球面上の代数的組合せ論入門 An introduction to algebraic combinatorics on a sphere

Hiroshi Nozaki

Aichi University of Education

代数的組合せ論「夏の学校 2014」 Algebraic combinatorics "Summer school 2014"

Algebraic Combinatorics

Problem 1

What is a "good" finite set on the unit sphere S^{d-1} ?



1 Coding theory (local viewpoint)

- Spherical *u*-code
 - \rightarrow Kissing number
- Optimal code
- s-distance set

2 Design theory (global viewpoint)

Spherical *t*-design

 $\rightarrow Q\text{-polynomial}$ association scheme

- 1 Kissing number configurations
- 2 Optimal spherical codes
- 3 Spherical harmonics and linear programming method
- 4 *s*-distance sets
- 5 Spherical *t*-design
- 6 Results obtained from parameters s and t

Code on a sphere

 $X\colon$ a finite set on S^{d-1} $A(X):=\{\langle x,y\rangle\mid x,y\in X,x\neq y\}.$

Definition 2

X is called <u>u</u>-code if $A(X) \subset [-1, u]$.

Problem 3

For given $u \in [-1, 1]$ and d, find maximum |X| in u-codes X.





Kissing number on S^{d-1} : k(d)

$$k(2) = 6$$

k(3) = 12

- Famous disagreement between Newton and Gregory (1694)
- Proved by Schutte and van der Waerden (1953), Leech (1956), ...



k(4) = 24 (24-cell)

Musin (Annals of Math. 2008), Bachoc–Vallentin (2008,SDP)

 $k(8) = 240 \ (E_8 \ {\rm root \ system}), \ {\rm LP}$

k(24)=196560 (Minimum vectors of the Leech lattice), LP

Odlyzko–Sloane (1979)

Kissing number on S^{d-1} : k(d)

$$k(2) = 6$$



For other dimensions, nobody knows k(d).

k(3) = 12

- Famous disagreement between Newton and Gregory (1694)
- Proved by Schutte and van der Waerden (1953), Leech (1956), ...



k(4) = 24 (24-cell)

Musin (Annals of Math. 2008), Bachoc–Vallentin (2008,SDP)

 $k(8) = 240 \ (E_8 \ {\rm root \ system}), \ {\rm LP}$

k(24)=196560 (Minimum vectors of the Leech lattice), LP

Odlyzko–Sloane (1979)

Problem 4

For a given |X| and d, find smallest u such that X is a u-code on S^{d-1} . (optimal code)



Optimal codes on S^2



The strong thirteen spheres problem



13 points Musin and Tarasov (2012)

Optimal codes in higher dimensions

dim.	size	A(X)	name
\overline{n}	n+1	-1/n	simplex
n	2n	-1, 0	cross polytope
4	10	-2/3, 1/6	Petersen graph
4	120	$-1,\pm 1/2,0,(\pm 1\pm \sqrt{5})/4$	600-cell
8	240	$-1,\pm 1/2,0$	E_{8} root
7	56	$-1, \pm 1/3$	kissing
6	27	-1/2, 1/4	kissing
5	16	-3/5, 1/5	kissing
24	196560	$-1,\pm 1/2,\pm 1/4,0$	Leech lattice
23	4600	$-1,\pm 1/3,0$	kissing
22	891	-1/2, -1/8, 1/4	kissing
23	552	$-1 \pm 1/5$	equiangular lines
22	275	-1/4, 1/6	kissing
21	162	-2/7, 1/7	kissing
22	100	-4/11, 1/11	Higman-Sims
$q\frac{q^3+1}{q+1}$	$(q+1)(q^3+1)$	$-1/q, 1/q^2$	Cameron et al'78

Proved by LP or SDP (SDP: only for Petersen graph)

 $\operatorname{Hom}_{i}(\mathbb{R}^{d})$ denotes the linear space of homogeneous polynomials of degree *i*, in *d* variables x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d} .

$$\dim \operatorname{Hom}_{i}(\mathbb{R}^{d}) = \binom{d+i-1}{i}.$$

(*i*-combination with repetitions)

$$\underline{P_i(\mathbb{R}^d)} = \bigoplus_{j=0}^i \operatorname{Hom}_j(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

$$\dim P_i(\mathbb{R}^d) = \sum_{j=0}^i \binom{d+j-1}{j} = \binom{d+i}{i}.$$

Laplacian:
$$\Delta f = \sum_{k=1}^{d} \partial^2 f / \partial x_k^2$$
 for $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_i(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

