Codes Generated by Designs, and Designs Supported by Codes Part II

Akihiro Munemasa¹

¹Graduate School of Information Sciences Tohoku University

May, 2013 CIMPA-UNESCO-MESR-MINECO-THAILAND research school Graphs, Codes, and Designs Ramkhamhaeng University

Contents

\rm 🛛 Part I

- *t*-designs
- intersection numbers
- 5-(24, 8, 1) design
- [24, 12, 8] binary self-dual code
- 2 Part II
 - Assmus–Mattson theorem
 - extremal binary doubly even codes
- Part III
 - Hadamard matrices
 - ternary self-dual codes

Summary of Part I

 \mathcal{D} : 5-(24, 8, 1) design (Witt system).

- The binary code *C* of *D* is a doubly even self-dual [24, 12, 8] code.
- $\{ supp(x) \mid x \in C, wt(x) = 8 \} = B.$
- There is a unique 5-(24, 8, 1) design up to isomorphism.

 \mathcal{D} : 5-(24, 8, 1) design (Witt system).

- The binary code *C* of *D* is a doubly even self-dual [24, 12, 8] code.
- $\{ supp(x) \mid x \in C, wt(x) = 8 \} = B.$
- There is a unique 5-(24, 8, 1) design up to isomorphism.

The Assmus–Mattson theorem implies that every doubly even self-dual [24, 12, 8] code gives rise to a 5-(24, 8, 1) design, and hence such a code (the extended binary Golay code) is also unique.

 \mathcal{D} : 5-(24, 8, 1) design (Witt system).

- The binary code *C* of *D* is a doubly even self-dual [24, 12, 8] code.
- $\{ supp(x) \mid x \in C, wt(x) = 8 \} = B.$
- There is a unique 5-(24, 8, 1) design up to isomorphism.

The Assmus–Mattson theorem implies that every doubly even self-dual [24, 12, 8] code gives rise to a 5-(24, 8, 1) design, and hence such a code (the extended binary Golay code) is also unique. Part II will cover

- proof of the Assmus–Mattson theorem
- other 5-designs obtained from doubly even self-dual codes

Let C be a binary code of length v, minimum weight k.

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{P} &= \{1, 2, \dots, v\}, \\ \mathcal{B} &= \{ \text{supp}(x) \mid x \in \mathcal{C}, \ \text{wt}(x) = k \}, \\ \mathcal{S} &= \{ \text{wt}(x) \mid x \in \mathcal{C}^{\perp}, \ 0 < \text{wt}(x) < v \}, \\ t &= k - |\mathcal{S}|. \end{split}$$

Then $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B})$ is a *t*- (v, k, λ) design for some λ .

Let C be a binary code of length v, minimum weight k.

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{P} &= \{1, 2, \dots, v\}, \\ \mathcal{B} &= \{ \text{supp}(x) \mid x \in \mathcal{C}, \ \text{wt}(x) = k \}, \\ \mathcal{S} &= \{ \text{wt}(x) \mid x \in \mathcal{C}^{\perp}, \ 0 < \text{wt}(x) < v \}, \\ t &= k - |\mathcal{S}|. \end{split}$$

Then $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B})$ is a t- (v, k, λ) design for some λ .

In fact

$$\lambda = \frac{k(k-1)\cdots(k-t+1)}{v(v-1)\cdots(v-t+1)}|\mathcal{B}|.$$

The real vector space of dimension 2^{ν}

From a t-(v, k, λ) design (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}),

- $p \in \mathcal{P} \to e_p$: a unit vector in \mathbb{F}_2^v .
- $B \in \mathcal{B} \to x^{(B)} \in \mathbb{F}_2^{\nu}$: characteristic vector
- $\mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{D})$: incidence matrix $\to \mathcal{C} \subset \mathbb{F}_2^{v}$: binary code

The real vector space of dimension 2^{ν}

From a t-(v, k, λ) design (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}),

- $p \in \mathcal{P} \to e_p$: a unit vector in \mathbb{F}_2^v .
- $B \in \mathcal{B} \to x^{(B)} \in \mathbb{F}_2^{v}$: characteristic vector
- $\mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{D})$: incidence matrix $\to \mathcal{C} \subset \mathbb{F}_2^{\mathsf{v}}$: binary code

From a binary code C of length v and $B \subset \{1, 2, ..., v\}$, $V = \mathbb{R}^{2^{v}} = \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{F}_{2}^{v}}$.

The real vector space of dimension 2^{ν}

From a t-(v, k, λ) design (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}),

- $p \in \mathcal{P} \to e_p$: a unit vector in \mathbb{F}_2^{ν} .
- $B \in \mathcal{B} \to x^{(B)} \in \mathbb{F}_2^{v}$: characteristic vector
- $\mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{D})$: incidence matrix $\to \mathcal{C} \subset \mathbb{F}_2^{\mathsf{v}}$: binary code

From a binary code C of length v and $B \subset \{1, 2, ..., v\}$, $V = \mathbb{R}^{2^{v}} = \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{F}_{2}^{v}}$.

•
$$x \in \mathbb{F}_2^{\mathsf{v}} o \hat{x}$$
: a unit vector in V

- $B \to x^{(B)} \in \mathbb{F}_2^{\nu} \to \widehat{x^{(B)}}$: a unit vector in V
- $\mathcal{B} \to \{x^{(\mathcal{B})} \mid \mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{B}\} \to ext{characteristic vector in } V$
- $C \rightarrow \hat{C}$: the characteristic vector of C in V

The linear transformation of $V = \mathbb{R}^{2^{\nu}}$ which is a key to the argument below is the Hadamard matrix of Sylvester type:

$$H=((-1)^{x\cdot y})_{x,y\in \mathbb{F}_2^v}.$$

The linear transformation of $V = \mathbb{R}^{2^{\nu}}$ which is a key to the argument below is the Hadamard matrix of Sylvester type:

$$H = ((-1)^{\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}})_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{F}_2^{\mathbf{v}}}.$$

It satisfies

$$H = H^{\top}, \quad H^2 = H H^{\top} = 2^{\nu} I.$$

The linear transformation of $V = \mathbb{R}^{2^{\nu}}$ which is a key to the argument below is the Hadamard matrix of Sylvester type:

$$H = ((-1)^{x \cdot y})_{x,y \in \mathbb{F}_2^v}.$$

It satisfies

$$H = H^{\top}, \quad H^2 = H H^{\top} = 2^{\nu} I.$$

We use H to investigate the metric space \mathbb{F}_2^{ν} with the Hamming distance

$$d(x,y) = \operatorname{wt}(x-y) = \operatorname{wt}(x+y) \quad (x,y \in \mathbb{F}_2^v).$$

The linear transformation of $V = \mathbb{R}^{2^{\nu}}$ which is a key to the argument below is the Hadamard matrix of Sylvester type:

$$H=((-1)^{x\cdot y})_{x,y\in \mathbb{F}_2^v}.$$

It satisfies

$$H = H^{\top}, \quad H^2 = H H^{\top} = 2^{\nu} I.$$

We use H to investigate the metric space \mathbb{F}_2^{ν} with the Hamming distance

$$d(x,y)={
m wt}(x-y)={
m wt}(x+y)\quad (x,y\in {\mathbb F}_2^{
m v}).$$

The *i*-th distance matrix A_i is defined as

$$A_i = (\delta_{d(x,y),i})_{x,y \in \mathbb{F}_2^v} \quad (0 \le i \le v).$$

$$egin{aligned} & \mathcal{A}_0 = I, \ & \mathcal{A}_1 \mathcal{A}_i = (i+1) \mathcal{A}_{i+1} + (v-i+1) \mathcal{A}_{i-1} \quad (1 \leq i < v). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, A_i is a polynomial of degree *i* in A_1 .

$$egin{aligned} &A_0 = I, \ &A_1 A_i = (i+1) A_{i+1} + (v-i+1) A_{i-1} \quad (1 \leq i < v). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, A_i is a polynomial of degree *i* in A_1 . Define the diagonal matrix E_i^* by

$$\begin{split} E_i^* &= (\delta_{x,y} \delta_{\mathsf{wt}(x),i})_{x,y \in \mathbb{F}_2^v} \\ &= \mathsf{diag}(A_i \hat{0}). \end{split}$$

$$egin{aligned} &A_0 = I, \ &A_1 A_i = (i+1) A_{i+1} + (v-i+1) A_{i-1} \quad (1 \leq i < v). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, A_i is a polynomial of degree *i* in A_1 . Define the diagonal matrix E_i^* by

$$\begin{split} E_i^* &= (\delta_{x,y} \delta_{\mathsf{wt}(x),i})_{x,y \in \mathbb{F}_2^v} \\ &= \mathsf{diag}(A_i \hat{\mathbf{0}}). \end{split}$$

 E_i^* is "the projection onto weight-*i* vectors."

$$E_i^* \mathbf{1} = A_i \hat{\mathbf{0}}, \quad \text{where } \mathbf{1} = (1, 1, \dots, 1)^\top \in V.$$

 $E_i^* E_j^* = \delta_{i,j} E_i^*, \quad \sum_{i=0}^{v} E_i^* = I.$

E_i^* is "the projection onto weight-*i* vectors."

Theorem (Assmus–Mattson)

Let C be a binary code of length v,

$$\hat{C} = E_0^* \hat{C} + \sum_{i \ge k} E_i^* \hat{C} \quad (\text{minimum weight} = k),$$

$$\mathcal{P} = \{1, 2, ..., v\},$$

$$S = \{\text{wt}(x) \mid x \in C^{\perp}, \ 0 < \text{wt}(x) < v\},$$

$$\mathcal{B} = \{\text{supp}(x) \mid x \in C, \ \text{wt}(x) = k\},$$

$$t = k - |S|.$$

Then $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B})$ is a *t*- (v, k, λ) design for some λ .

E_i^* is "the projection onto weight-*i* vectors."

Theorem (Assmus–Mattson)

Let C be a binary code of length v,

$$\hat{C} = E_0^* \hat{C} + \sum_{i \ge k} E_i^* \hat{C} \quad (\text{minimum weight} = k),$$

$$\mathcal{P} = \{1, 2, \dots, v\},$$

$$S = \{\text{wt}(x) \mid x \in C^{\perp}, \ 0 < \text{wt}(x) < v\},$$

$$\mathcal{B} = \{\text{supp}(x) \mid x \in C, \ \text{wt}(x) = k\},$$

$$t = k - |S|.$$

Then $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B})$ is a *t*- (v, k, λ) design for some λ .

(S can also be described by E_i^* and $\widehat{C^{\perp}}$, but we first express the conclusion in terms of matrices.)

E_i^* is "the projection onto weight-*i* vectors."

Theorem (Assmus–Mattson)

Let C be a binary code of length v,

$$\begin{split} \hat{C} &= E_0^* \hat{C} + \sum_{i \geq k} E_i^* \hat{C} \quad (\text{minimum weight} = k), \\ \mathcal{P} &= \{1, 2, \dots, v\}, \\ \mathbf{S} &= \{\text{wt}(x) \mid x \in C^{\perp}, \ 0 < \text{wt}(x) < v\}, \\ \mathcal{B} &= \{\text{supp}(x) \mid x \in C, \ \text{wt}(x) = k\}, \\ t &= k - |S|. \end{split}$$

Then $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B})$ is a *t*- (v, k, λ) design for some λ .

(*S* can also be described by E_i^* and $\widehat{C^{\perp}}$, but we first express the conclusion in terms of matrices.)

