Random walks, Quantum Walks, and Free Meixner Laws

Nobuaki Obata

GSIS, Tohoku University

ITB, October 22, 2012

Lattices vs Trees





additive group \mathbf{Z}^n commutative independence many cycles binomial coefficients Normal distribution free group F_n free independence no cycles Catalan numbers Wigner semi-circle law

Spidernet = Tree + Large cycles

$$egin{aligned} S(a,b,c)\ a &= \deg(o)\ b &= \deg(x) ext{ for } x
eq o \ c &= \omega_+(x) ext{ for } x
eq o \end{aligned}$$



Spectral analysis [Igarashi-O. Banach Center Publ. 73 (2006)]

<u>Method</u>: Stratification \implies Quantum decomposition of the adjacency matrix \implies Orthogonal polynomials \implies Free Meixner law

Plan

[0]



2 One-Mode Interacting Fock Spaces

3 Free Meixner Laws

Quantum Walks

1. Random Walks

1.0. Random Walks and Markov Chains



Random Walk on 1-dim Integer Lattice

Transition Diagram

Markov chain

Digraph + Weights on edges = Transition Matrix $P = [p_{ij}]$

1.1. Recurrence of Markov Chains

 $\{X_n\}$: a (time homogeneous) Markov chain on a state space $S = \{i, j, ...\}$ $p(i, j) = P(X_1 = j | X_0 = i)$: One-step transition probability P = [p(i, j)]: transition matrix

Then the n-step transition probability is given by

$$p_n(i,j) = P(X_n = j | X_0 = i) = P^n(i,j)$$

Definition

A state $i \in S$ is called *recurrent* if

$$P(T_i < \infty | X_0 = i) = 1,$$

where $T_i = \inf\{n \ge 1; X_n = i\}$ is the first hitting time of i.

Theorem (Standard)

A state $i \in S$ is recurrent if and only if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P^n(i,i) = +\infty.$$

1.2. Random Walks on a Half Line

 $\{X_n\}$: random walk on $\mathbb{Z}_+ = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$



 $P(\text{right move}) = p, \quad q = 1 - p = P(\text{left move}), \quad 0$ 0 is a reflection barrier

Transition matrix:

We have

$$p_n(i,j) = P^n(i,j) = \langle \Phi_i, P^n \Phi_j \rangle$$

1.3. Integral Representation of Transition Probability

 $\{X_n\}$: random walk on $\mathbb{Z}_+ = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ $P(\text{right move}) = p, \quad q = 1 - p = P(\text{left move}), \quad 0$ <math>0 is a reflection barrier

Theorem (Karlin-McGregor formula)

$$p_n(i,j) = P(X_n = j | X_0 = i) = rac{1}{\pi(i)} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x^n Q_i(x) Q_j(x) \mu(dx),$$

where

() μ is the <u>Kesten distribution</u> with parameters q, pq

2 $\{Q_n\}$ be the polynomials associated with P^t (transposed P).

(a)
$$\pi(0) = 1$$
, $\pi(n) = \frac{p^{n-1}}{q^n}$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$

In particular,

$$p_n(0,0)=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}x^n\mu(dx),\qquad n=0,1,2,\ldots.$$



 \implies by standard method (one-mode interacting Fock spaces, Stieltjies transform, etc..) \implies we obtain the explicit expression of the Kesten distribution with parameters q, pq:

$$\mu_q(dx) = egin{cases}
ho_q(x) dx, & ext{if } 0 < q \leq 1/2, \
ho_q(x) dx + rac{2q-1}{2q} ig(\delta_{-1} + \delta_{+1}ig), & ext{if } 1/2 < q < 1, \
ho_q(x) = egin{cases} rac{\sqrt{4q(1-q)-x^2}}{2\pi q(1-x^2)}, & ext{if } |x| < 2\sqrt{q(1-q)}, \ 0, & ext{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$



