# Group theoretic aspects of the theory of association schemes

#### Akihiro Munemasa

Graduate School of Information Sciences Tohoku University

#### October 29, 2016 International Workshop on Algebraic Combinatorics Anhui University

• P- and Q-polynomial schemes

- P- and Q-polynomial schemes
- designs and codes (Delsarte theory)

- P- and Q-polynomial schemes
- designs and codes (Delsarte theory)
- spherical designs

- $\bullet$  P- and Q-polynomial schemes  $\rightarrow$  Terwilliger algebras
- designs and codes (Delsarte theory)
- spherical designs

- $\bullet$  P- and Q-polynomial schemes  $\rightarrow$  Terwilliger algebras
- $\bullet$  designs and codes (Delsarte theory)  $\rightarrow$  SDP
- spherical designs

- $\bullet$  P- and Q-polynomial schemes  $\rightarrow$  Terwilliger algebras
- $\bullet$  designs and codes (Delsarte theory)  $\rightarrow$  SDP
- $\bullet\,$  spherical designs  $\to\,$  Euclidean designs

 $\exists$  inequivalent permutation representations which are equivalent as representations

 $\exists$  inequivalent permutation representations which are equivalent as representations

(like cospectral graphs)

 $\exists$  inequivalent permutation representations which are equivalent as representations

(like cospectral graphs)

ordinary representation  $\rightarrow$  centralizer = algebra permutation representation  $\rightarrow$  combinatorial object

# Centralizer

Multiplicity-free permutation representation of a finite group G acting on a set  $X \rightarrow$  centralizer algebra has a basis consisting of (0, 1)-matrices

$$A_0 = I, A_1, \dots, A_d$$
 with  $\sum_{i=0}^d A_i = J.$ 

These matrices represent orbitals:

$$X imes X = igcup_{i=0}^d R_i$$
 (*G*-orbits).

Since it is a basis of an algebra,

$$A_i A_j = \sum_{k=0}^d p_{ij}^k A_k.$$
 (1)

$$\{A_0 = I, A_1, \dots, A_d\} = \{A_0^\top = I, A_1^\top, \dots, A_d^\top\}.$$
 (2)

This allows one to forget the group *G* to define an association scheme:  $(X, \{R_i\}_{i=0}^d)$ ; where we require the matrices representing the partition  $\{R_i\}_{i=0}^d$  of  $X \times X$  satisfy (1) and (2).

Since it is a basis of an algebra,

$$A_i A_j = \sum_{k=0}^d p_{ij}^k A_k. \tag{1}$$

$$\{A_0 = I, A_1, \dots, A_d\} = \{A_0^\top = I, A_1^\top, \dots, A_d^\top\}.$$
 (2)

This allows one to forget the group G to define an association scheme:  $(X, \{R_i\}_{i=0}^d)$ ; where we require the matrices representing the partition  $\{R_i\}_{i=0}^d$  of  $X \times X$  satisfy (1) and (2). multiplicity-free  $\implies$  commutative.

# Krein parameters $q_{ii}^k \ge 0$

Multiplicity-free permutation representation of a finite group G acting on a set X has centralizer algebra

$$\mathcal{A} = \langle \mathcal{A}_0 = \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_d \rangle$$

 $V = \mathbb{C}^X = L(X)$  decomposes as  $\mathbb{C}[G]$ -module:

$$V = V_0 \oplus V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_d$$

 $V_0$ =constant. The orthogonal projections  $E_i: V \to V_i$  form another basis of A, so

$$E_i \circ E_j = \frac{1}{|X|} \sum_{i=0}^d q_{ij}^k E_k.$$

$$V = V_0 \oplus V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_d,$$
  

$$\theta = \chi_0 + \chi_1 + \cdots + \chi_d \text{ permutation character}$$
  

$$E_i : V \to V_i: \text{ the orthogonal projection,}$$

$$E_i \circ E_j = rac{1}{|X|} \sum_{i=0}^d oldsymbol{q}_{ij}^k E_k.$$

Theorem (Scott (1977))  

$$q_{ij}^k \neq 0 \implies (\chi_i \chi_j, \chi_k) \neq 0.$$

 $q_{ii}^k 
eq 0 \implies (\chi_i \chi_j, \chi_k) 
eq 0$ 

# Problem (Bannai and Ito, p.130)

To what extent is the converse of Scott's theorem true?