T ⊂ P, |T| = t, x^(T) ∈ F₂^v: the characteristic vector of T,
C_k = {x ∈ C | wt(x) = k}, k = minimum weight of C,
B = {supp(x) | x ∈ C_k}.

T ⊂ P, |T| = t, x^(T) ∈ ℝ^v₂: the characteristic vector of T,
C_k = {x ∈ C | wt(x) = k}, k = minimum weight of C,
B = {supp(x) | x ∈ C_k}.

$$|\{B \in \mathcal{B} \mid T \subset B\}| = |\{x \in C_k \mid T \subset \text{supp}(x)\}| = |\{x \in C_k \mid d(x^{(T)}, x) = k - t\}| = |\{x \in C \mid d(x^{(T)}, x) = k - t\}| - \delta_{k,2t} = \sum_{x \in C} (A_{k-t})_{x^{(T)}, x} - \delta_{k,2t} = (A_{k-t}\hat{C})_{x^{(T)}} - \delta_{k,2t} = (E_t^* A_{k-t}\hat{C})_{x^{(T)}} - \delta_{k,2t}.$$

$$|\{B \in \mathcal{B} \mid T \subset B\}| = |\{x \in C_k \mid T \subset \text{supp}(x)\}| = |\{x \in C_k \mid d(x^{(T)}, x) = k - t\}| = |\{x \in C \mid d(x^{(T)}, x) = k - t\}| - \delta_{k,2t} = \sum_{x \in C} (A_{k-t})_{x^{(T)}, x} - \delta_{k,2t} = (A_{k-t}\hat{C})_{x^{(T)}} - \delta_{k,2t} = (E_t^* A_{k-t}\hat{C})_{x^{(T)}} - \delta_{k,2t}.$$

T ⊂ P, |T| = t, x^(T) ∈ 𝔽^v: the characteristic vector of T,
C_k = {x ∈ C | wt(x) = k}, k = minimum weight of C,
B = {supp(x) | x ∈ C_k}.

$$|\{B \in \mathcal{B} \mid T \subset B\}| = |\{x \in C_k \mid T \subset \text{supp}(x)\}| = |\{x \in C_k \mid d(x^{(T)}, x) = k - t\}| = |\{x \in C \mid d(x^{(T)}, x) = k - t\}| - \delta_{k,2t} = \sum_{x \in C} (A_{k-t})_{x^{(T)}, x} - \delta_{k,2t} = (A_{k-t}\hat{C})_{x^{(T)}} - \delta_{k,2t} = (E_t^* A_{k-t}\hat{C})_{x^{(T)}} - \delta_{k,2t}.$$

T ⊂ P, |T| = t, x^(T) ∈ ℝ^v₂: the characteristic vector of T,
C_k = {x ∈ C | wt(x) = k}, k = minimum weight of C,
B = {supp(x) | x ∈ C_k}.

$$|\{B \in \mathcal{B} \mid T \subset B\}| = |\{x \in C_k \mid T \subset \text{supp}(x)\}| = |\{x \in C_k \mid d(x^{(T)}, x) = k - t\}| = |\{x \in C \mid d(x^{(T)}, x) = k - t\}| - \delta_{k,2t} = \sum_{x \in C} (A_{k-t})_{x^{(T)}, x} - \delta_{k,2t} = (A_{k-t}\hat{C})_{x^{(T)}} - \delta_{k,2t} = (E_t^* A_{k-t}\hat{C})_{x^{(T)}} - \delta_{k,2t}.$$

T ⊂ P, |T| = t, x^(T) ∈ ℝ₂^v: the characteristic vector of T,
C_k = {x ∈ C | wt(x) = k}, k = minimum weight of C,
B = {supp(x) | x ∈ C_k}.

$$|\{B \in \mathcal{B} \mid T \subset B\}| = |\{x \in C_k \mid T \subset \text{supp}(x)\}| = |\{x \in C_k \mid d(x^{(T)}, x) = k - t\}| = |\{x \in C \mid d(x^{(T)}, x) = k - t\}| - \delta_{k,2t} = \sum_{x \in C} (A_{k-t})_{x^{(T)}, x} - \delta_{k,2t} = (A_{k-t}\hat{C})_{x^{(T)}} - \delta_{k,2t} = (E_t^* A_{k-t}\hat{C})_{x^{(T)}} - \delta_{k,2t}.$$

$$|\{B \in \mathcal{B} \mid T \subset B\}| = |\{x \in C_k \mid T \subset \text{supp}(x)\}| = |\{x \in C_k \mid d(x^{(T)}, x) = k - t\}| = |\{x \in C \mid d(x^{(T)}, x) = k - t\}| - \delta_{k,2t} = \sum_{x \in C} (A_{k-t})_{x^{(T)}, x} - \delta_{k,2t} = (A_{k-t}\hat{C})_{x^{(T)}} - \delta_{k,2t} = (E_t^* A_{k-t}\hat{C})_{x^{(T)}} - \delta_{k,2t}.$$

So we want to show $E_t^* A_{k-t} \hat{C}$ is a constant multiple of $E_t^* \mathbf{1}$.

$$E_t^* A_{k-t} \hat{C} = \lambda E_t^* \mathbf{1}$$

Theorem (Assmus–Mattson)

$$\hat{C} = E_0^* \hat{C} + \sum_{i \ge k} E_i^* \hat{C} \quad (ext{minimum weight} = k),$$
 $S = \{ ext{wt}(x) \mid x \in C^\perp, \ 0 < ext{wt}(x) < v\},$
 $t = k - |S|.$

Then

$$E_t^* A_{k-t} \hat{C}$$
 is a constant multiple of $E_t^* \mathbf{1}$.

$$E_t^* A_{k-t} \hat{C} = \lambda E_t^* \mathbf{1}$$

Theorem (Assmus–Mattson)

$$\hat{C} = E_0^* \hat{C} + \sum_{i \ge k} E_i^* \hat{C}$$
 (minimum weight = k),
 $S = \{ \operatorname{wt}(x) \mid x \in C^{\perp}, \ 0 < \operatorname{wt}(x) < v \},$
 $t = k - |S|.$

Then

$$E_t^* A_{k-t} \hat{C}$$
 is a constant multiple of $E_t^* \mathbf{1}$.

(S can also be described by E_i^* and $\widehat{C^{\perp}}$, but then we need to express S in terms of \widehat{C})

$$(H\widehat{C})_{x} = \sum_{y \in C} (-1)^{x \cdot y} = \begin{cases} |C| & \text{if } x \in C^{\perp} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} = (|C|\widehat{C^{\perp}})_{x},$$

$$\widehat{C^{\perp}} = \frac{1}{|C|} H \widehat{C}.$$

SO

$$(H\widehat{C})_x = \sum_{y \in C} (-1)^{x \cdot y} = \begin{cases} |C| & \text{if } x \in C^{\perp} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} = (|C|\widehat{C^{\perp}})_x,$$

SO

$$\widehat{C^{\perp}} = \frac{1}{|C|} H \widehat{C}.$$

$$E_i = \frac{1}{2^v} H E_i^* H = H^{-1} E_i^* H \quad (0 \le i \le v).$$

$$(H\widehat{C})_x = \sum_{y \in C} (-1)^{x \cdot y} = \begin{cases} |C| & \text{if } x \in C^{\perp} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} = (|C|\widehat{C^{\perp}})_x,$$

SO

$$\widehat{C^{\perp}} = \frac{1}{|C|} H \widehat{C}.$$

$$E_{i} = \frac{1}{2^{v}} H E_{i}^{*} H = H^{-1} E_{i}^{*} H \quad (0 \le i \le v).$$

Then $E_{i} E_{j} = \delta_{i,j} E_{i}, \sum_{i=0}^{v} E_{i} = I.$

$$(H\widehat{C})_x = \sum_{y \in C} (-1)^{x \cdot y} = \begin{cases} |C| & \text{if } x \in C^{\perp} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} = (|C|\widehat{C^{\perp}})_x,$$

SO

$$\widehat{C^{\perp}} = \frac{1}{|C|} H \widehat{C}.$$

$$E_{i} = \frac{1}{2^{v}} H E_{i}^{*} H = H^{-1} E_{i}^{*} H \quad (0 \le i \le v).$$

Then $E_{i} E_{j} = \delta_{i,j} E_{i}, \sum_{i=0}^{v} E_{i} = I.$
 $E_{i}^{*} \widehat{C^{\perp}} \ne 0 \iff E_{i}^{*} H \widehat{C} \ne 0 \iff H^{-1} E_{i}^{*} H \widehat{C} \ne 0$
 $\iff E_{i} \widehat{C} \ne 0.$

$$(H\hat{C})_x = \sum_{y \in C} (-1)^{x \cdot y} = \begin{cases} |C| & \text{if } x \in C^{\perp} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} = (|C|\widehat{C^{\perp}})_x,$$

 $\widehat{C^{\perp}} = \frac{1}{|C|}H\hat{C}.$

.

Define

SO

 $E_{i} = \frac{1}{2^{v}} H E_{i}^{*} H = H^{-1} E_{i}^{*} H \quad (0 \le i \le v).$ Then $E_{i} E_{j} = \delta_{i,j} E_{i}, \sum_{i=0}^{v} E_{i} = I.$ $E_{i}^{*} \widehat{C^{\perp}} \ne 0 \iff E_{i}^{*} H \widehat{C} \ne 0 \iff H^{-1} E_{i}^{*} H \widehat{C} \ne 0$ $\iff E_{i} \widehat{C} \ne 0.$

$$(H\widehat{C})_x = \sum_{y \in C} (-1)^{x \cdot y} = \begin{cases} |C| & \text{if } x \in C^{\perp} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} = (|C|\widehat{C^{\perp}})_x,$$

SO

$$\widehat{C^{\perp}} = \frac{1}{|C|} H \widehat{C}.$$

$$E_{i} = \frac{1}{2^{v}} H E_{i}^{*} H = H^{-1} E_{i}^{*} H \quad (0 \le i \le v).$$

Then $E_{i}E_{j} = \delta_{i,j}E_{i}, \sum_{i=0}^{v} E_{i} = I.$
 $E_{i}^{*}\widehat{C^{\perp}} \ne 0 \iff E_{i}^{*}H\widehat{C} \ne 0 \iff H^{-1}E_{i}^{*}H\widehat{C} \ne 0$
 $\iff E_{i}\widehat{C} \ne 0.$

$$S = \{\operatorname{wt}(x) \mid x \in C^{\perp}, \ 0 < \operatorname{wt}(x) < v\}$$

$$S = \{i \mid 0 < i < v, \ E_i^* \widehat{C^{\perp}} \neq 0\}$$
$$= \{i \mid 0 < i < v, \ E_i \widehat{C} \neq 0\}.$$

Since $\sum_{i=0}^{v} E_i = I$,

$$\hat{C} = (E_0 + E_v)\hat{C} + \sum_{i\in S} E_i\hat{C}.$$

Theorem (Assmus–Mattson)

$$\hat{C} = (E_0 + E_v)\hat{C} + \sum_{i \in S} E_i\hat{C} = E_0^*\hat{C} + \sum_{i \ge k} E_i^*\hat{C}$$

and $t = k - |S| \implies E_t^*A_{k-t}\hat{C} \in \mathbb{R}E_t^*\mathbf{1}.$

Akihiro Munemasa (Tohoku University)
Theorem (Assmus–Mattson)

$$\hat{C} = (E_0 + E_v)\hat{C} + \sum_{i \in S} E_i\hat{C} = E_0^*\hat{C} + \sum_{i \ge k} E_i^*\hat{C},$$
and $t = k - |S| \implies E_t^*A_{k-t}\hat{C} \in \mathbb{R}E_t^*\mathbf{1}.$