1.5. Recurrence

For recurrence we need to check

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n(0,0) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_{2n}(0,0) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x^{2n} \mu_q(dx)$$

(Case I) 0 < q < 1/2. Since $\mathrm{supp}\,
ho_q \subset (-1,1)$,

$$egin{aligned} &\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_{2n}(0,0) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x^{2n} \mu_q(dx) \ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{-2\sqrt{q(1-q)}}^{2\sqrt{q(1-q)}} x^{2n} rac{\sqrt{4q(1-q)-x^2}}{2\pi q(1-x^2)} \, dx \ &= \int_{-2\sqrt{q(1-q)}}^{2\sqrt{q(1-q)}} rac{\sqrt{4q(1-q)-x^2}}{2\pi q(1-x^2)^2} \, dx < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the origin 0 is not recurrent.

(Case II) 1/2 < q < 1. Using the delta measure contained in $\mu_q(dx)$,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_{2n}(0,0) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x^{2n} \mu_q(dx) \ge \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{2q-1}{q} = +\infty.$$

Therefore, 0 is recurrent.

(Case III) q = 1/2. We perform an explicit calculation. Note that

$$ho_{1/2}(x) = rac{1}{\pi\sqrt{1-x^2}}\,, \quad |x| < 1,$$

is reduced to the arcsine law. By means of the moment sequence of the arcsine law (known) we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_{2n}(0,0) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^{+1} \frac{x^{2n}}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} dx = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \binom{2n}{n} \frac{1}{4^n}$$
$$= \lim_{z \to 1/4-0} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-4z}} = +\infty.$$

Therefore, the origin 0 is recurrent.

2. One-Mode Interacting Fock Spaces A Basic Concept of Quantum Probability

A. Hora and N.O.: Quantum Probability and Spectral Analysis of Graphs, Springer, 2007.

2.1. One-Mode Interacting Fock Spaces (in a slightly generalized form)



 \mathfrak{T} : The class of finite or infinite tridiagonal matrices of the form:

The associated interacting Fock space: $\Gamma_T = (\Gamma, \{\Phi_n\}, B^+, B^-, B^\circ)$

$$B^+\Phi_n = c_{n+1}\Phi_{n+1}, \quad B^-\Phi_n = a_{n-1}\Phi_{n-1}, \quad B^\circ\Phi_n = b_n\Phi_n$$

 $T = B^+ + B^- + B^\circ \quad (\text{quantum decomposition})$

Remark: Jacobi Matrix

A tridiagonal matrix $T \in \mathfrak{T}$ is called a Jacobi matrix if it is real, symmetric with positive off-diagonal entries, i.e., it is of the form:

$$T=egin{bmatrix} lpha_1&\sqrt{\omega_1}&&&&&&\ \sqrt{\omega_1}&lpha_2&\sqrt{\omega_2}&&&&&\ &\sqrt{\omega_2}&lpha_3&\sqrt{\omega_3}&&&&\ &&\ddots&\ddots&\ddots&&\ &&&\ddots&\ddots&\ddots&&\ &&&&&\sqrt{\omega_{n-1}}&lpha_n&\sqrt{\omega_n}&&\ &&&&\ddots&\ddots&\ddots&\ddots \end{bmatrix},\quad\omega_n>0,\quadlpha_n\in\mathbb{R}.$$

In this case the pair of two sequences $(\{\omega_n\}, \{\alpha_n\})$ is called Jacobi parameters.

► $\Gamma_T = (\Gamma, \{\Phi_n\}, B^+, B^-, B^\circ)$ associated with Jacobi parameters $(\{\omega_n\}, \{\alpha_n\})$ is an interacting Fock space in an original sense (e.g., Accardi–Bożejko (1998)).



