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## Overview

### 0.1 Stochastic Processes

We will study the probability models for time evolution of random phenomena. Measuring a certain quantity of the random phenomenon at each time step $n=0,1,2, \ldots$, we obtain a sequence of real values:

$$
x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}, \ldots
$$

Because of randomness, we consider $x_{n}$ as a realized value of a random variable $X_{n}$. Here a random variable is a variable taking several different values with certain probabilities. Thus, the time evolution of a random phenomenon is modeled by a sequence of random variables

$$
\left\{X_{n} ; n=0,1,2, \ldots\right\}=\left\{X_{0}, X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}, \ldots\right\},
$$

which is called a discrete-time stochastic process. If the measurement is performed along with continuous time, we need a continuous-time stochastic process:

$$
\left\{X_{t} ; t \geq 0\right\}
$$

It is our purpose to construct stochastic processes modeling typical random phenomena and to demonstrate their properties within the framework of modern probability theory.



Figure 1: Solar spots and exchanging rates

We hope that you will obtain basic concepts and methods through the following three subjects:

### 0.2 One-Dimensional Random Walk and Gambler's Ruin Problem

Let us consider coin tossing. We get +1 if the heads appears, while we get -1 (i.e., lose +1 ) if the tails appears. Let $Z_{n}$ be the value of the $n$-th coin toss.

To be precise, we must say that $\left\{Z_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence of independent, identically distributed (iid) random variables with the common distribution

$$
P\left(Z_{n}=+1\right)=P\left(Z_{n}=-1\right)=\frac{1}{2} .
$$

In short, $\left\{Z_{n}\right\}$ is called the Bernoulli trials with success probability $1 / 2$. Define

$$
X_{0}=0, \quad X_{n}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} Z_{k} \quad n=1,2, \ldots .
$$

Then $X_{n}$ means the net income at the time $n$, or the coordinate of a drunken walker after $n$ steps. The discrete time stochastic process $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ is called one-dimensional random walk.


(i) law of large numbers
(ii) diffusion speed (central limit theorem)
(iii) recurrence
(iv) long leads (law of happy time)
(v) gambler's ruin (random walk with barriers)

### 0.3 Markov Chains

Consider the time evolution of a random phenomenon, where several different states are observed at each time step $n=0,1,2, \ldots$. For example, for the ever-changing weather, after simplification we observe three states: fine, cloudy, rainy. Collected data look like a sequence of $F, C, R$ :

$$
F F C R F C C F R \ldots
$$

from which we may find the conditional probability $P(X \mid Y)$ of having a weather $X$ just after $Y$. Then we come to the transition diagram, where each arrow $Y \rightarrow X$ is asigned the conditional probability $P(X \mid Y)$.


The above diagram describes a general Markov chain over the three states because the transitions occur between every possible pair of states. According to our purpose, we may consider variations. For example, we may consider the following diagram for analysis of life span.


A Markov chain $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ is a discrete-time stochastic process over a state space $S=\{i, j, \ldots\}$ (always assumed to be finite or countably infinite), which is governed by the one-step transition probability:

$$
p_{i j}=P\left(X_{n+1}=j \mid X_{n}=i\right)
$$

where the right hand side is independent of $n$ (time homogeneous). A random walk is an example of a Markov chain. The theory of Markov chains is one of the best successful theories in probability theory for its simple description and unexpectedly rich structure. We are interested in the following topics:
(i) stationary distribution
(ii) recurrence
(iii) average life span
(iv) survival of family names (Galton-Watson tree)
(v) birth-and-death chains


### 0.4 Poisson Process

Let us imagine that an event $E$ occurs repeatedly at random as time goes on. For example, alert of receiving an e-mail, passengers making a queue at a bus stop, customers visiting a shop, occurrence of defect of a machine, radiation from an atom, etc.


Figure 2: Nerve impulses

To obtain a stochastic process, we count the number of occurrence of the event $E$ during the time interval $[0, t]$, which is denoted by $X_{t}$. Then we obtain a stochastic process $\left\{X_{t} ; t \geq 0\right\}$. The situation is illustrated as follows, where $t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots$ are the time when $E$ occurs.