Theorem (Assmus–Mattson)

$$\hat{C} = (E_0 + E_v)\hat{C} + \sum_{i \in S} E_i\hat{C} = E_0^*\hat{C} + \sum_{i \ge k} E_i^*\hat{C},$$
and $t = k - |S| \implies E_t^*A_{k-t}\hat{C} \in \mathbb{R}E_t^*\mathbf{1}.$



reduces to

$$(E_0 + E_v)\hat{C} + \sum_{i \in S} E_i\hat{C} = E_0^*\hat{C} + \sum_{i \ge k} E_i^*\hat{C}$$

and $t = k - |S| \implies E_t^*A_{k-t}((E_0 + E_v)\hat{C} + \sum_{i \in S} E_i\hat{C}) \in \mathbb{R}E_t^*\mathbf{1}.$

Theorem (Assmus–Mattson)

$$\hat{\mathcal{C}} = (E_0 + E_v)\hat{\mathcal{C}} + \sum_{i \in S} E_i\hat{\mathcal{C}} = E_0^*\hat{\mathcal{C}} + \sum_{i \ge k} E_i^*\hat{\mathcal{C}},$$

and $t = k - |S| \implies E_t^*A_{k-t}\hat{\mathcal{C}} \in \mathbb{R}E_t^*\mathbf{1}.$

reduces to

$$(E_0 + E_v)\hat{C} + \sum_{i \in S} E_i\hat{C} = E_0^*\hat{C} + \sum_{i \ge k} E_i^*\hat{C}$$

and $t = k - |S| \implies E_t^*A_{k-t}((E_0 + E_v)\hat{C} + \sum_{i \in S} E_i\hat{C}) \in \mathbb{R}E_t^*\mathbf{1}.$

Theorem (Assmus–Mattson)

$$\hat{\mathcal{C}} = (E_0 + E_v)\hat{\mathcal{C}} + \sum_{i \in S} E_i\hat{\mathcal{C}} = E_0^*\hat{\mathcal{C}} + \sum_{i \ge k} E_i^*\hat{\mathcal{C}},$$

and $t = k - |S| \implies E_t^*A_{k-t}\hat{\mathcal{C}} \in \mathbb{R}E_t^*\mathbf{1}.$

reduces to

$$(E_0 + E_v)\hat{C} + \sum_{i \in S} E_i\hat{C} = E_0^*\hat{C} + \sum_{i \geq k} E_i^*\hat{C}$$

and $t = k - |S| \implies E_t^*A_{k-t}((E_0 + E_v)\hat{C} + \sum_{i \in S} E_i\hat{C}) \in \mathbb{R}E_t^*\mathbf{1}.$

Theorem (Assmus-Mattson)

$$\hat{C} = (E_0 + E_v)\hat{C} + \sum_{i \in S} E_i\hat{C} = E_0^*\hat{C} + \sum_{i \ge k} E_i^*\hat{C},$$
and $t = k - |S| \implies E_t^*A_{k-t}\hat{C} \in \mathbb{R}E_t^*\mathbf{1}.$

reduces to

а

$$(E_{0} + E_{v})\hat{C} + \sum_{i \in S} E_{i}\hat{C} = E_{0}^{*}\hat{C} + \sum_{i \geq k} E_{i}^{*}\hat{C} \text{ and } t = k - |S|$$

and $t = k - |S| \implies E_{t}^{*}A_{k-t}(E_{0} + E_{v})\hat{C} + E_{t}^{*}A_{k-t}\sum_{i \in S} E_{i}\hat{C} \in \mathbb{R}E_{t}^{*}\mathbf{1}.$

Theorem (Assmus-Mattson)

$$\hat{C} = (E_0 + E_v)\hat{C} + \sum_{i \in S} E_i\hat{C} = E_0^*\hat{C} + \sum_{i \ge k} E_i^*\hat{C},$$
and $t = k - |S| \implies E_t^*A_{k-t}\hat{C} \in \mathbb{R}E_t^*\mathbf{1}.$

reduces to

а

$$(E_{0} + E_{v})\hat{C} + \sum_{i \in S} E_{i}\hat{C} = E_{0}^{*}\hat{C} + \sum_{i \geq k} E_{i}^{*}\hat{C} \text{ and } t = k - |S|$$

nd $t = k - |S| \implies E_{t}^{*}A_{k-t}(E_{0} + E_{v})\hat{C} + E_{t}^{*}A_{k-t}\sum_{i \in S} E_{i}\hat{C} \in \mathbb{R}E_{t}^{*}\mathbf{1}.$

For
$$y \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{v}$$
 with $wt(y) = i$,
 $(A_{1}H)_{x,y} = \sum_{z \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{v}} (A_{1})_{x,z} (-1)^{z \cdot y} = \sum_{\substack{z \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{v} \\ d(x,z) = 1}}^{v} (-1)^{z \cdot y}$
 $= \sum_{j=1}^{v} (-1)^{x \cdot y} (-1)^{y_{j}} = H_{x,y} \sum_{j=1}^{v} (-1)^{y_{j}}$
 $= H_{x,y} (v - 2 wt(y)) = (v - 2i) (HE_{i}^{*})_{x,y}$
 $= (\sum_{j=1}^{v} (v - 2j) HE_{j}^{*})_{x,y} = (H \sum_{j=1}^{v} (v - 2j) E_{j}^{*})_{x,y}.$

$$A_1H = H \sum_{j=1}^{v} (v - 2j) E_j^*.$$

For $y \in \mathbb{F}_2^v$ with wt(y) = i, $(A_1H)_{x,y} = \sum (A_1)_{x,z} (-1)^{z \cdot y} = \sum (-1)^{z \cdot y}$ $z \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{v}$ $=\sum_{x_{i-1}}^{\infty}(-1)^{x_{i}y_{i}}(-1)^{y_{j}}=H_{x,y}\sum_{x_{i}}^{\infty}(-1)^{y_{j}}$ $= H_{x,y}(v - 2 \operatorname{wt}(y)) = (v - 2i)(HE_i^*)_{x,y}$ $= (\sum_{j=1}^{v} (v-2j) H E_j^*)_{x,y} = (H \sum_{i=1}^{v} (v-2j) E_j^*)_{x,y}.$

$$A_1H = H \sum_{j=1}^{v} (v - 2j) E_j^*.$$

For
$$y \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{v}$$
 with $\operatorname{wt}(y) = i$,
 $(A_{1}H)_{x,y} = \sum_{z \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{v}} (A_{1})_{x,z} (-1)^{z \cdot y} = \sum_{\substack{z \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{v} \\ d(x,z) = 1}} (-1)^{z \cdot y}$
 $= \sum_{j=1}^{v} (-1)^{x \cdot y} (-1)^{y_{j}} = H_{x,y} \sum_{j=1}^{v} (-1)^{y_{j}}$
 $= H_{x,y} (v - 2 \operatorname{wt}(y)) = (v - 2i) (HE_{i}^{*})_{x,y}$
 $= (\sum_{j=1}^{v} (v - 2j) HE_{j}^{*})_{x,y} = (H \sum_{j=1}^{v} (v - 2j)E_{j}^{*})_{x,y}.$

$$A_1H = H \sum_{j=1}^{v} (v - 2j) E_j^*.$$

For
$$y \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{v}$$
 with $wt(y) = i$,
 $(A_{1}H)_{x,y} = \sum_{z \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{v}} (A_{1})_{x,z} (-1)^{z \cdot y} = \sum_{\substack{z \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{v} \\ d(x,z) = 1}}^{v} (-1)^{z \cdot y}$
 $= \sum_{j=1}^{v} (-1)^{x \cdot y} (-1)^{y_{j}} = H_{x,y} \sum_{j=1}^{v} (-1)^{y_{j}}$
 $= H_{x,y} (v - 2 wt(y)) = (v - 2i) (HE_{i}^{*})_{x,y}$
 $= (\sum_{j=1}^{v} (v - 2j) HE_{j}^{*})_{x,y} = (H \sum_{j=1}^{v} (v - 2j)E_{j}^{*})_{x,y}.$

$$A_1H = H \sum_{j=1}^{v} (v - 2j) E_j^*.$$

For $y \in \mathbb{F}_2^{\vee}$ with wt(y) = i, $(A_1H)_{x,y} = \sum (A_1)_{x,z} (-1)^{z \cdot y} = \sum (-1)^{z \cdot y}$ $z \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{v}$ d(x,z)=1 $=\sum_{x_{i-1}}^{\infty}(-1)^{x_{i}y_{i}}(-1)^{y_{j}}=H_{x,y}\sum_{x_{i}}^{\infty}(-1)^{y_{j}}$ $= H_{x,y}(v - 2 \operatorname{wt}(y)) = (v - 2i)(HE_i^*)_{x,y}$ $= (\sum_{j=1}^{v} (v-2j)HE_{j}^{*})_{x,y} = (H\sum_{i=1}^{v} (v-2j)E_{j}^{*})_{x,y}.$

$$A_1H = H \sum_{j=1}^{v} (v - 2j) E_j^*.$$

For
$$y \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{v}$$
 with $\operatorname{wt}(y) = i$,
 $(A_{1}H)_{x,y} = \sum_{z \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{v}} (A_{1})_{x,z} (-1)^{z \cdot y} = \sum_{\substack{z \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{v} \\ d(x,z) = 1}}^{v} (-1)^{z \cdot y}$
 $= \sum_{j=1}^{v} (-1)^{x \cdot y} (-1)^{y_{j}} = H_{x,y} \sum_{j=1}^{v} (-1)^{y_{j}}$
 $= H_{x,y} (v - 2 \operatorname{wt}(y)) = (v - 2i) (HE_{i}^{*})_{x,y}$
 $= (\sum_{j=1}^{v} (v - 2j) HE_{j}^{*})_{x,y} = (H \sum_{j=1}^{v} (v - 2j)E_{j}^{*})_{x,y}.$

$$A_1H = H \sum_{j=1}^{v} (v - 2j) E_j^*.$$

For
$$y \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{v}$$
 with $wt(y) = i$,
 $(A_{1}H)_{x,y} = \sum_{z \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{v}} (A_{1})_{x,z} (-1)^{z \cdot y} = \sum_{\substack{z \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{v} \\ d(x,z) = 1}}^{v} (-1)^{z \cdot y}$
 $= \sum_{j=1}^{v} (-1)^{x \cdot y} (-1)^{y_{j}} = H_{x,y} \sum_{j=1}^{v} (-1)^{y_{j}}$
 $= H_{x,y} (v - 2 wt(y)) = (v - 2i) (HE_{i}^{*})_{x,y}$
 $= (\sum_{j=1}^{v} (v - 2j) HE_{j}^{*})_{x,y} = (H \sum_{j=1}^{v} (v - 2j) E_{j}^{*})_{x,y}.$

$$A_1H = H \sum_{j=1}^{v} (v - 2j) E_j^*.$$

For
$$y \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{v}$$
 with $\operatorname{wt}(y) = i$,
 $(A_{1}H)_{x,y} = \sum_{z \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{v}} (A_{1})_{x,z} (-1)^{z \cdot y} = \sum_{\substack{z \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{v} \\ d(x,z) = 1}}^{v} (-1)^{z \cdot y} = \sum_{j=1}^{v} (-1)^{x \cdot y} (-1)^{y_{j}} = H_{x,y} \sum_{j=1}^{v} (-1)^{y_{j}}$
 $= H_{x,y} (v - 2 \operatorname{wt}(y)) = (v - 2i) (HE_{i}^{*})_{x,y}$
 $= (\sum_{j=1}^{v} (v - 2j) HE_{j}^{*})_{x,y} = (H \sum_{j=1}^{v} (v - 2j)E_{j}^{*})_{x,y}.$

$$A_1H = H \sum_{j=1}^{v} (v - 2j) E_j^*.$$

For
$$y \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{v}$$
 with $\operatorname{wt}(y) = i$,
 $(A_{1}H)_{x,y} = \sum_{z \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{v}} (A_{1})_{x,z} (-1)^{z \cdot y} = \sum_{\substack{z \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{v} \\ d(x,z) = 1}}^{v} (-1)^{z \cdot y} = \sum_{j=1}^{v} (-1)^{x \cdot y} (-1)^{y_{j}} = H_{x,y} \sum_{j=1}^{v} (-1)^{y_{j}}$
 $= H_{x,y} (v - 2 \operatorname{wt}(y)) = (v - 2i) (HE_{i}^{*})_{x,y}$
 $= (\sum_{j=1}^{v} (v - 2j) HE_{j}^{*})_{x,y} = (H \sum_{j=1}^{v} (v - 2j)E_{j}^{*})_{x,y}.$

$$A_1H = H \sum_{j=1}^{v} (v - 2j) E_j^*.$$

$$A_1 H = H \sum_{j=1}^{v} (v - 2j) E_j^*$$

$$A_{1}E_{i} = A_{1}(\frac{1}{2^{v}}HE_{i}^{*}H) = \frac{1}{2^{v}}(A_{1}H)E_{i}^{*}H$$