2.2. Spectral Properties



▶ positivity condition:

$$\omega_n\equiv a_{n-1}c_n>0,\qquad lpha_n\equiv b_{n-1}\in\mathbb{R},\qquad ext{for }n=1,2,\dots$$

 $\implies \exists$ a probability distribution μ on \mathbb{R} with Jacobi parameter ({ ω_n }, { α_n }), i.e., the orthogonal polynomials { P_n } associated with μ satisfy

$$egin{aligned} xP_n(x) &= P_{n+1}(x) + lpha_{n+1}P_n(x) + \omega_n P_{n-1}(x) \ P_0(x) &= 1, \quad P_{-1}(x) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem

 μ is the distribution of T in the vacuum state, i.e.,

$$(T^m)_{00}=\langle\Phi_0,T^m\Phi_0
angle=\langle\Phi_0,(B^++B^-+B^\circ)^m\Phi_0
angle=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}x^m\mu(dx)$$

2.3. How to Obtain μ from $(\{\omega_n\}, \{\alpha_n\})$

 $(\{\omega_n\},\{\alpha_n\}) \implies \mu$ up to the determinate moment problem Stieltjes transform

$$G_\mu(z)=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}rac{\mu(dx)}{z-x}=rac{1}{z-lpha_1}-rac{\omega_1}{z-lpha_2}-rac{\omega_2}{z-lpha_3}-rac{\omega_3}{z-lpha_4}-\cdots,$$

where the right-hand side is convergent in $\{\text{Im } z \neq 0\}$ if the moment problem is determinate, e.g., if $\omega_n = O((n \log n)^2)$ (Carleman's test).

Stieltjes inversion formula

where $F(x) = \mu((-\infty, x])$ is the (right-continuous) distribution function of μ and $\rho(x)$ is the absolutely continuous part.

2.4. Integral Representation of the Matrix elements of T^m

Let $\Gamma_T = (\Gamma, \{\Phi_n\}, B^+, B^-, B^\circ)$, $T \in \mathfrak{T}$ with positivity condition

$$(T^m)_{00}=\langle\Phi_0,T^m\Phi_0
angle=\langle\Phi_0,(B^++B^-+B^\circ)^m\Phi_0
angle=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}x^m\mu(dx)$$

where μ is the distribution of T in the vacuum state.

Theorem (essentially due to Karlin-McGregor (1959))

Let $T \in \mathfrak{T}$ satisfy the positivity condition and μ a probability distribution on \mathbb{R} with Jacobi parameter ({ ω_n }, { α_n }). Let $\Gamma_T = (\mathcal{H}, {\{\Phi_n\}}, B^+, B^-, B^\circ)$ the associated interacting Fock space and { $Q_n(x)$ } the associated polynomials. It then holds that

$$(T^m)_{ij}=\langle\Phi_i,T^m\Phi_j
angle=rac{a_0a_1\ldots a_{j-1}}{c_1c_2\ldots c_j}\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}x^mQ_i(x)Q_j(x)\mu(dx).$$

▶ Note: Here *T* is not necessarily a Jacobi matrix, but the proof of Karlin–McGregor (1959) is valid to our case.

▶ Application: Computing the transition probability $P(X_n = i | X_0 = j)$ for a nearest-neighbor random walk on $\{0, 1, 2, ...\}$.

where the polynomials associated with a tridiagonal matrix are defined as follows:

$$T = egin{bmatrix} b_0 & a_0 & & & \ c_1 & b_1 & a_1 & & \ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ & & c_n & b_n & a_n & \ & & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{bmatrix} \in \mathfrak{T}$$

we define a sequence of polynomials $Q_0(x), Q_1(x), Q_2(x), \ldots$ by

$$\begin{cases} xQ_{n}(x) = a_{n}Q_{n+1}(x) + b_{n}Q_{n}(x) + c_{n}Q_{n-1}(x) \\ Q_{0}(x) = 1, \\ Q_{-1}(x) = 0 \end{cases}$$

equivalently, $x \begin{bmatrix} Q_{0} \\ Q_{1} \\ Q_{2} \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} = T \begin{bmatrix} Q_{0} \\ Q_{1} \\ Q_{2} \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix}$

or

3. Free Meixner Laws

3.1. Free Meixner Laws

The free Meixner law with parameter p>0, $q\geq 0$, $a\in\mathbb{R}$ is a probability distribution $\mu=\mu_{p,q,a}$ specified uniquely by