A fundamental case is described by a Poisson process, where the event happens independently each other. The first to check is the statistics between two consecutive occurrence of events (waiting time).
(i) Applications to queuing theory (waiting lines are modeled by a Poisson process).
(ii) A birth-and-death process as generalization.

Poisson process is one of the fundamental examples of (continuous-time) Markov processes. Another is the Brownian motion (Wiener process).


Figure 3: Two-dimensional Brownian Motion (simulation)
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## 1 Random Variables and Probability Distributions

### 1.1 Random variables

A naive account: "variable $x$ " vs "random variable $X$ "
A random variable $X$ is a variable varying over a certain range of real numbers and the probability is assigned to each possible value.

Example 1.1.1 (1) Let's flip a coin. Heads or tails?
(2) Cut a stick and measure the length of the longer segments.
(3) Choose one person from a population and measure the weight.

### 1.1.1 Discrete random variables

A random variable $X$ is called discrete if the number of values that $X$ takes is finite or countably infinite. To be more precise, for a discrete random variable $X$ there exist a (finite or infinite) sequence of real numbers $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots$ and corresponding nonnegative numbers $p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots$ such that

$$
P\left(X=a_{i}\right)=p_{i}, \quad p_{i} \geq 0, \quad \sum p_{i}=1 .
$$

In this case

$$
\mu_{X}(d x)=\sum_{i} p_{i} \delta_{a_{i}}(d x)=\sum_{i} p_{i} \delta\left(x-a_{i}\right) d x
$$

is called the (probability) distribution of $X$.
Obviously,

$$
P(a \leq X \leq b)=\sum_{i: a \leq a_{i} \leq b} p_{i}
$$



Example 1.1.2 (coin toss) We set

$$
X= \begin{cases}1, & \text { heads } \\ 0, & \text { tails }\end{cases}
$$

Then

$$
P(X=1)=p, \quad P(X=0)=q=1-p .
$$

For a fair coin we set $p=1 / 2$.
Example 1.1.3 (waiting time) Flip a fair coin repeatedly until we get the heads. Let $T$ be the number of coin tosses to get the first heads. (If the heads occurs at the first trial, we have $T=1$; If the tails occurs at the first trial and the heads at the second trial, we have $T=2$, and so on.)

$$
P(T=k)=(1-p)^{k-1} p, \quad k=1,2, \ldots .
$$

### 1.1.2 Continuous random variables

A random variable $X$ is called continuous if $P(X=a)=0$ for all $a \in \mathbf{R}$. We understand intuitively that $X$ varies continuously.

If there exists a function $f(x)$ such that

$$
P(a \leq X \leq b)=\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x, \quad a<b,
$$

we say that $X$ admits a probability density function. Note that

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x) d x=1, \quad f(x) \geq 0
$$

In this case,

$$
\mu_{X}(d x)=f(x) d x
$$

is called the (probability) distribution of $X$.


It is useful to consider the distribution function:

$$
F_{X}(x)=P(X \leq x)=\int_{-\infty}^{x} f_{X}(t) d t, \quad x \in \mathbf{R} .
$$

Then we have

$$
f_{X}(x)=\frac{d}{d x} F_{X}(x)
$$

Remark 1.1.4 (1) A continuous random variable does not necessarily admit a probability density function. But many continuous random variables in practical applications admit probability density functions.
(2) There is a random variable which is neither discrete nor continuous. But most random variables in practical applications are either discrete or continuous.

Example 1.1.5 (random cut) Divide the interval $[0, L](L>0)$ into two segments.
(1) Let $X$ be the coordinate of the cutting point (the length of the segment containing 0 ).

$$
F_{X}(x)= \begin{cases}0, & x<0 \\ x / L, & 0 \leq x \leq L \\ 1, & x>L\end{cases}
$$

(2) Let $M$ be the length of the longer segment.

$$
F_{M}(x)= \begin{cases}0, & x<L / 2 \\ (2 x-L) / L, & L / 2 \leq x \leq L \\ 1, & x>L\end{cases}
$$