 $\Delta : \operatorname{Hom}_i(\mathbb{R}^d) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{i-2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ (linear map)

• $\operatorname{Harm}_{i}(\mathbb{R}^{d}) := \operatorname{Ker}\Delta = \{f \mid \Delta f = 0\}$

An element of $\operatorname{Harm}_i(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is called a harmonic polynomial. Actually Δ is surjective.

$$\dim \operatorname{Ker} \Delta = \dim \operatorname{Hom}_{i}(\mathbb{R}^{d}) - \dim \operatorname{Im} \Delta$$
$$\dim \operatorname{Harm}_{i}(\mathbb{R}^{d}) = \dim \operatorname{Hom}_{i}(\mathbb{R}^{d}) - \dim \operatorname{Hom}_{i-2}(\mathbb{R}^{d})$$
$$= \binom{d+i-1}{i} - \binom{d+i-3}{i-2}$$

Basic results on harmonic polynomials

Let
$$r^2 = \sum_{i=1}^d x_i^2$$
.
Theorem 5
Hom_i(\mathbb{R}^d) = Harm_i(\mathbb{R}^d) \oplus r^2 Hom_{i-2}(\mathbb{R}^d).
Hom_i(\mathbb{R}^d) = $\bigoplus_{j=0}^{\lfloor i/2 \rfloor} r^{2j}$ Harm_{i-2j}(\mathbb{R}^d).
Harm_i(\mathbb{R}^d) + Harm_i(\mathbb{R}^d)($i \neq i$)

The

1

2

3

$$\operatorname{Harm}_{i}(\mathbb{R}^{d}) \perp \operatorname{Harm}_{j}(\mathbb{R}^{d}) (i \neq j)$$

 $= \bigoplus r^{2j} \operatorname{Harm}_{i-2j}(\mathbb{R}^d).$

|i/2|

 $\widetilde{j=0}$

with respect to

$$\langle \langle f,g \rangle \rangle = \frac{1}{|S^{d-1}|} \int_{S^{d-1}} f(x)g(x)d\mu(x).$$

Polynomials on a sphere

$$\text{Hom}_{i}(S^{d-1}) = \{f|_{S^{d-1}} \mid f \in \text{Hom}_{i}(\mathbb{R}^{d})\} \\
 P_{i}(S^{d-1}) = \{f|_{S^{d-1}} \mid f \in P_{i}(\mathbb{R}^{d})\} \\
 \text{Harm}_{i}(S^{d-1}) = \{f|_{S^{d-1}} \mid f \in \text{Harm}_{i}(\mathbb{R}^{d})\}$$

Theorem 6

$$\operatorname{Harm}_i(S^{d-1}) \cong \operatorname{Harm}_i(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{i}(\mathbb{R}^{d}) = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{\lfloor i/2 \rfloor} r^{2j} \operatorname{Harm}_{i-2j}(\mathbb{R}^{d}) \Rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{i}(S^{d-1}) \cong \bigoplus_{j=0}^{\lfloor i/2 \rfloor} \operatorname{Harm}_{i-2j}(\mathbb{R}^{d})$$
$$P_{i}(S^{d-1}) = \sum_{j=0}^{i} \operatorname{Hom}_{j}(S^{d-1}) \cong \bigoplus_{j=0}^{i} \operatorname{Harm}_{j}(\mathbb{R}^{d})$$

Dimension of $P_i(S^{d-1})$

$$P_i(S^{d-1}) = \bigoplus_{j=0}^i \operatorname{Harm}_j(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

$$\dim P_i(S^{d-1}) = \sum_{j=0}^i \dim \operatorname{Harm}_j(\mathbb{R}^d)$$
$$= \sum_{j=0}^i \left(\binom{d+j-1}{j} - \binom{d+j-3}{j-2} \right)$$
$$= \binom{d+i-1}{i} + \binom{d+i-2}{i-1}.$$

