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## The element $k$-distinctness problem

Given a sequence of data of length $n$

$$
a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, \ldots, a_{i_{1}}, \ldots, a_{i_{2}}, \ldots \ldots, a_{i_{k}}, \ldots, a_{n}
$$

find if it contains $k$ identical entries!
a $k$-collision

- Classically, we need $\Omega(n)$ queries.
- Ambainis ('07) found a quantum-walk-based algorithm with $O\left(n^{k /(k+1)}\right)$ queries.
$\longleftarrow$ optimal when $k=2$
- Belovs ('12) improved this to $O\left(n^{\left.1-2^{k-2 /(2 ~} 2^{k}-1\right)}\right)$.


## Ambainis' algorithm

- The main part of Ambainis' algorithm handles the following case:

Assumption. The sequence $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}$ contains precisely one $k$-collision, denoted $K=\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{k}\right\}$.

- Ambainis considered the following graph:
vertex set : $\left\{\begin{array}{c}\left.(S, T): \begin{array}{c}S, T \subset\{1,2, \ldots, n\}, S \subset T \\ |S|=r,|T|=r+1\end{array}\right\}\end{array}\right\}$
adjacency: $(S, T) \sim\left(S^{\prime}, T^{\prime}\right) \Longleftrightarrow S=S^{\prime}$ or $T=T^{\prime}$
- Ambainis used a staggered quantum walk on this graph to find a vertex $(S, T)$ such that $K \subset S$.


## The goal

Rebuild the main part of Ambainis' algorithm using a better graph and a simpler quantum walk!

- We use the Johnson graph $J(n, r)$ :
vertex set: $\{S: S \subset\{1,2, \ldots, n\},|S|=r\}$
adjacency : $S \sim S^{\prime} \Longleftrightarrow\left|S \cap S^{\prime}\right|=r-1$
distance-regular



## The Grover quantum walk on a graph

- $\Gamma$ : a finite simple graph with vertex set $V$
- $D$ : the set of arcs (or directed edges) in $\Gamma$ :

$$
D=\{a=(x, y): x, y \in V, x \sim y\}
$$

- For $a=(x, y) \in D$, let

$$
\operatorname{tail}(a)=x, \operatorname{head}(a)=y, \bar{a}=(y, x) .
$$

- $\mathscr{H}_{D}=\operatorname{span}\{|a\rangle: a \in D\}$, where $\langle a \mid b\rangle=\delta_{a, b}$
- S : the shift operator on $\mathscr{H}_{D}: \mathrm{S}|a\rangle=|\bar{a}\rangle$
- C : the Grover coin operator on $\mathscr{H}_{D}$ :

$$
\mathrm{C}|a\rangle=\frac{2}{\operatorname{deg}(\operatorname{tail}(a))} \sum_{\operatorname{tail}(b)=\operatorname{tail}(a)}|b\rangle-|a\rangle
$$

## The Grover quantum walk on a graph

- $\mathrm{U}=\mathrm{SC}$ : the Grover evolution operator on $\mathscr{H}_{D}$



## Our algorithm

$$
r=\left\lfloor n^{k(k+1)}\right\rfloor
$$

- Let $\Gamma=J(n, r)$.
- R : an oracle on $\mathscr{H}_{D}$ :

$$
\mathrm{R}|a\rangle=\left\{\begin{aligned}
-|a\rangle & \text { if } K \subset \operatorname{tail}(a), \operatorname{head}(a), \\
|a\rangle & \text { otherwise } .
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

- $|\sigma\rangle=|D|^{-1 / 2} \sum_{a \in D}|a\rangle$ : the initial state
- $|\tau\rangle=\left(\mathrm{U}^{t_{2} \mathrm{R}}\right)^{t_{1}}|\sigma\rangle$, where $t_{1}=\left\lfloor\frac{\pi \sqrt{r}}{4}\right\rfloor, t_{2}=2\left\lfloor\frac{\pi \sqrt{r}}{2 \sqrt{2 k}}\right\rfloor+1$
- $p_{\text {suck }}=\sum_{a \in D}|\langle a \mid \tau\rangle|^{2}$ : the success probability

$$
K \subset \operatorname{tail}(a), \operatorname{head}(a)
$$

Theorem. We have $p_{\text {suck }}=1+o(1)(n \rightarrow \infty)$.

## How orthogonal polynomials play a role

- A pair $A, A^{*} \in \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(\mathbb{C}^{d+1}\right)$ is a Leonard pair if:
(1) There is an ordered eigenbasis of $A$ for which $A^{*}$ is irreducible tridiagonal.
(2) There is an ordered eigenbasis of $A^{*}$ for which $A$ is irreducible tridiagonal.
nonzero superdiagonal/subdiagonal entries
Fact. Leonard pairs characterize the terminating branch of the Askey scheme consisting of $q$-Racah, $q$-Hahn, dual $q$-Hahn, $q$-Krawtchouk, dual $q$-Krawtchouk, quantum $q$-Krawtchouk, affine $q$-Krawtchouk, Racah, Hahn, dual Hahn, Krawtchouk, and Bannai/Ito polynomials.


## How orthogonal polynomials play a role

- Recall $J(n, r)$ with $V=\{S: S \subset\{1,2, \ldots, n\},|S|=r\}$.
- Consider $\mathscr{H}_{V}=\operatorname{span}\{|S\rangle: S \in V\}$.
- Fix $S \in V$ and set $\left|v_{i}\right\rangle=\sum_{\substack{S^{\prime} \in V \\\left|S \cap S^{\prime}\right|=i}}\left|S^{\prime}\right\rangle \quad(i=0,1, \ldots, r)$.

Theorem (Terwilliger, '91). The linear span of the $\left|v_{i}\right\rangle$ affords a Leonard pair, one of whose operators is the adjacency operator.

- Recall the $k$-collision $K=\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{k}\right\}$.
- Set $\left|u_{i}\right\rangle=\sum_{\substack{S^{\prime} \in V \\\left|K \cap S^{\prime}\right|=i}}\left|S^{\prime}\right\rangle \quad(i=0,1, \ldots, k)$.

Theorem (T., '11). The linear span of the $\left|u_{i}\right\rangle$ affords $\cdots$.

## An orthogonality for dual Hahn polynomials

- Recall the dual Hahn polynomials:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{i}(\lambda(j) ; \gamma, \delta, N)={ }_{3} F_{2}\left(\left.\begin{array}{c}
-i,-j, j+\gamma+\delta+1 \\
\gamma+1,-N
\end{array} \right\rvert\, 1\right) \\
& \text { for } i=0,1, \ldots, N, \text { where } \lambda(j)=j(j+\gamma+\delta+1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Fact. The polynomials associated with the $\left|v_{i}\right\rangle$ are the dual Hahn polynomials with $N=r, \gamma=r-n-1, \delta=-r-1$.

Theorem (T., '09, '11). The polynomials associated with the $\left|u_{i}\right\rangle$ are the dual Hahn polynomials with $N=k$ and the same $\gamma, \delta$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=0}^{k} \frac{(2 j+\gamma+\delta+1)(\gamma+1)_{j}(-k)_{j} k!}{(-1)^{j}(j+\gamma+\delta+1)_{k+1}(\delta+1)_{j} j!} R_{i}(\lambda(j) ; r) R_{\ell}(\lambda(j) ; k)=0 \\
\text { if } i<\ell \text { or } i>\ell+r-k .
\end{aligned}
$$