Example 1.1.6 Let $A$ be a randomly chosen point from the disc with radius $R>0$. Let $X$ be the distance between the center $O$ and $A$. We have

$$
P(a \leq X \leq b)=\frac{\pi\left(b^{2}-a^{2}\right)}{\pi R^{2}}=\frac{1}{R^{2}} \int_{a}^{b} 2 x d x, \quad 0<a<b<R
$$

so the probability density function is given by

$$
f(x)= \begin{cases}0, & x \leq 0 \\ \frac{2 x}{R^{2}}, & 0 \leq x \leq R, \\ 0, & x>R\end{cases}
$$



Figure 1.1: Random choice of a point

### 1.2 Probability distributions

### 1.2.1 Mean and variance

Definition 1.2.1 The mean or expectation value of a random variable $X$ is defined by

$$
m=\mathbf{E}[X]=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x \mu_{X}(d x)
$$

- If $X$ is discrete, we have

$$
\mathbf{E}[X]=\sum_{i} a_{i} p_{i}
$$

- If $X$ admits a probability density function $f(x)$, we have

$$
\mathbf{E}[X]=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x f(x) d x
$$

Remark 1.2.2 For a function $\varphi(x)$ we have

$$
\mathbf{E}[\varphi(X)]=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varphi(x) \mu(d x)
$$

For example,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{E}\left[X^{m}\right]=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x^{m} \mu(d x) \quad(m \text {-th moment }) \\
& \mathbf{E}\left[e^{i t X}\right]=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{i t x} \mu(d x) \quad \text { (characteristic function). }
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition 1.2.3 The variance of a random variable $X$ is defined by

$$
\sigma^{2}=\mathbf{V}[X]=\mathbf{E}\left[(X-\mathbf{E}[X])^{2}\right]=\mathbf{E}\left[X^{2}\right]-\mathbf{E}[X]^{2},
$$

or equivalently,

$$
\sigma^{2}=\mathbf{V}[X]=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}(x-\mathbf{E}[X])^{2} \mu(d x)=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x^{2} \mu(d x)-\left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x \mu(d x)\right)^{2}
$$

Quiz 1.2.4 (see Example 1.1.3) Calculate the mean and variance of the waiting time $T$.
Quiz 1.2.5 Let $S$ be the length of the shorter segment obtained by randomly cutting the interval $[0, L]$. Calculate the mean and variance of $S$.

### 1.2.2 A list of discrete distributions

1. Bernoulli distribution with success probability $0 \leq p \leq 1$.

$$
(1-p) \delta_{0}+p \delta_{1}
$$

This is the distribution of coin toss.

$$
m=p, \quad \sigma^{2}=p(1-p)
$$

Quiz 1.2.6 Let $a, b$ be distinct real numbers. A general two-point distribution is defined by

$$
p \delta_{a}+q \delta_{b}
$$

where $0 \leq p \leq 1$ and $p+q=1$. Determine the two-point distribution having mean 0 , variance 1 .
2. Binomial distribution $B(n, p)(0 \leq p \leq 1, n \geq 1)$.

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k} p^{k}(1-p)^{n-k} \delta_{k}
$$



The quantity

$$
\binom{n}{k} p^{k}(1-p)^{n-k}
$$

is the probability that $n$ coin tosses with probabilities $p$ for heads and $q=1-p$ for tails result in $k$ heads and $n-k$ tails.

Quiz 1.2.7 Verify that $m=n p$ and $\sigma^{2}=n p(1-p)$ for $B(n, p)$.
3. Geometric distribution with parameter $0 \leq p \leq 1$.

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p(1-p)^{k-1} \delta_{k}
$$

This is the distribution of waiting time for the first heads (Example 1.1.3).
Quiz 1.2.8 Verify that $m=\frac{1}{p}$ and $\sigma^{2}=\frac{1}{p^{2}}$
Remark 1.2.9 In some literatures, the geometric distribution with parameter $p$ is defined by

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p(1-p)^{k} \delta_{k}
$$

4. Poisson distribution with parameter $\lambda>0$.

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^{k}}{k!} \delta_{k}
$$

The mean is $m=\lambda$ and variance $\sigma^{2}=\lambda$.