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\mu(dx)}{z-x} = \frac{1}{z} - \frac{p}{z-a} - \frac{q}{z-a} - \frac{q}{z-a} - \frac{q}{z-a} - \frac{q}{z-a} - \cdots$$
$$= \frac{(2q-p)z + pa - p\sqrt{(z-a)^2 - 4q}}{2(q-p)z^2 + 2paz + 2p^2}$$

$$egin{aligned} \mu(dx) &=
ho(x) dx + w_1 \delta_{c_1} + w_2 \delta_{c_2} \,, \ &
ho(x) &= rac{p}{2\pi} \, rac{\sqrt{4q - (x - a)^2}}{(q - p) x^2 + pax + p^2}, \quad |x - a| \leq 2\sqrt{q}, \end{aligned}$$

 c_1, c_2, w_1, w_2 are known explicitly, see e.g., [Hora-O. Book, 2007]

Special cases

- **()** A free Meixner law with a = 0 is the <u>Kesten measure</u> with parameter p, q.
- A free Meixner law with a = 0 and p = q = 1 is the (normalized) Wigner semicircle law.

$$\mu_{4,3,a}(dx) = \rho_{4,3,a}(x)dx + w_1\delta_{c_1} + w_2\delta_{c_2}$$



3.2. Spectral Distributions of Spidernets

$$G = S(a, b, c)$$

 $a = \deg(o)$
 $b = \deg(x)$ for $x \neq o$
 $c = \omega_+(x)$ for $x \neq o$

A: adjacency matrix of
$$G$$
, i.e. $(A)_{xy} = egin{cases} 1, & x \sim y \ 0, & ext{otherwise} \end{cases}$



Theorem (Igarashi-O. (2006))

Let A be the adjacency matrix of a spidernet S(a,b,c). Then we have

$$\langle \delta_o, A^m \delta_o
angle = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x^m \mu_{a,c,b-1-c}(dx), \qquad m=1,2,\ldots,$$

where $\mu_{a,c,b-1-c}$ is the free Meixner law with parameter a, c, b-1-c.

4. Quantum Walks

N. Konno, N.O. and E. Segawa: Localization of the Grover walks on spidernets and free Meixner laws, arXiv:1206.4422 (June 2012)

4.1. Grover Walks on Graphs

$$G = (V, E)$$
: a graph
 $A(G) = \{(u, v) \in V \times V ; u \sim v\}$ (half-edge)
 $\mathcal{H}(G) = \ell^2(A(G))$: state space of Grover walk
 $\{\delta_{(u,v)} ; (u, v) \in A(G)\}$: canonical basis of $\mathcal{H}(G)$

Coin flip operator C is defined by

$$C\delta_{(u,v)} = \sum_{w\sim u} (H^{(u)})_{vw}\delta_{(u,w)},$$

where $H^{(u)}$ is the Grover matrix, i.e.,

$$(H^{(u)})_{vw}=rac{2}{\deg(u)}-\delta_{wv},$$

Shift operator S is defined by

$$S\delta_{(u,v)} = \delta_{(v,u)}$$

 \bigcirc the time evolution of the quantum walk is given by U = SC.

• $\{\Phi_n = U^n \Phi_0\}$ is the *Grover walk* with initial state Φ_0 .