= $\frac{1}{2^{v}}(H\sum_{j=1}^{v}(v-2j)E_{j}^{*})E_{i}^{*}H = \frac{1}{2^{v}}(v-2i)HE_{i}^{*}H$
= $(v-2i)E_{i}.$

Thus A_1 has eigenvalue v - 2i on $E_i V$, and

$$V = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{v} E_i V$$

$$A_1 H = H \sum_{j=1}^{v} (v - 2j) E_j^*$$

$$A_{1}E_{i} = A_{1}(\frac{1}{2^{v}}HE_{i}^{*}H) = \frac{1}{2^{v}}(A_{1}H)E_{i}^{*}H$$

= $\frac{1}{2^{v}}(H\sum_{j=1}^{v}(v-2j)E_{j}^{*})E_{i}^{*}H = \frac{1}{2^{v}}(v-2i)HE_{i}^{*}H$
= $(v-2i)E_{i}.$

Thus A_1 has eigenvalue v - 2i on $E_i V$, and

$$V = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{v} E_i V$$

$$A_1 H = H \sum_{j=1}^{v} (v - 2j) E_j^*$$

$$A_{1}E_{i} = A_{1}(\frac{1}{2^{v}}HE_{i}^{*}H) = \frac{1}{2^{v}}(A_{1}H)E_{i}^{*}H$$

= $\frac{1}{2^{v}}(H\sum_{j=1}^{v}(v-2j)E_{j}^{*})E_{i}^{*}H = \frac{1}{2^{v}}(v-2i)HE_{i}^{*}H$
= $(v-2i)E_{i}.$

Thus A_1 has eigenvalue v - 2i on $E_i V$, and

$$V = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{v} E_i V$$

$A_1H = H\sum_{j=1}^{v} (v-2j)E_j^*$

 E_i 's are projections onto eigenspaces of A_1

$$A_{1}E_{i} = A_{1}(\frac{1}{2^{v}}HE_{i}^{*}H) = \frac{1}{2^{v}}(A_{1}H)E_{i}^{*}H$$

= $\frac{1}{2^{v}}(H\sum_{j=1}^{v}(v-2j)E_{j}^{*})E_{i}^{*}H = \frac{1}{2^{v}}(v-2i)HE_{i}^{*}H$
= $(v-2i)E_{i}.$

Thus A_1 has eigenvalue v - 2i on $E_i V$, and

$$V = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{v} E_i V$$

$$A_1 H = H \sum_{j=1}^{v} (v - 2j) E_j^*$$

$$A_{1}E_{i} = A_{1}(\frac{1}{2^{v}}HE_{i}^{*}H) = \frac{1}{2^{v}}(A_{1}H)E_{i}^{*}H$$

= $\frac{1}{2^{v}}(H\sum_{j=1}^{v}(v-2j)E_{j}^{*})E_{i}^{*}H = \frac{1}{2^{v}}(v-2i)HE_{i}^{*}H$
= $(v-2i)E_{i}.$

Thus A_1 has eigenvalue v - 2i on $E_i V$, and

$$V = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{v} E_i V$$

$$A_1 H = H \sum_{j=1}^{v} (v - 2j) E_j^*$$

$$A_{1}E_{i} = A_{1}(\frac{1}{2^{v}}HE_{i}^{*}H) = \frac{1}{2^{v}}(A_{1}H)E_{i}^{*}H$$

$$= \frac{1}{2^{v}}(H\sum_{j=1}^{v}(v-2j)E_{j}^{*})E_{i}^{*}H = \frac{1}{2^{v}}(v-2i)HE_{i}^{*}H$$

$$= (v-2i)E_{i}.$$

Thus A_1 has eigenvalue v - 2i on $E_i V$, and

$$V = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{v} E_i V$$

$$A_1 H = H \sum_{j=1}^{v} (v - 2j) E_j^*$$

$$A_{1}E_{i} = A_{1}(\frac{1}{2^{v}}HE_{i}^{*}H) = \frac{1}{2^{v}}(A_{1}H)E_{i}^{*}H$$
$$= \frac{1}{2^{v}}(H\sum_{j=1}^{v}(v-2j)E_{j}^{*})E_{i}^{*}H = \frac{1}{2^{v}}(v-2i)HE_{i}^{*}H$$
$$= (v-2i)E_{i}.$$

Thus A_1 has eigenvalue v - 2i on $E_i V$, and

$$V = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{v} E_i V$$

$$2^{\nu}(E_{\nu})_{x,y} = (HE_{\nu}^{*}H)_{x,y} = \sum_{\substack{z \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{\nu} \\ \operatorname{wt}(z) = \nu}} H_{x,z}H_{z,y}$$

= $H_{x,1}H_{1,y} = (-1)^{x \cdot 1}(-1)^{y \cdot 1}$ $(\mathbf{1} = (1, \dots, 1) \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{\nu})$
= $(-1)^{\operatorname{wt}(x)}(-1)^{\operatorname{wt}(y)} = (-1)^{\operatorname{wt}(y)} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\nu} (-1)^{i}E_{i}^{*}\mathbf{1}\right)_{x}$

$$E_{\mathbf{v}}\mathbf{V} = \mathbb{R}\sum_{i=0}^{\mathbf{v}}(-1)^{i}E_{i}^{*}\mathbf{1} \quad (\mathbf{1} = (1,\ldots,1)^{\top} \in \mathbf{V}).$$

Similarly

$$E_0V = \mathbb{R}\sum_{i=0}^{r} E_i^*\mathbf{1} = \mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}.$$

$$2^{\nu}(E_{\nu})_{x,y} = (HE_{\nu}^{*}H)_{x,y} = \sum_{\substack{z \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{\nu} \\ \mathsf{wt}(z) = \nu}} H_{x,z}H_{z,y}$$

= $H_{x,1}H_{1,y} = (-1)^{x \cdot 1}(-1)^{y \cdot 1}$ $(\mathbf{1} = (1, \dots, 1) \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{\nu})$
= $(-1)^{\mathsf{wt}(x)}(-1)^{\mathsf{wt}(y)} = (-1)^{\mathsf{wt}(y)} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\nu} (-1)^{i}E_{i}^{*}\mathbf{1}\right)_{x}$

$$E_{\mathbf{v}}\mathbf{V} = \mathbb{R}\sum_{i=0}^{\mathbf{v}}(-1)^{i}E_{i}^{*}\mathbf{1} \quad (\mathbf{1} = (1,\ldots,1)^{ op}\in \mathbf{V}).$$

Similarly

$$E_0V = \mathbb{R}\sum_{i=0}^{v}E_i^*\mathbf{1} = \mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}.$$

$$2^{\nu}(E_{\nu})_{x,y} = (HE_{\nu}^{*}H)_{x,y} = \sum_{\substack{z \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{\nu} \\ \mathsf{wt}(z) = \nu}} H_{x,1}H_{1,y} = (-1)^{x \cdot 1}(-1)^{y \cdot 1} \quad (\mathbf{1} = (1, \dots, 1) \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{\nu})$$
$$= (-1)^{\mathsf{wt}(x)}(-1)^{\mathsf{wt}(y)} = (-1)^{\mathsf{wt}(y)} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\nu} (-1)^{i}E_{i}^{*}\mathbf{1}\right)_{x}.$$

$$E_{\mathbf{v}}\mathbf{V} = \mathbb{R}\sum_{i=0}^{\mathbf{v}}(-1)^{i}E_{i}^{*}\mathbf{1} \quad (\mathbf{1} = (1,\ldots,1)^{ op}\in \mathbf{V}).$$

Similarly

$$E_0V = \mathbb{R}\sum_{i=0}^{r} E_i^*\mathbf{1} = \mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}.$$

$$2^{\nu}(E_{\nu})_{x,y} = (HE_{\nu}^{*}H)_{x,y} = \sum_{\substack{z \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{\nu} \\ wt(z) = \nu}} H_{x,z}H_{z,y}$$

= $H_{x,1}H_{1,y} = (-1)^{x \cdot 1}(-1)^{y \cdot 1}$ $(\mathbf{1} = (1, \dots, 1) \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{\nu})$
= $(-1)^{wt(x)}(-1)^{wt(y)} = (-1)^{wt(y)} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\nu} (-1)^{i}E_{i}^{*}\mathbf{1}\right)_{x}$.

$$E_{\mathbf{v}}\mathbf{V} = \mathbb{R}\sum_{i=0}^{\mathbf{v}} (-1)^i E_i^* \mathbf{1} \quad (\mathbf{1} = (1, \dots, 1)^\top \in \mathbf{V}).$$

Similarly

$$E_0V = \mathbb{R}\sum_{i=0}^{r} E_i^*\mathbf{1} = \mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}.$$

$$2^{v}(E_{v})_{x,y} = (HE_{v}^{*}H)_{x,y} = \sum_{\substack{z \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{v} \\ wt(z) = v}} H_{x,z}H_{z,y}$$

= $H_{x,1}H_{1,y} = (-1)^{x \cdot 1}(-1)^{y \cdot 1}$ $(1 = (1, ..., 1) \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{v})$
= $(-1)^{wt(x)}(-1)^{wt(y)} = (-1)^{wt(y)} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{v} (-1)^{i}E_{i}^{*}\mathbf{1}\right)_{x}$

$$E_{\mathbf{v}}\mathbf{V} = \mathbb{R}\sum_{i=0}^{\mathbf{v}}(-1)^{i}E_{i}^{*}\mathbf{1} \quad (\mathbf{1} = (1,\ldots,1)^{\top} \in \mathbf{V}).$$

Similarly

$$E_0V = \mathbb{R}\sum_{i=0}^{r} E_i^*\mathbf{1} = \mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}.$$

$$2^{\nu}(E_{\nu})_{x,y} = (HE_{\nu}^{*}H)_{x,y} = \sum_{\substack{z \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{\nu} \\ \operatorname{wt}(z) = \nu}} H_{x,z}H_{z,y}$$