Figure 1.2: Poisson distribution $\lambda=1 / 2,1,3$

Problem 1 Set $p_{k}=e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^{k}}{k!}$ and consider the generating function defined by

$$
G(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{k} z^{k} .
$$

(1) Compute the above sum and derive a concise expression of $G(z)$.
(2) By using $G^{\prime}(1)$ show that the mean value of the Poisson distribution with parameter $\lambda$ is given by $m=\lambda$.
(3) By using $G^{\prime \prime}(1)$ show that the variance of the Poisson distribution with parameter $\lambda$ is given by $\sigma^{2}=\lambda$.

### 1.2.3 A list of continuous distributions (density functions)

1. Uniform distribution on $[a, b]$.

$$
f(x)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{b-a}, & a \leq x \leq b \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$



The mean value and the variance are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
m & =\int_{a}^{b} x \frac{d x}{b-a}=\frac{a+b}{2} \\
\sigma^{2} & =\int_{a}^{b} x^{2} \frac{d x}{b-a}-m^{2}=\frac{(b-a)^{2}}{12} .
\end{aligned}
$$

2. Exponential distribution with parameter $\lambda>0$.

$$
f(x)= \begin{cases}\lambda e^{-\lambda x}, & x \geq 0 \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

This is a model for waiting time (continuous time).


Quiz 1.2.10 Verify that $m=\frac{1}{\lambda}$ and $\sigma^{2}=\frac{1}{\lambda^{2}}$.
3. Normal (Gaussian) distribution $N\left(m, \sigma^{2}\right)(\sigma>0, m \in \mathbf{R})$

$$
f(x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi \sigma^{2}}} \exp \left\{-\frac{(x-m)^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}}\right\}
$$

In particular, $N(0,1)$ is called the standard normal distribution.


Quiz 1.2.11 Differentiating both sides of the known formula:

$$
\int_{0}^{+\infty} e^{-t x^{2}} d x=\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2 \sqrt{t}}, \quad t>0
$$

find the values

$$
\int_{0}^{+\infty} x^{2 n} e^{-x^{2}} d x, \quad n=0,1,2, \ldots
$$

Quiz 1.2.12 Prove that the above $f(x)$ is a probability density function. Then prove by integration that the mean is $m$ and the variance is $\sigma^{2}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
m & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi \sigma^{2}}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x \exp \left\{-\frac{(x-m)^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}}\right\} d x \\
\sigma^{2} & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi \sigma^{2}}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}(x-m)^{2} \exp \left\{-\frac{(x-m)^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}}\right\} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Problem 2 Choose randomly a point $A$ from the disc with radius one and let $X$ be the radius of the inscribed circle with center $A$.
(1) For $x \geq 0$ find the probability $P(X \leq x)$.
(2) Find the probability density function $f_{X}(x)$ of $X$. (Note that $x$ varies over all real numbers.)
(3) Calculate the mean and variance of $X$.
(4) Calculate the mean and variance of the area of inscribed circle $S=\pi X^{2}$.


## 2 Bernoulli Trials

Repeated independent coin tosses are called the Bernoulli trials, where the tossed coins are identical in the sense that the probabilities of heads and tails remain the same throughout the trials. The Bernoulli trials form the most fundamental stochastic process.

### 2.1 Independence

### 2.1.1 Independent events

Definition 2.1.1 (Pairwise independence) A (finite or infinite) sequence of events $A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots$ is called pairwise independent if any pair of events $A_{i_{1}}, A_{i_{2}}\left(i_{1} \neq i_{2}\right)$ verifies

$$
P\left(A_{i_{1}} \cap A_{i_{2}}\right)=P\left(A_{i_{1}}\right) P\left(A_{i_{2}}\right)
$$

Definition 2.1.2 (Independence) A (finite or infinite) sequence of events $A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots$ is called independent if any choice of finitely many events $A_{i_{1}}, \ldots, A_{i_{n}}\left(i_{1}<i_{2}<\cdots<i_{n}\right)$ satisfies

$$
P\left(A_{i_{1}} \cap A_{i_{2}} \cap \cdots \cap A_{i_{n}}\right)=P\left(A_{i_{1}}\right) P\left(A_{i_{2}}\right) \cdots P\left(A_{i_{n}}\right) .
$$

Example 2.1.3 Consider the trial to randomly draw a card from a deck of 52 cards. Let $A$ be the event that the result is an ace and $B$ the event that the result is spades. Then $A, B$ are independent.