4.2. Spidernets S(a, b, c)

U = SC: Grover walk on the spidernet G = S(a, b, c)

$$egin{aligned} a &= \deg(o) \ b &= \deg(x) ext{ for } x
eq o \ c &= \omega_+(x) ext{ for } x
eq o \end{aligned}$$

One-step transition probabilities at $x \neq o$:

$$p = \frac{c}{b}$$
$$q = \frac{1}{b}$$
$$r = \frac{b - c - 1}{b}$$

$$p>0, \quad q>0, \quad r\geq 0, \ p+q+r=1.$$



S(4, 6, 3)

Stratification:

$$V = igcup_{n=0}^{\infty} V_n\,, \qquad V_n = \{u \in V\,;\, \partial(u,o) = n\}$$

4.3. Reduction to (p,q)-Quantum walk on \mathbb{Z}_+



$$\begin{split} \psi_n^+ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{ac^n}} \sum_{\substack{u \in V_n \\ v < u}} \sum_{\substack{v \in V_{n+1} \\ v < u}} \delta_{(u,v)} , & n \ge 0, \\ \psi_n^\circ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{a(b-c-1)c^{n-1}}} \sum_{\substack{u \in V_n \\ v < u}} \sum_{\substack{v \in V_n \\ v < u}} \delta_{(u,v)} , & n \ge 1, \\ \psi_n^- &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{ac^{n-1}}} \sum_{\substack{u \in V_n \\ v < u}} \sum_{\substack{v \in V_{n-1} \\ v < u}} \delta_{(u,v)} , & n \ge 1. \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} C\psi_n^+ &= \begin{cases} \psi_0^+ \,, & n=0, \\ (2p-1)\psi_n^+ + 2\sqrt{pr}\,\psi_n^\circ + 2\sqrt{pq}\,\psi_n^- \,, & n\geq 1, \end{cases} \\ C\psi_n^\circ &= 2\sqrt{pr}\,\psi_n^+ + (2r-1)\psi_n^\circ + 2\sqrt{qr}\,\psi_n^- \,, & n\geq 1, \\ C\psi_n^- &= 2\sqrt{pq}\,\psi_n^+ + 2\sqrt{qr}\,\psi_n^\circ + (2q-1)\psi_n^- \,, & n\geq 1, \end{cases} \\ S\psi_n^+ &= \psi_{n+1}^- \,, \quad n\geq 0; \quad S\psi_n^\circ = \psi_n^\circ \,, \quad n\geq 1; \quad S\psi_n^- = \psi_{n-1}^+ \,, \quad n\geq 1. \end{split}$$

- $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{Z}_+) = \mathbb{C}\psi_0^+ \oplus \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \oplus (\mathbb{C}\psi_n^+ \oplus \mathbb{C}\psi_n^\circ \oplus \mathbb{C}\psi_n^-)$ is invariant under U = SC.
- The unitary operator U = SC restricted to $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{Z}_+)$ is called a (p,q)-quantum walk on \mathbf{Z}_+ .

4.4. Main Problem

Probability amplitude (pprox quantum counterpart of transition probability)

Let U be the (p,q)-quantum walk U on \mathbf{Z}_+ . We are interested in

$$\langle \psi^+_0, U^n \psi^+_0
angle, \qquad n=0,1,2,\ldots,$$

and its asymptotics as $n \to \infty$.

We employ "cutoff" of the (p,q)-quantum walk on \mathbf{Z}_+ thanks to the fact that

$$\mathcal{H}(N) = \mathbb{C}\psi_0^+ \oplus \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \oplus (\mathbb{C}\psi_n^+ \oplus \mathbb{C}\psi_n^\circ \oplus \mathbb{C}\psi_n^-) \oplus \mathbb{C}\psi_N^-$$

 $U_N = S_N C_N, \quad \text{where } C = C_N \text{ and } S = S_N \text{ as before except } C\psi_N^- = \psi_N^-$

4.5. Spectral Analysis of U_N

 $U = U_N$ acts on $\mathcal{H}(N)$:



For further reduction we define

$$\begin{split} \Psi_0 &= \psi_0^+, \\ \Psi_n &= \sqrt{p} \, \psi_n^+ + \sqrt{r} \, \psi_n^\circ + \sqrt{q} \, \psi_n^-, \quad 1 \le n \le N-1, \\ \Psi_N &= \psi_N^- \\ \Gamma(N) &= \sum_{n=0}^N \mathbb{C} \Psi_n \subset \mathcal{H}(N) \\ \Pi &: \mathcal{H}(N) \to \Gamma(N) \quad \text{orthogonal projection} \\ T &= \Pi U \Pi \quad \text{as an operator on } \Gamma(N) \end{split}$$

It is shown that $\operatorname{Tr} U = (2r - 1)(N - 1)$.