A counterexample:

(Johnson scheme 
$$J(2n, n)$$
).

 $q_{ii}^{k} \neq 0 \implies (\chi_{i}\chi_{i},\chi_{k}) \neq 0$ 

# Problem (Bannai and Ito, p.130)

To what extent is the converse of Scott's theorem true?

A counterexample:

$$\mathsf{Ind}_{S_n imes S_n}^{S_{2n}}$$

(Johnson scheme J(2n, n)).

But this is imprimitive:  $S_n \times S_n \leq S_n \wr S_2 \leq S_{2n}$ .

 $q_{ii}^{k} \neq 0 \implies (\chi_{i}\chi_{i},\chi_{k}) \neq 0$ 

# Problem (Bannai and Ito, p.130)

To what extent is the converse of Scott's theorem true?

A counterexample:

$$\mathsf{Ind}_{S_n \times S_n}^{S_{2n}}$$

(Johnson scheme J(2n, n)).

But this is imprimitive:  $S_n \times S_n \leq S_n \wr S_2 \leq S_{2n}$ . Is there a primitive counterexample?

$$q_{ij}^k = 0$$
 but  $(\chi_i \chi_j, \chi_k) 
eq 0$  ?

#### The primitive counterexample of the smallest degree is

$$G = PGL(2,11)$$
 acting on  $PGL(2,11)/D_{20}$ 

of degree 66.

# Eigenvalues of association schemes

Multiplicity-free permutation representation of a finite group G acting on a set X = G/H has centralizer algebra

$$\mathcal{A} = \langle A_0 = I, A_1, \dots, A_d 
angle$$
  
 $\mathcal{V} = \mathbb{C}^X = L(X)$  decomposes as  $\mathbb{C}[G]$ -module:  
 $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_0 \oplus \mathcal{V}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{V}_d$ 

 $V_0$ =constant.  $A_i$  acts on  $V_j$  as a scalar:

$$\frac{1}{|H|}\sum_{g\in Ha_iH}\chi_j(g).$$

# Eigenvalues of association schemes

Multiplicity-free permutation representation of a finite group G acting on a set X = G/H has centralizer algebra

$$\mathcal{A} = \langle A_0 = I, A_1, \ldots, A_d \rangle$$

Eigenvalues of  $A_i$  are

$$\{rac{1}{|\mathcal{H}|}\sum_{g\in\mathcal{H}a_{j}\mathcal{H}}\chi_{j}(g)\mid 0\leq j\leq d\}\subset\mathbb{Q}(\exprac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}{e(G)}).$$

where e(G) is the exponent of G.

# Question (Bannai and Ito, p.123)

Are there any association schemes in which eigenvalues of  $A_i$ 's are not all in a cyclotomic number field?

By the Kronecker–Weber theorem, this is equivalent to ask whether the Galois group of the splitting field of the characteristic polynomial of  $A_i$  is abelian.

# Theorem (M. (1991), Coste–Gannon (1994))

If  $q_{ij}^k \in \mathbb{Q}$ , then eigenvalues of  $A_i$ 's are in a cyclotomic number field.

#### Unlike the converse to Scott's theorem, the question:

# Question (Bannai and Ito, p.123)

Are there any association schemes in which eigenvalues of  $A_i$ 's are not all in a cyclotomic number field?

makes sense for non-commutative association schemes as well.

# Splitting fields of association schemes

Let

$$\mathcal{A} = \langle \mathcal{A}_0 = \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_d \rangle$$

be an algebra spanned by disjoint (0, 1)-matrices.