Gegenbauer polynomials:

$$G_0^{(d)}(t) = 1, \qquad G_1^{(d)}(t) = dt,$$

$$tG_{i-1}^{(d)}(t) = \frac{i}{d+2i-2}G_i^{(d)}(t) + \frac{d+i-4}{d+2i-6}G_{i-2}^{(d)}(t).$$

Gegenbauer polynomials form a sequence of orthogonal polynomials w.r.t.

$$(f,g) = \int_{-1}^{1} f(t)g(t)(1-t^2)^{(d-3)/2} dx$$

Note $G_i^{(d)}(1) = \dim \operatorname{Harm}_i(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Let $h_i = \dim \operatorname{Harm}_i(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Let $\{\varphi_{i,1}, \ldots, \varphi_{i,h_i}\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $\operatorname{Harm}_i(\mathbb{R}^d)$ w.r.t. $\langle \langle, \rangle \rangle$. Let \langle, \rangle be the usual inner product in \mathbb{R}^d .

Theorem 7 (Addition formula)

For any $x, y \in S^{d-1}$, we have

$$\sum_{j=0}^{h_i} \varphi_{i,j}(x)\varphi_{i,j}(y) = G_i^{(d)}(\langle x, y \rangle).$$

Positive definiteness of $G_i^{(d)}(t)$

Theorem 8

For arbitrary points $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in S^{d-1}$, and real variables ξ_1, \ldots, ξ_n , we have

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} G_k^{(d)}(\langle x_i, x_j \rangle) \xi_i \xi_j \ge 0,$$

or equivalently $(G_k^d(\langle x_i, x_j \rangle))_{i,j}$ is positive semidefinite.

Proof:

$$(G_k^{(d)}(\langle x_i, x_j \rangle))_{i,j} = (\sum_{l=0}^{h_k} \varphi_{k,l}(x_i)\varphi_{k,l}(x_j))_{i,j}$$
$$= (\varphi_{k,l}(x_i))_{i,l}{}^t(\varphi_{k,l}(x_i))_{i,l} \succeq 0$$
Corollary: $\sum_{i,j=1}^n G_k^{(d)}(\langle x_i, x_j \rangle) \ge 0.$

Theorem 9 (Delsarte, Goethals and Seidel (1977))

Let X be a subset in S^{d-1} . Suppose there exists a polynomial $g(t) = \sum_{i \ge 0} g_i G_i^{(d)}(t)$ s.t.

 $\label{eq:gamma} \ \ g(1)>0, \ g(\alpha)\leq 0 \ \ \text{for any} \ \alpha\in A(X),$

• $g_0 > 0$, and $g_i \ge 0$ for any i.

Then

$$|X| \le \frac{g(1)}{g_0}.$$

Proof of LP bound

I

Proof.
$$n_{\alpha} = |\{(x, y) \in X \times X \mid \langle x, y \rangle = \alpha\}|$$

$$\sum_{x,y \in X} g(\langle x, y \rangle) = \sum_{x,y \in X} \sum_{i \ge 0} g_i G_i^{(d)}(\langle x, y \rangle)$$

$$\begin{aligned} |X|g(1) \ge |X|g(1) + \sum_{\alpha \in A(X)} n_{\alpha}g(\alpha) \\ &= |X|^2 g_0 + \sum_{i \ge 1} g_i \sum_{x,y \in X} G_i^{(d)}(\langle x, y \rangle) \ge |X|^2 g_0 \\ &|X| \le \frac{g(1)}{g_0}. \end{aligned}$$

Equality holds \Leftrightarrow $g(\alpha) = 0$ and $g_i \sum_{x,y \in X} G_i^{(d)}(\langle x, y \rangle) = 0$ for any $1 \le i \le \deg g$.

Linear programming bound for spherical sets.

$$\begin{split} A(X) &= \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s\}, \; n_i = \frac{1}{X} |\{(x, y) \in X \times X \mid \langle x, y \rangle = \alpha_i\} |\\ \bullet \; L &= -(G_j^{(d)}(\alpha_i))_{1 \leq i \leq s, 1 \leq j \leq r}, \\ \bullet \; b &= (g_0, \dots, g_0), \; c = (G_1^{(d)}(1), \dots, G_r^{(d)}(1)), \\ \bullet \; x &= (g_1, \dots, g_r), \; y = (n_1, \dots, n_s). \\ & \text{maximize} \quad y b^T \; \text{ subject to } \; yL \leq c, y \geq 0 \end{split}$$