Matrix expression of T with respect to the orthnormal basis $\{\Psi_j; 0 \le j \le N\}$:

<u>Notice</u>: In what follows we asume that r > 0. The case of r = 0 is similar.

- Every eigenvalue of T is simple.
- **2** Spec(*T*) ⊂ [-1, 1].
- $1 \in \operatorname{Spec}(T)$ and $-1 \not\in \operatorname{Spec}(T)$.

Thus, the eigenvalues of T are arranged in such a way that

$$egin{aligned} \lambda_0 &= 1 = \cos heta_0, \quad \lambda_1 = \cos heta_1, \quad \lambda_2 = \cos heta_2, \quad \dots, \quad \lambda_N = \cos heta_N, \ 0 &= heta_0 < heta_1 < heta_2 < \dots < heta_N < \pi. \end{aligned}$$

٠

Let $\{\Omega_j \ ; \ 0 \leq j \leq N\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $\Gamma(N)$ such that $T\Omega_j = \lambda_j \Omega_j$.

() We have an orthogonal decomposition into *U*-invariant subspaces:

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{H}(N) &= \mathcal{L}_0 \oplus \mathcal{L}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{L}_N \oplus \mathcal{M}, \ \mathcal{L}_0 &= \mathbb{C}\Omega_0\,, \qquad \mathcal{L}_j = \mathbb{C}\Omega_j + \mathbb{C}S\Omega_j\,, \quad 1 \leq j \leq N. \end{aligned}$$

 ${\small @ U{\restriction_{{\mathcal L}_j}}} \text{ with respect to the basis } \{\Omega_j,S\Omega_j\} \text{ is given by }$

$$egin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \ 1 & 2\lambda_j \end{bmatrix},$$

of which the eigenvalues are

$$\lambda_j \pm i \sqrt{1-\lambda_j^2} = e^{\pm i heta_j}.$$

3 By $\mathcal{H}(N) = \mathcal{L}_0 \oplus \mathcal{L}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{L}_N \oplus \mathcal{M}$ we have

 $\mathrm{Tr}\left(U
ight)=2\mathrm{Tr}\left(T
ight)-1+\mathrm{Tr}\left(U{\upharpoonright}_{\mathcal{M}}
ight)=2r(N-1)-1+\mathrm{Tr}\left(U{\upharpoonright}_{\mathcal{M}}
ight).$

• Since $\operatorname{Tr}(U) = (2r-1)(N-1)$, we have $\operatorname{Tr}(U|_{\mathcal{M}}) = -(N-2)$.

Since dim $\mathcal{M} = (3N - 1) - (2N + 1) = N - 2$, we see that $U \upharpoonright_{\mathcal{M}} = -I$. Therefore the multiplicity of the eigenvalue -1 coincides with dim $\mathcal{M} = N - 2$. Theorem (Spectra of U_N for r > 0)

(1) The eigenvalues of $U = U_N$ are

1,
$$e^{\pm i\theta_j}$$
 $(1 \le j \le N), -1.$

(2) All the eigenvalues except -1 are multiplicity free and the multiplicity of the eigenvalue -1 is N - 2.

