H$: a normalized Hadamard matrix of order 24
- $C_2$: the binary code of $H = \text{the binary code with generator matrix } B = \frac{1}{2}(H + J)$.
- $C_3$: the ternary code of $H^\top$.
- $C_2$ is doubly even self-dual, and $C_3$ is self-dual.
- $C_2$ has only weights divisible by 4, $C_3$ has only weights divisible by 3.
- $C_3 = C_3^\perp$ does not have weight 3

**Fact**

The following are equivalent:

- $C_2$ has minimum weight 8 (i.e., $C_2$ doesn’t have weight 4)
- $C_3$ has minimum weight 9 (i.e., $C_2$ doesn’t have weight 6)
$H$: a normalized Hadamard matrix of order 24

- $C_2$: the binary code of $H = \text{the binary code with generator matrix } B = \frac{1}{2}(H + J)$.
- $C_3$: the ternary code of $H^\top$.

**Theorem**

The following are equivalent.

- $C_2$ has weight 4.
- $C_3$ has weight 6.
$H$: a normalized Hadamard matrix of order 24

- $C_2 = \{vB \mod 2 \mid v \in \mathbb{Z}^{24}\}$: the binary code of $H$, $B = \frac{1}{2}(H + J)$.
- $C_3 = \{v \mod 3 \mid v \in \mathbb{Z}^{24}, vH \equiv 0 \pmod{3}\}$: the ternary code of $H^\top$.

**Theorem**

The following are equivalent.

1. $C_2$ has weight 4.
2. $C_3$ has weight 6.

Proof of (2 $\implies$ 1). $v \in \{0, \pm 1\}^{24} \subset \mathbb{Z}^{24}$, $\text{wt}(v) = 6$, $vH \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. Set

$$u = \frac{1}{6}vH.$$ 

Then $u \in \mathbb{Z}^{24}$, $u \mod 2 \in C_2$, $\text{wt}(u \mod 2) = 4$. 
**Lemma**

Let $H$ be a Hadamard matrix of order $n$, $v$ a vector in $\mathbb{Z}^n$. Then

- $vH \equiv \|v\|^2 \mathbf{1} \pmod{2}$,
- $\|vH\|^2 = n\|v\|^2$.

**Proof.**

$$vH \equiv vJ = \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} v_i \right) \mathbf{1} \equiv \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} v_i^2 \right) \mathbf{1} = \|v\|^2 \mathbf{1} \pmod{2}. $$

$$\|vH\|^2 = vHH^\top v^\top = v(nI)v^\top = n\|v\|^2.$$
**H: a normalized Hadamard matrix of order 24**

- \( C_2 = \{ vB \mod 2 \mid v \in \mathbb{Z}^{24} \} \): the binary code of \( H \), \( B = \frac{1}{2}(H + J) \).
- \( v \in \{0, \pm 1\}^{24} \subset \mathbb{Z}^{24} \), \( \text{wt}(v) = 6 \), \( vH \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \).
  
  \[
  u = \frac{1}{6} vH \implies u \in \mathbb{Z}^{24}, \ \text{wt}(u \mod 2) = 4, \ u \mod 2 \in C_2.
  \]

**Lemma**

- \( vH \equiv \|v\|^2 \mathbf{1} \pmod{2} \),
- \( \|vH\|^2 = n\|v\|^2 = 24\|v\|^2 \).

Since \( \|v\|^2 = \text{wt}(v) = 6 \), \( vH \equiv \|v\|^2 \mathbf{1} \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \). Thus \( vH \equiv 0 \pmod{6} \), and \( u \in \mathbb{Z}^{24} \).

\[
\|u\|^2 = \frac{1}{6^2} 24\|v\|^2 = \frac{24 \cdot 6}{6^2} = 4 \implies \text{wt}(u \mod 2) = 4.
\]
$H$: a normalized Hadamard matrix of order 24

- $C_2 = \{vB \mod 2 \mid v \in \mathbb{Z}^{24}\}$: the binary code of $H$,
  
  $B = \frac{1}{2}(H + J)$.

- $v \in \{0, \pm 1\}^{24} \subset \mathbb{Z}^{24}$, \(\text{wt}(v) = 6\), $vH \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$.

\[
u = \frac{1}{6}vH \implies u \in \mathbb{Z}^{24}, \text{wt}(u \mod 2) = 4, u \mod 2 \in C_2.
\]

\[
u \equiv \frac{3}{6}vH \pmod{2}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2}v(2B - J) = vB - \frac{1}{2}vJ
\]

\[
\equiv vB + \epsilon 1 \pmod{2} \quad (\epsilon \in \{0, 1\})
\]

\[
= (v + \epsilon e_1)B \in C_2 \quad \text{(after reducing mod 2)}.
\]
**H**: a normalized Hadamard matrix of order 24

- $C_2$: the binary code of $H = \text{the binary code with generator matrix } B = \frac{1}{2}(H + J)$.
- $C_3$: the ternary code of $H^\top$.

Then $C_2$ is doubly even self-dual, and $C_3$ is self-dual.

**Theorem (Munemasa–Tamura, 2012)**

The following are equivalent:

1. $C_2$ has minimum weight 8 (largest).
2. $C_3$ has minimum weight 9 (largest).

We have proved $1 \implies 2$ by showing its contrapositive assertion. The other implication can be proved similarly.
Similarly, one can consider a code over $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$, the ring of integers modulo 4. The Euclidean weight of a vector $v \in (\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})^n$ is

$$\text{wt}(v) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} v_i^2,$$

where we regard $v_i \in \{0, \pm 1, 2\} \subset \mathbb{Z}$.

**Theorem**

- $C_4$: the code over $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ with generator matrix $B = \frac{1}{2}(H + J)$.
- $C_3$: the ternary code of $H^\top$.

Then both $C_4$ and $C_3$ are self-dual. Moreover, the following are equivalent:

- $C_4$ has minimum Euclidean weight 24 (largest).
- $C_3$ has minimum weight 15 (largest).
Theorem

If $C$ is a ternary self-dual code of length 48 and minimum weight 15, then $C$ is generated by a Hadamard matrix.

Unlike the case $n = 24$, the following problem is still open.

Problem

Classify ternary self-dual codes of length 48 with minimum weight 15, or classify Hadamard matrices of order 48 which generate such a code.
Extremal ternary self-dual codes

**Theorem (Mallows–Sloane, 1973)**

For $m \geq 1$, a ternary self-dual $[12m, 6m]$ code has minimum weight at most $3m + 3$.

**Definition**

A ternary self-dual $[12m, 6m]$ code with minimum weight $3m + 3$ is called extremal.

- $m = 1$: the extended ternary Golay code and the 5-(12, 6, 1) design,
- $m = 2$: exactly two codes,
- $m = 3$: at least one code,
- $m = 4$: at least two codes,
- $m = 5$: at least two codes.

All these codes are generated by a Hadamard matrix.
Extremal ternary self-dual codes

Definition
A ternary self-dual $[12m, 6m]$ code with minimum weight $3m + 3$ is called extremal.

For $m \geq 6$, no code is known. In fact, for $m$ even and $m \geq 6$, an extremal ternary self-dual $[12m, 6m, 3m + 3]$ code does not exist.

Theorem (Zhang, 1999)
There does not exist an extremal $[12m, 6m, 3m + 3]$ ternary self-dual code for $m \geq 70$. 