Dual linear problem:

minimize
$$cx^T$$
 subject to $Lx^T \ge b, x \ge 0$

M: Maximum, m: Minimum

$$g_0(|X|-1) = g_0 \sum_{i=1}^s n_i \le M = m \le \sum_{i=1}^r g_i G_i^{(d)}(1) = g(1) - g_0$$

Application of LP bound for kissing numbers

$$\begin{split} X \subset S^7 &: E_8 \text{ root system} \\ |X| = 240. \ A(X) = \{1/2, 0, -1/2, -1\}. \end{split}$$

We want to find a polynomial $g(t) = \sum_{i \ge 0} g_i G_i^{(d)}(t)$ such that

 $\label{eq:g1} \mathbf{g}(1) > 0 \text{, } g(\alpha) \leq 0 \text{ for any } \alpha \in [-1, 1/2] \text{,}$

•
$$g_0 > 0$$
, and $g_i \ge 0$ for any i_i

•
$$g(1)/g_0 < 241.$$

Actually

$$g(t) = (t+1)(t+\frac{1}{2})^2 t^2 (t-\frac{1}{2})$$

satisfies the condition. $(g(1)/g_0 = 240)$ Therefore X is a kissing number configuration. (k(24): same method)

Application of LP bound for optimal codes

 $X \subset S^7$: E_8 root system |X| = 240. $A(X) = \{1/2, 0, -1/2, -1\}$.

 \boldsymbol{X} attains the LP bound from

$$g(t) = (t+1)(t+\frac{1}{2})^2 t^2 (t-\frac{1}{2}),$$

where $g(1)/g_0 = 240$.

If there exists $Y \subset S^7$ such that |Y| = 240 and $A(Y) \subset [-1, 1/2)$. Y also attains the same LP bound. Thus $A(Y) = \{-1, -1/2, 0\}$.

We perturb Y continuously to another spherical α -code with $0 < \alpha < 1/2$. g(t) must have the root α , a contradiction. X attains the LP bound from $g(t) = \sum_{i \ge 0} g_i G_i^{(d)}(t).$

•
$$g(\alpha) = 0$$
 for any $\alpha \in A(X)$.
 $\rightarrow X$ has few distances. (*s*-distance set)

 \Rightarrow

•
$$g_i \sum_{x,y \in X} G_i^{(d)}(\langle x, y \rangle) = 0$$
 for any $1 \le i \le \deg g$.
 $\rightarrow \sum_{x,y \in X} G_i^{(d)}(\langle x, y \rangle) = 0$ for any $1 \le i \le t$.
(spherical *t*-design)

Spherical *s*-distance set

Definition 10 (s-distance set)

X is called an *s*-distance set if |A(X)| = s.



2-distance set on
$$S^1$$

Problem 11

For given s and d, find largest |X| in s-distance sets $X \subset S^{d-1}$. (maximum distance set)

Theorem 12 (Delsarte-Goethals-Seidel (1977))

1 If $X \subset S^{d-1}$ is an *s*-distance set, then we have

$$|X| \le \binom{d+s-1}{s} + \binom{d+s-2}{s-1}$$

.

2 If X is an antipodal s-distance set (X = -X), then we have

$$|X| \le 2\binom{d+s-2}{s-1}.$$

X is called a tight spherical s-distance set if equality holds.

Proof of the absolute bound for *s*-distance sets

 $\begin{array}{ll} \textit{Proof} & X \text{: } s \text{-distance set in } S^{d-1} \\ \text{For each } x \in X \text{,} \end{array}$

$$f_x(\xi) = \prod_{\alpha \in A(X)} \frac{\langle x, \xi \rangle - \alpha}{1 - \alpha}.$$

•
$$f_x \in P_s(S^{d-1})$$
.
• For $y \in X$,
 $f_x(y) = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ if } x = y, \\ 0 \text{ if } x \neq y. \end{cases}$
 $\sum_{x \in X} c_x f_x(\xi) = 0 \Rightarrow \xi = y \in X$, then $c_y = 0$.
 $\{f_x\}_{x \in X}$ are linearly independent.