(3) We set

$$\Omega_j^{\pm} = rac{1}{\sqrt{2}\,\sin heta_j}\,(\Omega_j - e^{\pm i heta_j}S\Omega_j), \quad 1 \le j \le N.$$

Then Ω_j^{\pm} is a normalized eigenvector of U with eigenvalue $e^{\pm i \theta_j}$, i.e.,

$$\Omega_j^\pm \in \mathcal{L}_j\,, \hspace{1em} \|\Omega_j^\pm\| = 1, \hspace{1em} U\Omega_j^\pm = e^{\pm i heta_j}\Omega_j^\pm.$$

Theorem (Spectra of U_N for r = 0)

(1) The eigenvalues of U are

1,
$$e^{\pm i\theta_j}$$
 $(1 \le j \le N-1), -1.$

(2) All the eigenvalues except -1 are multiplicity free and the multiplicity of the eigenvalue -1 is N.
(3) We set

$$\Omega_j^{\pm} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2} \sin \theta_j} \left(\Omega_j - e^{\pm i \theta_j} S \Omega_j \right), \quad 1 \le j \le N - 1.$$

Then Ω_{i}^{\pm} is a normalized eigenvector of U with eigenvalue $e^{\pm i\theta_{j}}$, i.e.,

$$\Omega_j^\pm \in \mathcal{L}_j\,, \hspace{1em} \|\Omega_j^\pm\| = 1, \hspace{1em} U\Omega_j^\pm = e^{\pm i heta_j}\Omega_j^\pm.$$

4.6. Integral Representation of Probability Amplitudes

Lemma

Let p>0, q>0, $r=1-p-q\geq 0$ be constant numbers and U the (p,q)-quantum walk on \mathbb{Z}_+ . Then for $n=0,1,2,\ldots$ it holds that

$$\langle \psi_0^+, U^n \psi_0^+
angle = \sum_{j=0}^N |\langle \Psi_0, \Omega_j
angle|^2 \cos n heta_j,$$

where N>n and Ω_j is the eigenvector of T_N with eigenvalue $\cos heta_j$.

<u>Proof</u> By expansion in terms of eigenvectors.

$$egin{aligned} &\langle \Psi_0, U^n \Psi_0
angle &= |\langle \Omega_0, \Psi_0
angle|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^N |\langle \Omega_j^+, \Psi_0
angle|^2 e^{in heta_j} + \sum_{j=1}^N |\langle \Omega_j, \Psi_0
angle|^2 e^{in heta_j} + e^{-in heta_j} \ &= |\langle \Omega_0, \Psi_0
angle|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^N |\langle \Omega_j, \Psi_0
angle|^2 rac{e^{in heta_j} + e^{-in heta_j}}{2} \ &= |\langle \Omega_0, \Psi_0
angle|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^N |\langle \Omega_j, \Psi_0
angle|^2 \cos n heta_j \,. \end{aligned}$$

Noting that $\theta_0 = 0$ we come to the desired expression.

Lemma

The probability distribution associated with the T_N is given by

$$\mu_N = \sum_{j=0}^N |\langle \Omega_j, \Psi_0
angle|^2 \delta_{\lambda_j}$$

Moreover, μ_N converges weakly to the free Meixner law with parameters q, pq, r.

Proof The first part is standard.

The second part is verified by observing

and the fact that convergence in moments + uniqueness of moment problem \Longrightarrow weak convergence.

Theorem (Integral representation)

Let U be the (p,q)-quantum walk on \mathbf{Z}_+ (r>0 but r=0 also). We have

$$\langle \psi_0^+, U^n \psi_0^+
angle = \int_{-1}^1 \cos n \theta \, \mu(d\lambda), \qquad \lambda = \cos heta,$$

where μ is the free Meixner distribution with parameters q, pq, r.

<u>Proof</u>

$$\langle \psi_0^+, U^n \psi_0^+
angle = \sum_{j=0}^N |\langle \Psi_0, \Omega_j
angle|^2 \cos n heta_j = \int_{-1}^1 \cos n heta \mu_N(d\lambda),$$

where $\cos \theta = \lambda$. This holds whenever n < N. Then letting $N o \infty$, we obtain

$$\langle \psi_0^+, U^n \psi_0^+
angle = \int_{-1}^1 \cos n \theta \, \mu(d\lambda),$$

where μ is the free Meixner distribution with parameters q, pq, r.