= $H_{x,1}H_{1,y} = (-1)^{x \cdot 1}(-1)^{y \cdot 1}$ $(\mathbf{1} = (1, \dots, 1) \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{\nu})$
= $(-1)^{\operatorname{wt}(x)}(-1)^{\operatorname{wt}(y)} = (-1)^{\operatorname{wt}(y)} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\nu} (-1)^{i}E_{i}^{*}\mathbf{1}\right)_{x}$

$$E_{\mathbf{v}}\mathbf{V} = \mathbb{R}\sum_{i=0}^{\mathbf{v}}(-1)^{i}E_{i}^{*}\mathbf{1} \quad (\mathbf{1} = (1,\ldots,1)^{\top} \in \mathbf{V}).$$

Similarly

$$E_0V = \mathbb{R}\sum_{i=0}^{r} E_i^*\mathbf{1} = \mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}.$$

$$2^{\nu}(E_{\nu})_{x,y} = (HE_{\nu}^{*}H)_{x,y} = \sum_{\substack{z \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{\nu} \\ \operatorname{wt}(z) = \nu}} H_{x,z}H_{z,y}$$

= $H_{x,1}H_{1,y} = (-1)^{x \cdot 1}(-1)^{y \cdot 1}$ $(\mathbf{1} = (1, \dots, 1) \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{\nu})$
= $(-1)^{\operatorname{wt}(x)}(-1)^{\operatorname{wt}(y)} = (-1)^{\operatorname{wt}(y)} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\nu} (-1)^{i}E_{i}^{*}\mathbf{1}\right)_{x}$.

$$E_{\mathbf{v}}\mathbf{V} = \mathbb{R}\sum_{i=0}^{\mathbf{v}} (-1)^i E_i^* \mathbf{1} \quad (\mathbf{1} = (1, \dots, 1)^\top \in \mathbf{V}).$$

Similarly

$$E_0V = \mathbb{R}\sum_{i=0}^{r} E_i^*\mathbf{1} = \mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}.$$

$$2^{\nu}(E_{\nu})_{x,y} = (HE_{\nu}^{*}H)_{x,y} = \sum_{\substack{z \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{\nu} \\ wt(z) = \nu}} H_{x,1}H_{1,y} = (-1)^{x \cdot 1}(-1)^{y \cdot 1} \quad (1 = (1, \dots, 1) \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{\nu})$$
$$= (-1)^{wt(x)}(-1)^{wt(y)} = (-1)^{wt(y)} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\nu} (-1)^{i}E_{i}^{*}\mathbf{1}\right)_{x}.$$

$$E_{\mathbf{v}}\mathbf{V} = \mathbb{R}\sum_{i=0}^{\mathbf{v}}(-1)^{i}E_{i}^{*}\mathbf{1} \quad (\mathbf{1} = (1,\ldots,1)^{\top} \in \mathbf{V}).$$

Similarly

$$E_0V = \mathbb{R}\sum_{i=0}^{v}E_i^*\mathbf{1} = \mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}.$$

$$egin{aligned} & E_t^*\mathcal{A}_1^j(E_0+E_{v})\hat{C}\in E_t^*\mathcal{A}_1^jE_0V+E_t^*\mathcal{A}_1^jE_vV\ &\subset E_t^*E_0V+E_t^*E_vV\ &=\mathbb{R}E_t^*\mathbf{1}+\mathbb{R}{E^*}_t\sum_{i=0}^v(-1)^iE_i^*\mathbf{1}\ &=\mathbb{R}E_t^*\mathbf{1}. \end{aligned}$$

$$egin{aligned} & E_t^*\mathcal{A}_1^j(E_0+E_{v})\hat{C}\in E_t^*\mathcal{A}_1^jE_0V+E_t^*\mathcal{A}_1^jE_vV\ &\subset E_t^*E_0V+E_t^*E_vV\ &=\mathbb{R}E_t^*\mathbf{1}+\mathbb{R}{E^*}_t\sum_{i=0}^v(-1)^iE_i^*\mathbf{1}\ &=\mathbb{R}E_t^*\mathbf{1}. \end{aligned}$$

$$egin{aligned} & \mathcal{E}_t^*\mathcal{A}_1^j(\mathcal{E}_0+\mathcal{E}_v)\hat{\mathcal{C}}\in \mathcal{E}_t^*\mathcal{A}_1^j\mathcal{E}_0\mathbf{V}+\mathcal{E}_t^*\mathcal{A}_1^j\mathcal{E}_v\mathbf{V}\ &\subset \mathcal{E}_t^*\mathcal{E}_0\mathbf{V}+\mathcal{E}_t^*\mathcal{E}_v\mathbf{V}\ &=\mathbb{R}\mathcal{E}_t^*\mathbf{1}+\mathbb{R}\mathcal{E}^*_t\sum_{i=0}^v(-1)^i\mathcal{E}_i^*\mathbf{1}\ &=\mathbb{R}\mathcal{E}_t^*\mathbf{1}. \end{aligned}$$

$$egin{aligned} & E_t^*\mathcal{A}_1^j(E_0+E_{v})\hat{C}\in E_t^*\mathcal{A}_1^j\mathbf{E}_0\mathcal{V}+E_t^*\mathcal{A}_1^j\mathbf{E}_v\mathcal{V}\ &\subset E_t^*E_0\mathcal{V}+E_t^*E_v\mathcal{V}\ &=\mathbb{R}E_t^*\mathbf{1}+\mathbb{R}{E^*}_t\sum_{i=0}^v(-1)^iE_i^*\mathbf{1}\ &=\mathbb{R}E_t^*\mathbf{1}. \end{aligned}$$

$$egin{aligned} & E_t^* \mathcal{A}_1^j (E_0 + E_{m{v}}) \hat{C} \in E_t^* \mathcal{A}_1^j E_0 V + E_t^* \mathcal{A}_1^j E_{m{v}} V \ & \subset E_t^* E_0 V + E_t^* E_{m{v}} V \ & = \mathbb{R} E_t^* \mathbf{1} + \mathbb{R} E_t^* \sum_{i=0}^v (-1)^i E_i^* \mathbf{1} \ & = \mathbb{R} E_t^* \mathbf{1}. \end{aligned}$$
$E_{\mathbf{v}}V = \mathbb{R}\sum_{i=0}^{\mathbf{v}}(-1)^{i}E_{i}^{*}\mathbf{1}, \qquad E_{0}V = \mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}$ $A_{1}E_{i} = (\mathbf{v}-2i)E_{i}, \text{ so } A_{1}E_{i}V \subset E_{i}V$

 A_1^j also leave $E_i V$ invariant. Thus

$$egin{aligned} & E_t^*\mathcal{A}_1^j(E_0+E_{v})\hat{C}\in E_t^*\mathcal{A}_1^jE_0V+E_t^*\mathcal{A}_1^jE_vV\ &\subset E_t^*E_0V+E_t^*E_vV\ &=\mathbb{R}E_t^*\mathbf{1}+\mathbb{R}{E^*}_t\sum_{i=0}^v(-1)^iE_i^*\mathbf{1}\ &=\mathbb{R}E_t^*\mathbf{1}. \end{aligned}$$

$E_{v}V = \mathbb{R}\sum_{i=0}^{v}(-1)^{i}E_{i}^{*}\mathbf{1}, \qquad E_{0}V = \mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}$ $A_{1}E_{i} = (v - 2i)E_{i}, \text{ so } A_{1}E_{i}V \subset E_{i}V$

 A_1^j also leave $E_i V$ invariant. Thus

$$egin{aligned} & \mathcal{E}_t^*\mathcal{A}_1^j(\mathcal{E}_0+\mathcal{E}_{v})\hat{\mathcal{C}}\in \mathcal{E}_t^*\mathcal{A}_1^j\mathcal{E}_0\mathcal{V}+\mathcal{E}_t^*\mathcal{A}_1^j\mathcal{E}_v\mathcal{V}\ &\subset \mathcal{E}_t^*\mathcal{E}_0\mathcal{V}+\mathcal{E}_t^*\mathcal{E}_v\mathcal{V}\ &=\mathbb{R}\mathcal{E}_t^*\mathbf{1}+\mathbb{R}\mathcal{E}^*{}_t\sum_{i=0}^v(-1)^i\mathcal{E}_i^*\mathbf{1}\ &=\mathbb{R}\mathcal{E}_t^*\mathbf{1}. \end{aligned}$$

Being a polynomial in A_1 , the matrices A_{k-t} also has the same property

$$E_t^*A_{k-t}(E_0+E_v)\hat{C}\in\mathbb{R}E_t^*\mathbf{1}.$$

$$E_t^*A_1^j(E_0+E_v)\hat{C}, \ E_t^*A_{k-t}(E_0+E_v)\hat{C}\in \mathbb{R}E_t^*\mathbf{1}$$

$$(E_0 + E_v)\hat{C} + \sum_{i \in S} E_i\hat{C} = E_0^*\hat{C} + \sum_{i \ge k} E_i^*\hat{C} \text{ and } t = k - |S|$$

 $\implies E_t^*A_{k-t}(E_0 + E_v)\hat{C} + E_t^*A_{k-t}\sum_{i \in S} E_i\hat{C} \in \mathbb{R}E_t^*\mathbf{1}.$

$$E_t^* A_1^j (E_0 + E_v) \hat{C}, \ E_t^* A_{k-t} (E_0 + E_v) \hat{C} \in \mathbb{R} E_t^* \mathbf{1}$$

$$(E_0 + E_v)\hat{C} + \sum_{i \in S} E_i\hat{C} = E_0^*\hat{C} + \sum_{i \ge k} E_i^*\hat{C} \text{ and } t = k - |S|$$

 $\implies E_t^*A_{k-t}(E_0 + E_v)\hat{C} + E_t^*A_{k-t}\sum_{i \in S} E_i\hat{C} \in \mathbb{R}E_t^*\mathbf{1}.$

$$E_t^*A_1^j(E_0+E_v)\hat{C}, \ E_t^*A_{k-t}(E_0+E_v)\hat{C}\in \mathbb{R}E_t^*\mathbf{1}$$

$$(E_0 + E_v)\hat{C} + \sum_{i \in S} E_i\hat{C} = E_0^*\hat{C} + \sum_{i \ge k} E_i^*\hat{C} \text{ and } t = k - |S|$$

 $\implies E_t^*A_{k-t}(E_0 + E_v)\hat{C} + E_t^*A_{k-t}\sum_{i \in S} E_i\hat{C} \in \mathbb{R}E_t^*\mathbf{1}.$

reduces to

$$(E_0 + E_v)\hat{C} + \sum_{i \in S} E_i\hat{C} = E_0^*\hat{C} + \sum_{i \ge k} E_i^*\hat{C}$$

and $t = k - |S| \implies E_t^*A_{k-t}\sum_{i \in S} E_i\hat{C} \in \mathbb{R}E_t^*\mathbf{1}.$

$$E_t^*A_1^j(E_0+E_v)\hat{C}, \ E_t^*A_{k-t}(E_0+E_v)\hat{C} \in \mathbb{R}E_t^*\mathbf{1}$$

$$(E_0 + E_v)\hat{C} + \sum_{i \in S} E_i\hat{C} = E_0^*\hat{C} + \sum_{i \ge k} E_i^*\hat{C} \text{ and } t = k - |S|$$