$$|X| \le \dim P_s(S^{d-1}) = \binom{d+s-1}{s} + \binom{d+s-2}{s-1}.\square$$

Maximum distance sets on S^1



Regular 2s-gon \Leftrightarrow Maximum antipodal s-distance set

Maximum 2-distance sets on S^{2}



Maximum 2-distance set on ${\cal S}^2$

Maximum distance sets on S^{d-1}



Maximum 3-distance set on S^2 (Shinohara,arXiv:1309.2047)

 Maximum 2-distance set on S^{d-1} :

 d 4
 5
 6
 7
 $8 \cdots 21$ 22
 23
 $24 \cdots 93 (d \neq 46, 78)$

 |X| 10
 16
 27
 28
 $\frac{d(d+1)}{2}$ 275
 276
 $\frac{d(d+1)}{2}$

Theorem 13 (Musin and N. (2010))

- A maximum 3-distance set on S⁷ has 120 points [subsets of the E₈ root system]
- 2 A maximum 3-distance set on S²¹ has 2025 points [subset of the minimum vectors of the Leech lattice]

Main tools to determine maximum distance sets

- Linear programming bound, or semidefinite programming bound
- Harmonic absolute bound
- Generalization of the Larman–Rogers–Seidel theorem.

Harmonic absolute bound

Theorem 14 (N. and Shinohara (2010))

Let X be an s-distance set in S^{d-1} . Let

$$\prod_{\alpha \in X} (t - \alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^{s} g_i G_i^{(d)}(t).$$

Then we have

$$|X| \le \sum_{i:g_i > 0} h_i,$$

where $h_i = \dim \operatorname{Harm}_i(\mathbb{R}^d) = \binom{d+i-1}{i} - \binom{d+i-3}{i-2}$.

Musin (2009) proved the bound for s = 2 and $g_1 \le 0$. $\sum_{i=0}^{s} h_i = \binom{d+s-1}{s} + \binom{d+s-2}{s-1} \text{(absolute bound)}$

Theorem 15 (N. (2010))

X: an s-distance set in S^{d-1} with $s \ge 2$, and $A(X) = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_s\}$. For each $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$, we define

$$K_i = \prod_{j=1,2,\dots,s, j \neq i} \frac{1 - \alpha_j}{\alpha_i - \alpha_j}.$$

If $|X| \ge 2 \dim P_{s-1}(S^{d-1})$, then K_i is an integer. Moreover $|K_i|$ is bounded above by some function of d and s.

- Larman, Rogers, and Seidel (1977) proved it for s = 2.
 ∑^s_{i=1} K_i = 1
 a size determined by K = K
- $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{s-1}$ are determined by K_1, \ldots, K_{s-1} , α_s .

Let X be a finite subset on the unit sphere S^{d-1} .

Definition 16 (Spherical *t*-design, Delsarte-Goethals-Seidel (1977))

X is called a spherical *t*-design in S^{d-1} \Leftrightarrow

$$\frac{1}{|X|} \sum_{x \in X} f(x) = \frac{1}{|S^{d-1}|} \int_{S^{d-1}} f(x) d\mu(x)$$

for any $f(x) \in P_t(S^{d-1})$.

Equivalent condition of spherical design

Theorem 17

 $X \subset S^{d-1}$. The following are equivalent.

- **1** X is a spherical t-design.
- **2** For each $f \in \operatorname{Harm}_i(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and any $1 \le i \le t$, we have

$$\sum_{x \in X} f(x) = 0.$$

3 For each $1 \le i \le t$, we have

$$\sum_{x,y\in X}G_i^{(d)}(\langle x,y\rangle)=0,$$

where $G_i^{(d)}$ is the Gegenbauer polynomial of degree *i*.