Recall (Igarashi-O.): for a random walk we have

$$\langle \delta_0, P^n \delta_0
angle = \int_{-1}^1 \lambda^n \, \mu(d\lambda)$$

 $\mu(dx)$: free Meixner distribution with parameters q, pq, r

• For (p,q)-quantum walk on \mathbf{Z}_+ we have

$$\langle \psi_0^+, U^n \psi_0^+
angle = \int_{-1}^1 \cos n \theta \, \mu(d\lambda), \qquad \lambda = \cos heta,$$

Por a lazy random walk we have

$$\langle \delta_0, P^n \delta_0
angle = \int_{-1}^1 \lambda^n \, \mu(d\lambda)$$



 \blacktriangleright For a spidernet S(a,b,c) we have $\mu(dx)=
ho(x)dx+w\delta_c$

Application: Initial Value Localization

• The free Meixner law with parameters q, pq, r is of the form

$$\mu(dx)=
ho(x)dx+w_1\delta_{c_1}+w_2\delta_{c_2}$$

(An explicit description is known.)

• For the spider net S(a, b, c) it is sufficient to consider the case where

$$p+q+r=1, \qquad p>q>0, \qquad r>0.$$

• In this case the free Meixner law is of the form:

$$\mu(dx) =
ho(x) dx + w \delta_c \,, \ c = -rac{q}{1-p} \,, \quad w = \max\left\{rac{(1-p)^2 - pq}{(1-p)(1-p+q)} \,, 0
ight\}$$

ullet Then, as $n o \infty$ we have

$$\langle \psi_0^+, U^n \psi_0^+
angle = \int_{-1}^1 \cos n heta \, \mu(d\lambda) \sim w \cos n ilde{ heta} \qquad \cos ilde{ heta} = -rac{q}{1-p}$$

• Hence, the localization occurs $\Longleftrightarrow w > 0 \Longleftrightarrow (1-p)^2 - pq > 0.$

Theorem (Konno-O.-Segawa (2012))

Let $\{X_n\}$ be the "position process" of the Grover walk $\{U^n\Psi_0\}$ on the spidernet $S(\kappa, \kappa + 2, \kappa - 1)$ with $\kappa \ge 2$. Then we have

$$P(X_n=o) = |\langle \psi_0^+, U^n \psi_0^+
angle|^2 \sim egin{cases} 0, & ext{for } \kappa \geq 10, \ \left(rac{10-\kappa}{12}
ight)^2 \cos^2(n ilde{ heta}) & ext{for } 2 \leq \kappa < 10, \end{cases}$$

where $ilde{ heta}=rccos(-1/3).$ Moreover, for $2\leq\kappa<10$ we have

$$\overline{q}^{(\infty)}(o) = \lim_{N o \infty} rac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} P(X_n = o) = rac{1}{2} \left(rac{\kappa - 10}{12}
ight)^2 > 0.$$

Namely, (initial point) localization occurs at position o.

[Chisaki *et al.* (2009)] No localization for Grover walks on trees (the initial state $= \psi_0^+$) large $\kappa \iff$ density of large cycles is low (close to a tree) small $\kappa \iff$ density of large cycles is high \implies emergence of localization

Example: Localization occurs for S(4, 6, 3)



$$P(X_n = o) \sim \left(\frac{10 - 4}{12}\right)^2 \cos^2(n\tilde{\theta}), \qquad \tilde{\theta} = \arccos(-1/3)$$
$$\bar{q}^{(\infty)}(o) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} P(X_n = o) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{4 - 10}{12}\right)^2 = \frac{1}{8}.$$

<u>Note</u>: We have a good estimate for $P(X_n \in V_l)$ too.