- A is the centralizer of a multiplicity-free permutation representation, then the formula for spherical functions implies that eigenvalues of A<sub>i</sub>'s are cyclotomic.
- Bannai–Ito asks: the same holds if A is commutative (without group action)?
- A is the centralizer of a non-multiplicity-free permutation representation, then this is not true.

A. Ryba and S. Smith:

G = PGL(2, 11) acting on  $PGL(2, 11)/D_8$ 

of degree 165 .

A. Ryba and S. Smith:

G = PGL(2, 11) acting on  $PGL(2, 11)/D_8$ 

of degree 165 imprimitive.

$$q_{ij}^k = 0$$
 but  $(\chi_i \chi_j, \chi_k) \neq 0$ ?

The primitive example of the smallest degree is

G = PGL(2, 11) acting on  $PGL(2, 11)/D_{20}$ 

of degree 66.

$$\sqrt{3} = e^{\pi\sqrt{-1}/6} + e^{-\pi\sqrt{-1}/6}$$

$$\sqrt{3} = e^{\pi\sqrt{-1}/6} + e^{-\pi\sqrt{-1}/6}$$

 $\sqrt[4]{3} \notin$  cyclotomic field

A. Munemasa (Tohoku University)

association schemes

Hefei 2016 16 / 18

< ≣ > <

$$\sqrt{3} = e^{\pi\sqrt{-1}/6} + e^{-\pi\sqrt{-1}/6}$$

 $\sqrt[4]{3} \notin$  cyclotomic field

 $A^2$ 

#### lf

# has an irrational eigenvalue, then A is likely to have a non-cyclotomic eigenvalue.

$$\sqrt{3} = e^{\pi\sqrt{-1}/6} + e^{-\pi\sqrt{-1}/6}$$

 $\sqrt[4]{3} \notin$  cyclotomic field

#### lf

$$A^2 - cI$$
 (distance-2 graph)

has an irrational eigenvalue, then A is likely to have a non-cyclotomic eigenvalue.

A. Ryba and S. Smith:

G = PGL(2, 11) acting on  $PGL(2, 11)/D_{24}$ 

has  $1 + \sqrt{5}$  as an eigenvalue, which is a bipartite half of a bipartite 3-regular graph (flag-transitive incidence structure) on 55 + 55 vertices.

A. Ryba and S. Smith:

G = PGL(2,11) acting on  $PGL(2,11)/D_{24}$ 

has  $1 + \sqrt{5}$  as an eigenvalue, which is a bipartite half of a bipartite 3-regular graph (flag-transitive incidence structure) on 55 + 55 vertices.  $\implies 4 + \sqrt{5}$  is an eigenvalue of  $A^2$ 

A. Ryba and S. Smith:

G = PGL(2, 11) acting on  $PGL(2, 11)/D_{24}$ 

has  $1 + \sqrt{5}$  as an eigenvalue, which is a bipartite half of a bipartite 3-regular graph (flag-transitive incidence structure) on 55 + 55 vertices.  $\implies 4 + \sqrt{5}$  is an eigenvalue of  $A^2$  $\implies \sqrt{4 + \sqrt{5}}$  is an eigenvalue of A

A. Ryba and S. Smith:

G = PGL(2, 11) acting on  $PGL(2, 11)/D_{24}$ 

has  $1 + \sqrt{5}$  as an eigenvalue, which is a bipartite half of a bipartite 3-regular graph (flag-transitive incidence structure) on 55 + 55 vertices.  $\implies 4 + \sqrt{5}$  is an eigenvalue of  $A^2$ 

 $\implies \sqrt{4 + \sqrt{5}} \text{ is an eigenvalue of } A$  $\implies 1 + \sqrt{4 + \sqrt{5}} \text{ is an eigenvalue of the line graph}$ (G acts transitively, imprimitively).

- The smallest primitive group with non-cyclotomic eigenvalue is  $PSL(2, 19)/D_{20}$ .
- The smallest (imprimitive) transitive group with non-cyclotomic eigenvalue is of degree 32 (due to classification of association schemes by Hanaki).
- Hanaki and Uno (2006). Even for a prime number of points, the question is unsettled.

Thank you for your attention!