 $\implies E_t^*A_{k-t}(E_0 + E_v)\hat{C} + E_t^*A_{k-t}\sum_{i \in S} E_i\hat{C} \in \mathbb{R}E_t^*\mathbf{1}.$

reduces to

$$(E_0 + E_v)\hat{C} + \sum_{i \in S} E_i\hat{C} = E_0^*\hat{C} + \sum_{i \ge k} E_i^*\hat{C}$$

and $t = k - |S| \implies E_t^*A_{k-t}\sum_{i \in S} E_i\hat{C} \in \mathbb{R}E_t^*\mathbf{1}.$

$$E_t^*A_1^j(E_0+E_v)\hat{C}, \ E_t^*A_{k-t}(E_0+E_v)\hat{C} \in \mathbb{R}E_t^*\mathbf{1}$$

$$(E_0 + E_v)\hat{C} + \sum_{i \in S} E_i\hat{C} = E_0^*\hat{C} + \sum_{i \ge k} E_i^*\hat{C} \text{ and } t = k - |S|$$

 $\implies E_t^*A_{k-t}(E_0 + E_v)\hat{C} + E_t^*A_{k-t}\sum_{i \in S} E_i\hat{C} \in \mathbb{R}E_t^*\mathbf{1}.$

reduces to

$$(E_0 + E_v)\hat{C} + \sum_{i \in S} E_i\hat{C} = E_0^*\hat{C} + \sum_{i \ge k} E_i^*\hat{C}$$

and $t = k - |S| \implies E_t^*A_{k-t}\sum_{i \in S} E_i\hat{C} \in \mathbb{R}E_t^*\mathbf{1}.$

$$E_t^* A_1^j (E_0 + E_v) \hat{C}, \ E_t^* A_{k-t} (E_0 + E_v) \hat{C} \in \mathbb{R} E_t^* \mathbf{1}$$

$$(E_0 + E_v)\hat{C} + \sum_{i \in S} E_i\hat{C} = E_0^*\hat{C} + \sum_{i \ge k} E_i^*\hat{C} \text{ and } t = k - |S|$$

 $\implies E_t^*A_{k-t}(E_0 + E_v)\hat{C} + E_t^*A_{k-t}\sum_{i \in S} E_i\hat{C} \in \mathbb{R}E_t^*\mathbf{1}.$

reduces to

$$E_t^* A_1^j \times (E_0 + E_v) \hat{C} + \sum_{i \in S} E_i \hat{C} = E_0^* \hat{C} + \sum_{i \ge k} E_i^* \hat{C}$$

and $t = k - |S| \implies E_t^* A_{k-t} \sum_{i \in S} E_i \hat{C} \in \mathbb{R} E_t^* \mathbf{1}.$

$$E_t^*A_1^j(E_0+E_v)\hat{C}, \ E_t^*A_{k-t}(E_0+E_v)\hat{C}\in \mathbb{R}E_t^*\mathbf{1}$$

$$(E_0 + E_v)\hat{C} + \sum_{i \in S} E_i\hat{C} = E_0^*\hat{C} + \sum_{i \ge k} E_i^*\hat{C} \text{ and } t = k - |S|$$

 $\implies E_t^*A_{k-t}(E_0 + E_v)\hat{C} + E_t^*A_{k-t}\sum_{i \in S} E_i\hat{C} \in \mathbb{R}E_t^*\mathbf{1}.$

reduces to

$$E_t^* A_1^j \sum_{i \in S} E_i \hat{C} \equiv E_t^* A_1^j (E_0^* \hat{C} + \sum_{i \ge k} E_i^* \hat{C}) \pmod{\mathbb{R} E_t^* \mathbf{1}} \quad (\forall j)$$

and $t = k - |S| \implies E_t^* A_{k-t} \sum_{i \in S} E_i \hat{C} \in \mathbb{R} E_t^* \mathbf{1}.$

$$E_t^*A_1^j(E_0+E_v)\hat{C}, \ E_t^*A_{k-t}(E_0+E_v)\hat{C}\in \mathbb{R}E_t^*\mathbf{1}$$

$$(E_0 + E_v)\hat{C} + \sum_{i \in S} E_i\hat{C} = E_0^*\hat{C} + \sum_{i \ge k} E_i^*\hat{C} \text{ and } t = k - |S|$$

 $\implies E_t^*A_{k-t}(E_0 + E_v)\hat{C} + E_t^*A_{k-t}\sum_{i \in S} E_i\hat{C} \in \mathbb{R}E_t^*\mathbf{1}.$

reduces to

$$E_t^* A_1^j \sum_{i \in S} E_i \hat{C} \equiv E_t^* A_1^j (E_0^* \hat{C} + \sum_{i \ge k} E_i^* \hat{C}) \pmod{\mathbb{R} E_t^* \mathbf{1}} \quad (\forall j)$$

and $t = k - |S| \implies E_t^* A_{k-t} \sum_{i \in S} E_i \hat{C} \in \mathbb{R} E_t^* \mathbf{1}.$

$$E_t^*A_1^j(E_0+E_v)\hat{C}, \ E_t^*A_{k-t}(E_0+E_v)\hat{C}\in \mathbb{R}E_t^*\mathbf{1}$$

$$(E_0 + E_v)\hat{C} + \sum_{i \in S} E_i\hat{C} = E_0^*\hat{C} + \sum_{i \ge k} E_i^*\hat{C} \text{ and } t = k - |S|$$

 $\implies E_t^*A_{k-t}(E_0 + E_v)\hat{C} + E_t^*A_{k-t}\sum_{i \in S} E_i\hat{C} \in \mathbb{R}E_t^*\mathbf{1}.$

reduces to

$$E_t^* A_1^j \sum_{i \in S} E_i^* \hat{C} \equiv E_t^* A_1^j (E_0^* \hat{C} + \sum_{i \ge k} E_i^* \hat{C}) \pmod{\mathbb{R} E_t^* \mathbf{1}} \quad (\forall j)$$

and $t = k - |S| \implies E_t^* A_{k-t} \sum_{i \in S} E_i^* \hat{C} \in \mathbb{R} E_t^* \mathbf{1}.$

$$E_t^*A_1^j(E_0+E_v)\hat{C}, \ E_t^*A_{k-t}(E_0+E_v)\hat{C}\in \mathbb{R}E_t^*\mathbf{1}$$

$$(E_0 + E_v)\hat{C} + \sum_{i \in S} E_i\hat{C} = E_0^*\hat{C} + \sum_{i \ge k} E_i^*\hat{C} \text{ and } t = k - |S|$$

 $\implies E_t^*A_{k-t}(E_0 + E_v)\hat{C} + E_t^*A_{k-t}\sum_{i \in S} E_i\hat{C} \in \mathbb{R}E_t^*\mathbf{1}.$

reduces to

$$E_t^* A_1^j \sum_{i \in S} E_i \hat{C} \equiv E_t^* A_1^j (E_0^* \hat{C} + \sum_{i \ge k} E_i^* \hat{C}) \pmod{\mathbb{R} E_t^* \mathbf{1}} \quad (\forall j)$$

and $t = k - |S| \implies E_t^* A_{k-t} \sum_{i \in S} E_i \hat{C} \in \mathbb{R} E_t^* \mathbf{1}.$

$$\langle I, A_1, A_1^2, A_1^3, \dots \rangle = \langle I, A_1, A_2, A_3, \dots \rangle$$

$$E_t^* A_j E_0^* \hat{C} = E_t^* A_j \hat{\mathbf{0}}$$

= $E_t^* E_j^* \mathbf{1}$
= $\delta_{t,j} E_t^* \mathbf{1}$
 $\in \mathbb{R} E_t^* \mathbf{1}$.

$$\langle I, A_1, A_1^2, A_1^3, \dots \rangle = \langle I, A_1, A_2, A_3, \dots \rangle$$

$$E_t^* A_j E_0^* \hat{C} = E_t^* A_j \hat{0}$$

= $E_t^* E_j^* \mathbf{1}$
= $\delta_{t,j} E_t^* \mathbf{1}$
 $\in \mathbb{R} E_t^* \mathbf{1}$.

$$\langle I, A_1, A_1^2, A_1^3, \dots \rangle = \langle I, A_1, A_2, A_3, \dots \rangle$$

$$E_t^* A_j E_0^* \hat{C} = E_t^* A_j \hat{0}$$

= $E_t^* E_j^* \mathbf{1}$
= $\delta_{t,j} E_t^* \mathbf{1}$
 $\in \mathbb{R} E_t^* \mathbf{1}$.

$$\langle I, A_1, A_1^2, A_1^3, \dots \rangle = \langle I, A_1, A_2, A_3, \dots \rangle$$

$$E_t^* A_j E_0^* \hat{C} = E_t^* A_j \hat{0}$$
$$= E_t^* E_j^* \mathbf{1}$$
$$= \delta_{t,j} E_t^* \mathbf{1}$$
$$\in \mathbb{R} E_t^* \mathbf{1}.$$

Thus

$$E_t^*A_jE_0^*\hat{C}\in\mathbb{R}E_t^*\mathbf{1},$$

$$E_t^* A_j E_0^* \hat{C} = E_t^* A_j \hat{0}$$

= $E_t^* E_j^* \mathbf{1}$
= $\delta_{t,j} E_t^* \mathbf{1}$
 $\in \mathbb{R} E_t^* \mathbf{1}$.

Thus

 $E_t^* A_j E_0^* \hat{C} \in \mathbb{R} E_t^* \mathbf{1},$ $E_t^* A_1^j E_0^* \hat{C} \in \mathbb{R} E_t^* \mathbf{1}.$

$E_t^* A_1^j E_0^* \hat{C} \in \mathbb{R} E_t^* \mathbf{1}$

$$E_t^* A_1^j \sum_{i \in S} E_i \hat{C} \equiv E_t^* A_1^j (E_0^* \hat{C} + \sum_{i \ge k} E_i^* \hat{C}) \pmod{\mathbb{R} E_t^* \mathbf{1}} \quad (\forall j)$$

and $t = k - |S| \implies E_t^* A_{k-t} \sum_{i \in S} E_i \hat{C} \in \mathbb{R} E_t^* \mathbf{1}.$

$E_t^*A_1^jE_0^*\hat{C}\in\mathbb{R}E_t^*\mathbf{1}$

$$E_t^* A_1^j \sum_{i \in S} E_i \hat{C} \equiv E_t^* A_1^j (E_0^* \hat{C} + \sum_{i \ge k} E_i^* \hat{C}) \pmod{\mathbb{R}E_t^* \mathbf{1}} \quad (\forall j)$$

and $t = k - |S| \implies E_t^* A_{k-t} \sum_{i \in S} E_i \hat{C} \in \mathbb{R}E_t^* \mathbf{1}.$

$$E_t^*A_1^jE_0^*\hat{C}\in\mathbb{R}E_t^*\mathbf{1}$$

$$E_t^* A_1^j \sum_{i \in S} E_i \hat{C} \equiv E_t^* A_1^j (E_0^* \hat{C} + \sum_{i \ge k} E_i^* \hat{C}) \pmod{\mathbb{R} E_t^* \mathbf{1}} \quad (\forall j)$$

and $t = k - |S| \implies E_t^* A_{k-t} \sum_{i \in S} E_i \hat{C} \in \mathbb{R} E_t^* \mathbf{1}.$

reduces to

$$E_t^* A_1^j \sum_{i \in S} E_i \hat{C} \equiv E_t^* A_1^j \sum_{i \ge k} E_i^* \hat{C} \pmod{\mathbb{R} E_t^* \mathbf{1}} \quad (\forall j)$$

and $t = k - |S| \implies E_t^* A_{k-t} \sum_{i \in S} E_i \hat{C} \in \mathbb{R} E_t^* \mathbf{1}.$

$V = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{v} E_i V$: eigenspace decomposition of A_1

 A_1 has |S| eigenvalues on

$$W=\bigoplus_{i\in S}E_iV.$$

Being a polynomial in A_1 , the matrix A_{k-t} has at most |S| eigenvalues on W, so $\exists a_0, \ldots, a_{|S|-1} \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that

$$A_{k-t} = \sum_{j=0}^{|S|-1} a_j A_1^j \quad \text{on } W.$$

$V = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{v} E_i V$: eigenspace decomposition of A_1

 A_1 has |S| eigenvalues on

$$W = \bigoplus_{i \in S} \frac{E_i V}{V}.$$

Being a polynomial in A_1 , the matrix A_{k-t} has at most |S| eigenvalues on W, so $\exists a_0, \ldots, a_{|S|-1} \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that

$$A_{k-t} = \sum_{j=0}^{|S|-1} a_j A_1^j$$
 on W .

