## May 16, 2016

For today's lecture, we let V be a finite-dimensional vector space over  $\mathbf{R}$ , with positivedefinite inner product.

Recall that for  $0 \neq \alpha \in V$ ,  $s_{\alpha} \in O(V)$  denotes the reflection

$$s_{\alpha}(\lambda) = \lambda - \frac{2(\lambda, \alpha)}{(\alpha, \alpha)} \alpha \quad (\lambda \in V).$$

**Definition 1.** Let  $\Phi$  be a nonempty finite set of nonzero vectors in V. We say that  $\Phi$  is a *root system* if

- (R1)  $\Phi \cap \mathbf{R}\alpha = \{\alpha, -\alpha\}$  for all  $\alpha \in \Phi$ ,
- (R2)  $s_{\alpha}\Phi = \Phi$  for all  $\alpha \in \Phi$ .

**Definition 2.** A *total ordering* of V is a transitive relation on V (denoted <) satisfying the following axioms.

- (i) For each pair  $\lambda, \mu \in V$ , exactly one of  $\lambda < \mu, \lambda = \mu, \mu < \lambda$  holds.
- (ii) For all  $\lambda, \mu, \nu \in V$ ,  $\mu < \nu$  implies  $\lambda + \mu < \lambda + \nu$ .
- (iii) Let  $\mu < \nu$  and  $c \in \mathbf{R}$ . If c > 0 then  $c\mu < c\nu$ , and if c < 0 then  $c\nu < c\mu$ .

For convenience, we write  $\lambda > \mu$  if  $\mu < \lambda$ . By (ii),  $\lambda > 0$  implies  $0 > -\lambda$ . Thus

$$V = V_+ \cup \{0\} \cup V_- \quad (\text{disjoint}),$$

where

$$V_{+} = \{\lambda \in V \mid \lambda > 0\},\$$
$$V_{-} = \{\lambda \in V \mid \lambda < 0\}.$$

We say that  $\lambda \in V_+$  is *positive*, and  $\lambda \in V_-$  is *negative*.

**Example 3.** Let  $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$  be a basis of V. Define the lexicographic ordering of V with respect to  $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$  by

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \lambda_i < \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i \lambda_i \iff \exists k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}, \ a_1 = b_1, \dots, a_{k-1} = b_{k-1}, a_k < b_k.$$

Clearly, this is a total ordering of V. Note that  $\lambda_i > 0$  for all  $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$ .

**Lemma 4.** Let < be a total ordering of V, and let  $\lambda, \mu \in V$ .

- (i) If  $\lambda, \mu > 0$ , then  $\lambda + \mu > 0$ .
- (ii) If  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $c \in \mathbf{R}$  and c > 0, then  $c\lambda > 0$ .

(iii) If  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $c \in \mathbf{R}$  and c < 0, then  $c\lambda < 0$ . In particular,  $-\lambda < 0$ .

**Definition 5.** Let  $\Phi$  be a root system in V. A subset  $\Pi$  of  $\Phi$  is called a *positive system* if there exists a total ordering < of V such that

$$\Pi = \{ \alpha \in \Phi \mid \alpha > 0 \}.$$

**Definition 6.** Let  $\Delta$  be a subset of a root system  $\Phi$ . We call  $\Delta$  a *simple system* if  $\Delta$  is a basis of the subspace spanned by  $\Phi$ , and if moreover each  $\alpha \in \Phi$  is a linear combination of  $\Delta$  with coefficients all of the same sign (all nonnegative or all nonpositive). In other words,

$$\Phi \subset \mathbf{R}_{>0} \Delta \cup \mathbf{R}_{<0} \Delta,$$

where

$$\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}\Delta = \{\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} c_{\alpha}\alpha \mid c_{\alpha} \geq 0 \ (\alpha \in \Delta)\}.$$

If  $\Delta$  is a simple system, we call its elements *simple roots*.

**Example 7.** Let  $n \ge 2$  be an integer, and let  $S_n$  denote the symmetric group of degree n. In other words,  $S_n$  consists of all permutations of the set  $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$ . Since permutations are bijections from  $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$  to itself,  $S_n$  forms a group under composition. Let  $\varepsilon_1, ..., \varepsilon_n$  denote the standard basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . For each  $\sigma \in S_n$ , we define  $g_{\sigma} \in O(\mathbb{R}^n)$  by setting

$$g_{\sigma}(\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \varepsilon_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \varepsilon_{\sigma(i)},$$

and set

$$G_n = \{g_\sigma \mid \sigma \in \mathcal{S}_n\}.$$

It is easy to verify that  $G_n$  is a subgroup of O(V) and, the mapping  $S_n \to G_n$  defined by  $\sigma \mapsto g_\sigma$  is an isomorphism.

It is well known that  $S_n$  is generated by its set of transposition. Via the isomorphism  $\sigma \mapsto g_{\sigma}$ , we see that  $G_n$  is generated by the set of reflections

$$\{s_{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j} \mid 1 \le i < j \le n\}. \tag{1}$$

**Exercise 8.** Show that (1) is precisely the set of reflections in  $G_n$ . In other words, show that  $g_{\sigma}$  is a reflection if and only if  $\sigma$  is a transposition.