Proof of the theorem of equivalent conditions

(1)
$$\Leftrightarrow$$
 (2): $f \in P_t(S^{d-1})$ can be expressed by
 $f = c_0 + \sum_{i=1}^t \varphi_i$, where $\varphi_i \in \operatorname{Harm}_i(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Then

$$\frac{1}{|S^{d-1}|} \int_{S^{d-1}} f(x) d\mu(x) = \frac{1}{|S^{d-1}|} \int_{S^{d-1}} (c_0 + \sum_{i=1}^t \varphi_i(x)) d\mu(x) = c_0,$$

$$\frac{1}{|X|} \sum_{x \in X} f(x) = c_0 + \frac{1}{|X|} \sum_{x \in X} \sum_{i=1}^t \varphi_i(x).$$

(2) \Leftrightarrow (3):
$$\sum_{x \in X} f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{h_i} f(x) = c_0 + \frac{1}{|X|} \sum_{x \in X} \sum_{i=1}^t \varphi_i(x).$$

$$\sum_{x,y\in X} G_i^{(d)}(\langle x,y\rangle) = \sum_{x,y\in X} \sum_{j=0} \varphi_{i,j}(x)\varphi_{i,j}(y) = \sum_{j=0} (\sum_{x\in X} \varphi_{i,j}(x))^2$$

Spherical *t*-designs on S^1



Regular polyhedron



spherical 5-design s 20 points

spherical 5-design 12 points

Semi-regular polyhedron







spherical 3-design 12 points

spherical 3-design 48 points

spherical 5-design 30 points





spherical 3-design 24 points spherical 5-design 60 points

Spherical 9-design on S^2

Remark that the following are NOT semi-regular polyhedrons.





spherical 9-design 60 points angles corresponding edges are 20.5424° or 24.8207° (Goethals and Seidel, The football, (1981))

spherical 9-design 60 points angles corresponding edges are 24.2511° or 28.3728°

Theorem 18 (Delsarte-Goethals-Seidel (1977))

1 If X is a spherical 2e-design on S^{d-1} , then we have

$$|X| \ge \binom{d+e-1}{e} + \binom{d+e-2}{e-1}$$

2 If X is a spherical (2e-1)-design on S^{d-1} , then we have

$$|X| \ge 2\binom{d+e-2}{e-1}.$$

X is called a tight spherical design if equality holds.

Theorem 19 (Delsarte, Goethals and Seidel (1977))

Let X be a spherical t-design in S^{d-1} . Suppose there exists a polynomial $g(x) = \sum_{i \ge 0} g_i G_i^{(d)}(x)$ s.t.

• g(1) > 0, $g(\alpha) \ge 0$ for any $\alpha \in [-1, 1]$,

• $g_0 > 0$, and $g_i \leq 0$ for any i > t.

Then

$$|X| \ge \frac{g(1)}{g_0}.$$

Proof of LP bound for design

Proof:
$$n_{\alpha} = |\{(x, y) \in X \times X \mid \langle x, y \rangle = \alpha\}|$$

$$\sum_{x,y \in X} g(\langle x, y \rangle) = \sum_{x,y \in X} \sum_{i \ge 0} g_i G_i^{(d)}(\langle x, y \rangle)$$

$$\begin{aligned} |X|g(1) &\leq |X|g(1) + \sum_{\alpha \in A(X)} n_{\alpha}g(\alpha) \\ &= |X|^2 g_0 + \sum_{i>t} g_i \sum_{x,y \in X} G_i^{(d)}(\langle x, y \rangle) \leq |X|^2 g_0 \\ &|X| \geq \frac{g(1)}{g_0}. \end{aligned}$$

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Equality holds} \Leftrightarrow \\ g(\alpha) = 0 \mbox{ and } g_i \sum_{x,y \in X} G_i^{(d)}(\langle x,y \rangle) = 0 \mbox{ for any } t+1 \leq i \leq \deg g. \end{array}$

Proof of the absolute bound for design

Proof for 2*e*-designs: Use LP method.

$$g(x) = (\sum_{i=0}^{e} G_i^{(d)}(x))^2 = \sum_{i=0}^{2e} g_i G_i^{(d)}(x).$$

Then $g_0 = \sum_{i=0}^{e} G_i^{(d)}(1) > 0$, $g_i = 0$ for i > t, and $g(x) \ge 0$ for $-1 \le x \le 1$.

$$|X| \ge \frac{g(1)}{g_0} = \sum_{i=0}^{e} G_i^{(d)}(1) = \sum_{i=0}^{e} \dim \operatorname{Harm}_i(\mathbb{R}^d)$$
$$= \binom{d+e-1}{e} + \binom{d+e-2}{e-1}.$$

Theorem 20 (Bannai–Damerell (1979,1980))

If a tight t-design on S^{d-1} for $d \ge 3$ exists, then $t \le 5$ or t = 7, 11

t = 2, 3, 11: classified, t = 4, 5, 7: open.