So

$$A_{k-t}\sum_{i\in S} E_i \hat{C} = \sum_{j=0}^{|S|-1} a_j A_1^j \sum_{i\in S} E_i \hat{C}.$$

$$A_{k-t}\sum_{i\in S}E_i\hat{C}=\sum_{j=0}^{|S|-1}a_jA_1^j\sum_{i\in S}E_i\hat{C}$$

$$E_t^* A_1^j \sum_{i \in S} E_i \hat{C} \equiv E_t^* A_1^j \sum_{i \ge k} E_i^* \hat{C} \pmod{\mathbb{R} E_t^* \mathbf{1}} \quad (\forall j)$$

and $t = k - |S| \implies E_t^* A_{k-t} \sum_{i \in S} E_i \hat{C} \in \mathbb{R} E_t^* \mathbf{1}.$

$$E_t^* A_{k-t} \sum_{i \in S} E_i \hat{C} = E_t^* \sum_{j=0}^{|S|-1} a_j A_1^j \sum_{i \in S} E_i \hat{C} = \sum_{j=0}^{|S|-1} a_j E_t^* A_1^j \sum_{i \in S} E_i \hat{C}$$
$$\equiv \sum_{j=0}^{|S|-1} a_j E_t^* A_1^j \sum_{i \ge k} E_i^* \hat{C} = \sum_{j=0}^{|S|-1} \sum_{i \ge k} a_j (E_t^* A_1^j E_i^*) \hat{C}.$$

$$A_{k-t}\sum_{i\in S}E_i\hat{C}=\sum_{j=0}^{|S|-1}a_jA_1^j\sum_{i\in S}E_i\hat{C}$$

$$E_t^* A_1^j \sum_{i \in S} E_i \hat{C} \equiv E_t^* A_1^j \sum_{i \ge k} E_i^* \hat{C} \pmod{\mathbb{R} E_t^* \mathbf{1}} \quad (\forall j)$$

and $t = k - |S| \implies E_t^* A_{k-t} \sum_{i \in S} E_i \hat{C} \in \mathbb{R} E_t^* \mathbf{1}.$

$$E_t^* A_{k-t} \sum_{i \in S} E_i \hat{C} = E_t^* \sum_{j=0}^{|S|-1} a_j A_1^j \sum_{i \in S} E_i \hat{C} = \sum_{j=0}^{|S|-1} a_j E_t^* A_1^j \sum_{i \in S} E_i \hat{C}$$
$$\equiv \sum_{j=0}^{|S|-1} a_j E_t^* A_1^j \sum_{i \geq k} E_i^* \hat{C} = \sum_{j=0}^{|S|-1} \sum_{i \geq k} a_j (E_t^* A_1^j E_i^*) \hat{C}.$$

$$A_{k-t}\sum_{i\in S}E_i\hat{C}=\sum_{j=0}^{|S|-1}a_jA_1^j\sum_{i\in S}E_i\hat{C}$$

$$E_t^* A_1^j \sum_{i \in S} E_i \hat{C} \equiv E_t^* A_1^j \sum_{i \ge k} E_i^* \hat{C} \pmod{\mathbb{R} E_t^* \mathbf{1}} \quad (\forall j)$$

and $t = k - |S| \implies E_t^* A_{k-t} \sum_{i \in S} E_i \hat{C} \in \mathbb{R} E_t^* \mathbf{1}.$

$$E_t^* A_{k-t} \sum_{i \in S} E_i \hat{C} = E_t^* \sum_{j=0}^{|S|-1} a_j A_1^j \sum_{i \in S} E_i \hat{C} = \sum_{j=0}^{|S|-1} a_j E_t^* A_1^j \sum_{i \in S} E_i \hat{C}$$
$$\equiv \sum_{j=0}^{|S|-1} a_j E_t^* A_1^j \sum_{i \geq k} E_i^* \hat{C} = \sum_{j=0}^{|S|-1} \sum_{i \geq k} a_j (E_t^* A_1^j E_i^*) \hat{C}.$$

$$A_{k-t}\sum_{i\in S}E_i\hat{C}=\sum_{j=0}^{|S|-1}a_jA_1^j\sum_{i\in S}E_i\hat{C}$$

$$E_t^* A_1^j \sum_{i \in S} E_i \hat{C} \equiv E_t^* A_1^j \sum_{i \ge k} E_i^* \hat{C} \pmod{\mathbb{R} E_t^* \mathbf{1}} \quad (\forall j)$$

and $t = k - |S| \implies E_t^* A_{k-t} \sum_{i \in S} E_i \hat{C} \in \mathbb{R} E_t^* \mathbf{1}.$

$$E_t^* A_{k-t} \sum_{i \in S} E_i \hat{C} = E_t^* \sum_{j=0}^{|S|-1} a_j A_1^j \sum_{i \in S} E_i \hat{C} = \sum_{j=0}^{|S|-1} a_j E_t^* A_1^j \sum_{i \in S} E_i \hat{C}$$
$$\equiv \sum_{j=0}^{|S|-1} a_j E_t^* A_1^j \sum_{i \ge k} E_i^* \hat{C} = \sum_{j=0}^{|S|-1} \sum_{i \ge k} a_j (E_t^* A_1^j E_i^*) \hat{C}.$$

$$A_{k-t}\sum_{i\in S}E_i\hat{C}=\sum_{j=0}^{|S|-1}a_jA_1^j\sum_{i\in S}E_i\hat{C}$$

$$E_t^* A_1^j \sum_{i \in S} E_i \hat{C} \equiv E_t^* A_1^j \sum_{i \geq k} E_i^* \hat{C} \pmod{\mathbb{R} E_t^* \mathbf{1}} \quad (\forall j)$$

and $t = k - |S| \implies E_t^* A_{k-t} \sum_{i \in S} E_i \hat{C} \in \mathbb{R} E_t^* \mathbf{1}.$

$$E_{t}^{*}A_{k-t}\sum_{i\in S} E_{i}\hat{C} = E_{t}^{*}\sum_{j=0}^{|S|-1} a_{j}A_{1}^{j}\sum_{i\in S} E_{i}\hat{C} = \sum_{j=0}^{|S|-1} a_{j}E_{t}^{*}A_{1}^{j}\sum_{i\in S} E_{i}\hat{C}$$
$$\equiv \sum_{j=0}^{|S|-1} a_{j}E_{t}^{*}A_{1}^{j}\sum_{i\geq k} E_{i}^{*}\hat{C} = \sum_{j=0}^{|S|-1}\sum_{i\geq k} a_{j}(E_{t}^{*}A_{1}^{j}E_{i}^{*})\hat{C}.$$

$$A_{k-t}\sum_{i\in S}E_i\hat{C}=\sum_{j=0}^{|S|-1}a_jA_1^j\sum_{i\in S}E_i\hat{C}$$

$$E_t^* A_1^j \sum_{i \in S} E_i \hat{C} \equiv E_t^* A_1^j \sum_{i \ge k} E_i^* \hat{C} \pmod{\mathbb{R} E_t^* \mathbf{1}} \quad (\forall j)$$

and $t = k - |S| \implies E_t^* A_{k-t} \sum_{i \in S} E_i \hat{C} \in \mathbb{R} E_t^* \mathbf{1}.$

$$E_t^* A_{k-t} \sum_{i \in S} E_i \hat{C} = E_t^* \sum_{j=0}^{|S|-1} a_j A_1^j \sum_{i \in S} E_i \hat{C} = \sum_{j=0}^{|S|-1} a_j E_t^* A_1^j \sum_{i \in S} E_i \hat{C}$$
$$\equiv \sum_{j=0}^{|S|-1} a_j E_t^* A_1^j \sum_{i \geq k} E_i^* \hat{C} = \sum_{j=0}^{|S|-1} \sum_{i \geq k} a_j (E_t^* A_1^j E_i^*) \hat{C}.$$

Need to show:

|S| - 1 $\sum \sum a_j (E_t^* A_1^j E_i^*) \hat{C} = 0.$ i=0 $i\geq k$

Need to show:

$$\sum_{j=0}^{|S|-1} \sum_{i\geq k} a_j (E_t^* A_1^j E_i^*) \hat{C} = 0.$$

Since

- t = k |S|,
- $0 \le j < |S|,$
- $k \leq i$.

$$(A_1^j)_{x,y} = #(\text{paths of length } j \text{ from } x \text{ to } y)$$

= 0 if wt(x) = t and wt(y) = i.

Need to show:

$$\sum_{j=0}^{|S|-1} \sum_{i \ge k} a_j (E_t^* A_1^j E_i^*) \hat{C} = 0.$$

Since

- t = k |S|,
- $0 \le j < |S|$,
- $k \leq i$.

$$(A_1^j)_{x,y} = #(\text{paths of length } j \text{ from } x \text{ to } y)$$

= 0 if wt(x) = t and wt(y) = i.

Need to show:

$$\sum_{j=0}^{|S|-1} \sum_{i \geq k} a_j (E_t^* A_1^j E_i^*) \hat{C} = 0.$$

Since

- t = k |S|,
- $0 \leq j < |S|$,
- $k \leq i$.

$$(A_1^j)_{x,y} = #(\text{paths of length } j \text{ from } x \text{ to } y)$$

= 0 if wt(x) = t and wt(y) = i.

Need to show:

$$\sum_{j=0}^{|S|-1} \sum_{i\geq k} a_j (E_t^* A_1^j E_i^*) \hat{C} = 0.$$

Since

- t = k |S|,
- $0 \leq j < |S|$,
- $k \leq i$.

$$(A_1^j)_{x,y} = #(\text{paths of length } j \text{ from } x \text{ to } y)$$

= 0 if wt(x) = t and wt(y) = i.

Need to show:

$$\sum_{j=0}^{|S|-1} \sum_{i\geq k} a_j (E_t^* A_1^j E_i^*) \hat{C} = 0.$$

Since

- t = k |S|,
- $0 \le j < |S|$,
- $k \leq i$.

$$(A_1^j)_{x,y} = #(\text{paths of length } j \text{ from } x \text{ to } y)$$

= 0 if wt(x) = t and wt(y) = i.

Need to show:

$$\sum_{j=0}^{|S|-1} \sum_{i\geq k} a_j (E_t^* A_1^j E_i^*) \hat{C} = 0.$$

Since

- t = k |S|,
- $0 \le j < |S|$,
- $k \leq i$.

$$(A_1^j)_{x,y} = #(\text{paths of length } j \text{ from } x \text{ to } y)$$

= 0 if wt(x) = t and wt(y) = i.