Example 2.1.4 An urn contains four balls with numbers 112, 121, 211, 222. We draw a ball at random and let $X_{1}$ be the first digit, $X_{2}$ the second digit, and $X_{3}$ the last digit. For $i=1,2,3$ we define an event $A_{i}$ by $A_{i}=\left\{X_{i}=1\right\}$. Then $\left\{A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}\right\}$ is pairwise independent but is not independent.

Remark 2.1.5 It is allowed to consider whether the sequence of events $\{A, A\}$ is independent or not. If they are independent, by definition we have

$$
P(A \cap A)=P(A) P(A)
$$

Then $P(A)=0$ or $P(A)=1$. Notice that $P(A)=0$ does not imply $A=\emptyset$. Similarly, $P(A)=1$ does not imply $A=\Omega$ (whole event).

Quiz 2.1.6 For $A$ we write $A^{\#}$ for itself $A$ or its complementary event $A^{c}$. Prove the following assertions.
(1) If $A$ and $B$ are independent, so are $A^{\#}$ and $B^{\#}$.
(2) If $A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots$ are independent, so are $A_{1}^{\#}, A_{2}^{\#}, \ldots$.

Definition 2.1.7 (Conditional probability) For two events $A, B$ the conditional probability of $A$ relative to $B$ (or on the hypothesis $B$, or for given $B$ ) is defined by

$$
P(A \mid B)=\frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(B)}
$$

whenever $P(B)>0$.
Theorem 2.1.8 Let $A, B$ be events with $P(A)>0$ and $P(B)>0$. Then, the following assertions are equivalent:
(i) $A, B$ are independent;
(ii) $P(A \mid B)=P(A)$;
(iii) $P(B \mid A)=P(B)$;

### 2.1.2 Independent random variables

Definition 2.1.9 A (finite or infinite) sequence of random variables $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots$ is independent (resp. pairwise independent) if so is the sequence of events $\left\{X_{1} \leq a_{1}\right\},\left\{X_{1} \leq a_{2}\right\}, \ldots$ for any $a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots \in \mathbf{R}$.

In other words, a (finite or infinite) sequence of random variables $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots$ is independent if for any finite $X_{i_{1}}, \ldots, X_{i_{n}}\left(i_{1}<i_{2}<\cdots<i_{n}\right)$ and constant numbers $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(X_{i_{1}} \leq a_{1}, X_{i_{2}} \leq a_{2}, \ldots, X_{i_{n}} \leq a_{n}\right)=P\left(X_{i_{1}} \leq a_{1}\right) P\left(X_{i_{2}} \leq a_{2}\right) \cdots P\left(X_{i_{n}} \leq a_{n}\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds. Similar assertion holds for the pairwise independence.
If random variables $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots$ are discrete, (2.1) may be replaced with

$$
P\left(X_{i_{1}}=a_{1}, X_{i_{2}}=a_{2}, \ldots, X_{i_{n}}=a_{n}\right)=P\left(X_{i_{1}}=a_{1}\right) P\left(X_{i_{2}}=a_{2}\right) \cdots P\left(X_{i_{n}}=a_{n}\right) .
$$

Example 2.1.10 Choose at random a point from the rectangle $\Omega=\{(x, y) ; a \leq x \leq b, c \leq y \leq d\}$. Let $X$ denote the $x$-coordinates of the chosen point and $Y$ the $y$-coordinates. Then $X, Y$ are independent.