dim.	size	t	A(X)	name
n	n+1	2	-1/n	simplex
n	2n	3	-1, 0	cross polytope
8	240	7	$-1,\pm 1/2,0$	E_{8} root
7	56	5	$-1, \pm 1/3$	kissing
6	27	4	-1/2, 1/4	kissing
24	196560	11	$-1,\pm 1/2,\pm 1/4,0$	Leech lattice
23	4600	7	$-1,\pm 1/3,0$	kissing
23	552	5	$-1 \pm 1/5$	equiangular lines
22	275	4	-1/4, 1/6	kissing

Theorem 21 (Seymour-Zaslavsky (1984))

There exists a spherical t-design on S^d for any d and t.

Theorem 22 (Bondarenko, Radchenko, and Viazovska (Annals of Math. (2013)))

For each $N \ge c_d t^d$, there exists a spherical t-design in S^d consisting of N points, where c_d is a constant depending only on d.

Problem 23

Give a explicit construction of a spherical t-design for any d and t.

For S^2 , Kuperberg (2005) gives a certain explicit construction.

Parameters s and t

X: spherical *t*-design and *s*-distance set

•
$$t \leq 2s$$
. If $X = -X$, then $t \leq 2s - 1$.

•
$$t \ge s - 1 \Rightarrow X$$
: distance invariant

• $t \ge 2s - 2$ or $(t \ge 2s - 3$ and X = -X) $\Rightarrow X$ has the structure of a *Q*-polynomial scheme.

•
$$t \ge 2s - 1$$

 $\Rightarrow X$ is an optimal code (Levenshtein (1992)).

Problem 24

Classify spherical codes satisfying $t \ge 2s - 1$ or $t \ge 2s - 2$.

Bounds on *s*-distance *t*-design

X: s-distance set and 2e-design on S^{d-1}

$$\binom{d+e-1}{e} + \binom{d+e-2}{e-1} \le |X| \le \binom{d+s-1}{s} + \binom{d+s-2}{s-1}$$

X: tight s-distance set \Leftrightarrow X: tight 2s-design (DGS(1977)). We say X has strength t if X is a t-design but not a (t+1)-design

• Strength $2s \Leftrightarrow |X| = \binom{d+s-1}{s} + \binom{d+s-2}{s-1}$

• Strength
$$2s - 1 \Rightarrow |X| \le {\binom{d+s-1}{s}} + {\binom{d+s-2}{s-1}} - 1$$

• Strength $2s - 2 \Rightarrow |X| \leq ??$

Theorem 25 (Cameron-Goethals-Seidel (1978), Neumaier (1981))

X: 2-distance set with strength 2. Then $|X| \le {d+1 \choose 2} (= \text{above bound} - d).$

Theorem 26 (N. and Suda (2011))

X: s-distance set with strength 2s - 2. Then

$$|X| \le {\binom{d+s-1}{s}} + {\binom{d+s-4}{s-3}}$$
$$= \dim P_s(S^{d-1}) - \dim \operatorname{Harm}_{s-1}(R^d)$$

X: antipodal s-distance set (s: odd) with strength 2s - 5. Then

$$|X| \le 2\binom{d+s-2}{s-1} - 2\binom{d+s-4}{s-3} - \binom{d+s-6}{s-5}$$

).

Examples attaining the bound

■ 2025-point 3-distance set on S²¹ with strength 4 (Maximum spherical 3-distance set)

Antipodal set:

Dodecahedron: 20-point 5-distance set with strength 5



Summary

- Kissing number configuration, optimal code, spherical t-design, spherical s-distance set.
- Linear programming method, spherical harmonics.
- $t \ge 2s 2 \rightarrow$ association scheme, orthogonal polynomial.

References:

- [1] 坂内英一,坂内悦子,球面上の代数的組合せ理論,シュプリ ンガー・フェアラーク東京 (1999).
- [2] E. Bannai, E. Bannai, A survey on spherical designs and algebraic combinatorics on spheres, *European J. Combin.* 30 (2009), 1392–1425.
- [3] P. Delsarte, J.M. Goethals, and J.J. Seidel, Spherical codes and designs, *Geom. Dedicata* 6 (1977), no. 3, 363–388.