Need to show:

$$\sum_{j=0}^{|S|-1} \sum_{i\geq k} a_j (E_t^* A_1^j E_i^*) \hat{C} = 0.$$

Since

- t = k |S|,
- $0 \le j < |S|$,
- $k \leq i$.

$$(A_1^j)_{x,y} = #(\text{paths of length } j \text{ from } x \text{ to } y)$$

= 0 if wt(x) = t and wt(y) = i.
End of proof.

Need to show:

$$\sum_{j=0}^{|S|-1} \sum_{i\geq k} a_j (E_t^* A_1^j E_i^*) \hat{C} = 0.$$

Since

- t = k |S|,
- $0 \le j < |S|$,
- $k \leq i$.

we have $t + j < k \leq i$, and hence $E_t^* A_1^j E_i^* = 0$ by the triangle inequality for the Hamming distance. Indeed,

$$(A_1^j)_{x,y} = #(\text{paths of length } j \text{ from } x \text{ to } y)$$

= 0 if wt(x) = t and wt(y) = i.

The Assmus–Mattson theorem

Theorem

Let C be a binary code of length v, minimum weight k.

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{P} &= \{1, 2, \dots, v\}, \\ \mathcal{B} &= \{ \text{supp}(x) \mid x \in \mathcal{C}, \ \text{wt}(x) = k \}, \\ \mathcal{S} &= \{ \text{wt}(x) \mid x \in \mathcal{C}^{\perp}, \ 0 < \text{wt}(x) < v \}, \\ t &= k - |\mathcal{S}|. \end{split}$$

Then $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B})$ is a *t*- (v, k, λ) design for some λ .

The Assmus–Mattson theorem

Theorem

Let C be a binary code of length v, minimum weight k.

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{P} &= \{1, 2, \dots, \nu\}, \\ \mathcal{B} &= \{ \text{supp}(x) \mid x \in \mathcal{C}, \ \text{wt}(x) = k \}, \\ \mathcal{S} &= \{ \text{wt}(x) \mid x \in \mathcal{C}^{\perp}, \ 0 < \text{wt}(x) < \nu \}, \\ t &= k - |\mathcal{S}|. \end{split}$$

Then $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B})$ is a *t*- (v, k, λ) design for some λ .

C: [24, 12, 8] binary doubly even self-dual (C = C[⊥]) code, so k = 8 and C has only weights 0, 8, 12, 16, 24.

$$S = { wt(x) \mid x \in C^{\perp}, \ 0 < wt(x) < 24 } = { 8, 12, 16 },$$

 $t = k - |S| = 8 - 3 = 5.$

Uniqueness of the extended binary Golay code

- C: [24, 12, 8] binary doubly even self-dual ($C = C^{\perp}$) code.
 - The Assmus–Mattson theorem implies $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B})$ is a 5-(24, 8, λ) design, where $\mathcal{P} = \{1, 2, \dots, 24\}$,

$$\mathcal{B} = { supp(x) \mid x \in C, wt(x) = 8 },$$

for some λ .

Uniqueness of the extended binary Golay code

- C: [24, 12, 8] binary doubly even self-dual ($C = C^{\perp}$) code.
 - The Assmus–Mattson theorem implies $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B})$ is a 5-(24, 8, λ) design, where $\mathcal{P} = \{1, 2, \dots, 24\}$,

$$\mathcal{B} = { supp(x) \mid x \in C, wt(x) = 8 },$$

for some λ .

If λ > 1, then there are two distinct blocks in B sharing at least 5 (hence 6) points. Their symmetric difference would make a vector of weight 4 in C, contradicting the fact that C has minimum weight 8. Thus λ = 1.

Uniqueness of the extended binary Golay code

- C: [24, 12, 8] binary doubly even self-dual ($C = C^{\perp}$) code.
 - The Assmus–Mattson theorem implies $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B})$ is a 5-(24, 8, λ) design, where $\mathcal{P} = \{1, 2, \dots, 24\}$,

$$\mathcal{B} = \{ \mathsf{supp}(x) \mid x \in \mathcal{C}, \ \mathsf{wt}(x) = 8 \},\$$

for some λ .

- If λ > 1, then there are two distinct blocks in B sharing at least 5 (hence 6) points. Their symmetric difference would make a vector of weight 4 in C, contradicting the fact that C has minimum weight 8. Thus λ = 1.
- So C is the binary code of a 5-(24, 8, 1) design which was already shown to be unqiue.

This proves the uniqueness of the extended binary Golay code.

Theorem

Let C be a binary code of length v, minimum weight k.

$$\mathcal{P} = \{1, 2, \dots, v\},\$$

 $\mathcal{B} = \{ \text{supp}(x) \mid x \in C, \text{ wt}(x) = k \},\$
 $S = \{ \text{wt}(x) \mid x \in C^{\perp}, \ 0 < \text{wt}(x) < v \},\$
 $t = k - |S|.$

Then $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B})$ is a *t*- (v, k, λ) design for some λ .

Theorem

Let C be a binary code of length v, minimum weight k.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P} &= \{1, 2, \dots, v\}, \\ \mathcal{B} &= \{ \text{supp}(x) \mid x \in C, \text{ wt}(x) = k \}, \\ \mathcal{S} &= \{ \text{wt}(x) \mid x \in C^{\perp}, \ 0 < \text{wt}(x) < v \}, \\ \mathbf{t} &= \mathbf{k} - |\mathcal{S}|. \end{aligned}$$

Then $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B})$ is a *t*- (v, k, λ) design for some λ .

The conclusion is stronger if k is large and |S| is small. These are conflicting requirments:

Theorem

Let C be a binary code of length v, minimum weight k.

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{P} &= \{1, 2, \dots, \nu\}, \\ \mathcal{B} &= \{ \text{supp}(x) \mid x \in \mathcal{C}, \ \text{wt}(x) = k \}, \\ \mathcal{S} &= \{ \text{wt}(x) \mid x \in \mathcal{C}^{\perp}, \ 0 < \text{wt}(x) < \nu \}, \\ t &= k - |\mathcal{S}|. \end{split}$$

Then $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B})$ is a *t*- (v, k, λ) design for some λ .

The conclusion is stronger if k is large and |S| is small. These are conflicting requirments:

larger $k \implies$ smaller $C \implies$ larger $C^{\perp} \implies$ larger S

Theorem

Let C be a binary code of length v, minimum weight k.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P} &= \{1, 2, \dots, \nu\}, \\ \mathcal{B} &= \{ \text{supp}(x) \mid x \in C, \text{ wt}(x) = k \}, \\ \mathbf{S} &= \{ \text{wt}(x) \mid x \in C^{\perp}, \ 0 < \text{wt}(x) < \nu \}, \\ t &= k - |S|. \end{aligned}$$

Then $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B})$ is a *t*- (v, k, λ) design for some λ .

The conclusion is stronger if k is large and |S| is small. These are conflicting requirments:

larger $k \implies$ smaller $C \implies$ larger $C^{\perp} \implies$ larger S

Theorem

Let C be a binary code of length v, minimum weight k.

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{P} &= \{1, 2, \dots, v\}, \\ \mathcal{B} &= \{ \text{supp}(x) \mid x \in \mathcal{C}, \ \text{wt}(x) = k \}, \\ \mathcal{S} &= \{ \text{wt}(x) \mid x \in \mathcal{C}^{\perp}, \ 0 < \text{wt}(x) < v \}, \\ t &= k - |\mathcal{S}|. \end{split}$$

Then $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B})$ is a *t*- (v, k, λ) design for some λ .

The conclusion is stronger if k is large and |S| is small. These are conflicting requirments:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \text{larger } k \implies \text{smaller } C \implies \text{larger } C^{\perp} \implies \text{larger } S \\ & \text{suppose } C = C^{\perp}, & \text{doubly even} \implies S \text{ not too large} \end{array}$$

$$S = \{ wt(x) \mid x \in C, \ 0 < wt(x) < v \}, \\ 5 = k - |S|.$$

$$S = \{ wt(x) \mid x \in C, \ 0 < wt(x) < v \}, \\ 5 = k - |S|.$$

•
$$k = 8$$
, $|S| = 3$, $S = \{8, 12, 16\}$, $v = 24$.

$$S = \{ wt(x) \mid x \in C, \ 0 < wt(x) < v \}, \\ 5 = k - |S|.$$

•
$$k = 8$$
, $|S| = 3$, $S = \{8, 12, 16\}$, $v = 24$.

•
$$k = 12$$
, $|S| = 7$, $S = \{12, 16, 20, 24, 28, 32, 36\}$, $v = 48$.

$$S = \{ wt(x) \mid x \in C, \ 0 < wt(x) < v \}, \\ 5 = k - |S|.$$

•
$$k = 8$$
, $|S| = 3$, $S = \{8, 12, 16\}$, $v = 24$.

- k = 12, |S| = 7, $S = \{12, 16, 20, 24, 28, 32, 36\}$, v = 48.
- k = 16, |S| = 11, $S = \{16, 20, 24, 28, 32, 36, 40, 44, 48, 52, 56\}$, v = 72.

Under what circumstance can one obtain a 5-design from a doubly even self-dual code? Let k be the minimum weight.

$$S = \{ wt(x) \mid x \in C, \ 0 < wt(x) < v \}, \\ 5 = k - |S|.$$

In general, $\forall k$: a multiple of 4, |S| = k - 5,

$$S = \{k, k+4, k+8, \dots, 5k-24 = v-k\}$$

$$v = 6k - 24 = 24m$$
, where $k = 4m + 4$.

Under what circumstance can one obtain a 5-design from a doubly even self-dual code? Let k be the minimum weight.

$$S = \{ wt(x) \mid x \in C, \ 0 < wt(x) < v \}, \\ 5 = k - |S|.$$

In general, $\forall k$: a multiple of 4, |S| = k - 5,

$$S = \{k, k+4, k+8, \dots, \frac{5k-24}{4} = v - k\}$$

v = 6k - 24 = 24m, where k = 4m + 4.

Theorem (Mallows–Sloane, 1973)

For $m \ge 1$, a binary doubly even self-dual [24m, 12m] code has minimum weight at most 4m + 4.

Theorem (Mallows–Sloane, 1973)

For $m \ge 1$, a binary doubly even self-dual [24m, 12m] code has minimum weight at most 4m + 4.

Definition

A binary doubly even self-dual [24m, 12m] code with minimum weight 4m + 4 is called extremal.

Theorem (Mallows–Sloane, 1973)

For $m \ge 1$, a binary doubly even self-dual [24m, 12m] code has minimum weight at most 4m + 4.

Definition

A binary doubly even self-dual [24m, 12m] code with minimum weight 4m + 4 is called extremal.

For $m \ge 1$, an extremal binary doubly even self-dual code gives a 5-(24m, 4m + 4, λ) design by the Assmus–Mattson theorem.

- m = 1: the extended binary Golay code and the 5-(24, 8, 1) design
- m = 2: Houghten-Lam-Thiel-Parker (2003): unique [48, 24, 12] code and a 5-(48, 12, 8) design which is unique under self-orthogonality.

Definition

A binary doubly even self-dual [24m, 12m] code with minimum weight 4m + 4 is called extremal.

- For m ≥ 1, an extremal binary doubly even self-dual code gives a 5-(24m, 4m + 4, λ) design by the Assmus–Mattson theorem.
- For $m \ge 3$, neither a code nor a design is known.

Theorem (Zhang, 1999)

There does not exist an extremal [24m, 12m, 4m + 4] binary doubly even self-dual code for $m \ge 154$.