### 2.2 Covariance and Correlation Coefficient

Recall that the mean of a random variable $X$ is defined by

$$
m_{X}=\mathbf{E}(X)=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x \mu_{X}(d x)
$$

Theorem 2.2.1 (Linearity) For two random variables $X, Y$ and two constant numbers $a, b$ it holds that

$$
\mathbf{E}(a X+b Y)=a \mathbf{E}(X)+b \mathbf{E}(Y) .
$$

Theorem 2.2.2 (Multiplicativity) If random variables $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}$ are independent, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{E}\left[X_{1} X_{2} \cdots X_{n}\right]=\mathbf{E}\left[X_{1}\right] \cdots \mathbf{E}\left[X_{n}\right] . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof We first prove the assertion for $X_{k}=1_{A_{k}}$ (indicator random variable). By definition $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ are independent if and only if so are $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n}$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E}\left[X_{1} \cdots X_{n}\right] & =\mathbf{E}\left[1_{A_{1} \cap \cdots \cap A_{n}}\right]=P\left(A_{1} \cap \cdots \cap A_{n}\right) \\
& =P\left(A_{1}\right) \cdots P\left(A_{n}\right)=\mathbf{E}\left[X_{1}\right] \cdots \mathbf{E}\left[X_{n}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus (2.2)is verified. Then, by linearity the assertion is valid for $X_{k}$ taking finitely many values (finite linear combination of indicator random variables). Finally, for general $X_{k}$, coming back to the definition of Lebesgue integration, we can prove the assertion by approximation argument.

The variance of $X$ is defined by

$$
\sigma_{X}^{2}=\mathbf{V}(X)=\mathbf{E}\left[\left(X-m_{X}\right)^{2}\right]=\mathbf{E}\left[X^{2}\right]-\mathbf{E}[X]^{2} .
$$

By means of the distribution $\mu(d x)$ of $X$ we may write

$$
\mathbf{V}(X)=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\left(x-m_{X}\right)^{2} \mu(d x)=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x^{2} \mu(d x)-\left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x \mu(d x)\right)^{2}
$$

Definition 2.2.3 The covariance of two random variables $X, Y$ is defined by

$$
\sigma_{X Y}=\mathbf{E}[(X-\mathbf{E}(X))(Y-\mathbf{E}(Y))]=\mathbf{E}[X Y]-\mathbf{E}[X] \mathbf{E}[Y] .
$$

In particular, $\sigma_{X X}=\sigma_{X}^{2}$ becomes the variance of $X$.
Definition 2.2.4 $X, Y$ are called uncorrelated if $\sigma_{X Y}=0$. They are called positively (resp. negatively) correlated if $\sigma_{X Y}>0$ (resp. $\sigma_{X Y}<0$ ).

Theorem 2.2.5 If two random variables $X, Y$ are independent, they are uncorrelated.
Remark 2.2.6 The converse of Theorem 2.2.5 is not true in general. Let $X$ be a random variable satisfying

$$
P(X=-1)=P(X=1)=\frac{1}{4}, \quad P(X=0)=\frac{1}{2}
$$

and set $Y=X^{2}$. Then, $X, Y$ are not independent, but $\sigma_{X Y}=0$.
Problem 3 Let $X$ and $Y$ be random variables such that

$$
P(X=a)=p_{1}, \quad P(X=b)=q_{1}=1-p_{1}, \quad P(Y=c)=p_{2}, \quad P(Y=d)=q_{2}=1-p_{2},
$$

where $a, b, c, d$ are constant numbers and $0<p_{1}<1,0<p_{2}<1$. Show that $X, Y$ are independent if $\sigma_{X Y}=0$. [In general, uncorrelated random variables are not necessarily independent. Hence, this falls into a very particular situation.]

Theorem 2.2.7 (Additivity of variance) Let $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}$ be random variables, any pair of which is uncorrelated. Then

$$
\mathbf{V}\left[\sum_{k=1}^{n} X_{k}\right]=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbf{V}\left[X_{k}\right]
$$

Definition 2.2.8 The correlation coefficient of two random variables $X, Y$ is defined by

$$
\rho_{X Y}=\frac{\sigma_{X Y}}{\sigma_{X} \sigma_{Y}}
$$

whenever $\sigma_{X}>0$ and $\sigma_{Y}>0$.
Theorem 2.2.9 $-1 \leq \rho_{X Y} \leq 1$ for two random variables $X, Y$ with $\sigma_{X}>0, \sigma_{Y}>0$.
Problem 4 Throw two dice and let $L$ be the larger spot and $S$ the smaller. (If double spots, set $L=S$.)
(1) Calculate the covariance $\sigma_{L S}$ and the correlation coefficient $r_{L S}$.
(2) Are $L, S$ independent?

### 2.3 Bernoulli trials

Definition 2.3.1 A sequence of random variables (or a discrete-time stochastic process) $\left\{X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}, \ldots\right\}$ is called the Bernoulli trials with success probability $p(0 \leq p \leq 1)$ if they are independent and have the same distribution as

$$
P\left(X_{n}=1\right)=p, \quad P\left(X_{n}=0\right)=q=1-p
$$

By definition we have

$$
P\left(X_{1}=\xi_{1}, X_{2}=\xi_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}=\xi_{n}\right)=\prod_{k=1}^{n} P\left(X_{k}=\xi_{k}\right) \quad \text { for all } \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \ldots, \xi_{n} \in\{0,1\}
$$

In general, statistical quantity in the left-hand side is called the finite dimensional distribution of the stochastic process $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$. The total set of finite dimensional distributions characterizes a stochastic process.

Definition 2.3.2 (binomial process) Let $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ be Bernoulli trials with success probability $p$. The stochastic process $\left\{S_{n}\right\}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{n}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} X_{k} \quad S_{0}=0 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is called the binomial process.
Since $S_{n}$ counts the number of success during the first $n$ trials, we know that

$$
S_{n} \sim B(n, p)
$$

Asymptotic properties of a binomial process are very important.

### 2.4 Law of Large Numbers (LLN)

### 2.4.1 Observation

Let $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ be a Bernoulli trial with success probability $1 / 2$, namely, tossing a fair coin, and consider the binomial process defined by

$$
S_{n}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} X_{k} .
$$

Since $S_{n}$ counts the number of heads during the first $n$ trials,

$$
\frac{S_{n}}{n}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} X_{k}
$$

gives the relative frequency of heads during the first $n$ trials.
Computer simulation is easy. The following is just one example showing that the relative frequency of heads $S_{n} / n$ tends to $1 / 2$.


Figure 2.1: Relative frequency of heads $S_{n} / n$

It is our aim to show this mathematically. However, we cannot accept a naive formula:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_{n}}{n}=\frac{1}{2} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

because

1. Notice that $S_{n} / n$ is a random variable taking values in $\{0,1 / n, 2 / n, \ldots, 1\}$.
2. From one series of trials $\omega=\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \ldots\right)$ we obtain a sequence of relative frequencies:

$$
S_{1}(\omega), \frac{S_{2}(\omega)}{2}, \frac{S_{3}(\omega)}{3}, \ldots, \frac{S_{n}(\omega)}{n}, \ldots
$$

3. For example, for $\omega=(1,1,1, \ldots), S_{n} / n$ converges to 1 ; For $\omega=(0,0,0, \ldots), S_{n} / n$ converges to 0 . Moreover, for any $0 \leq t \leq 1$ there exists $\omega$ such that $S_{n} / n$ converges to $t$; there exists $\omega$ such that $S_{n} / n$ does not converge (oscillating).
4. Namely, it is impossible to show the limit formula (2.4) for all samples $\omega$.

Therefore, to show the empirical fact (2.4) we need some probabilistic formulation.

### 2.4.2 Law of Large Numbers

Theorem 2.4.1 (Weak Law of Large Numbers) Let $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots$ be identically distributed random variables with mean $m$ and variance $\sigma^{2}$. (This means that $X_{i}$ has a finite variance.) If $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots$ are uncorrelated, for any $\epsilon>0$ we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(\left|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} X_{k}-m\right| \geq \epsilon\right)=0
$$

We say that $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} X_{k}$ converges to $m$ in probability.
Remark 2.4.2 In many literatures the weak law of large numbers is stated under the assumption that $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots$ are independent. It is noticeable that the same result holds under the weaker assumption of being uncorrelated.

Theorem 2.4.3 (Chebyshev inequality) Let $X$ be a random variable with mean $m$ and variance $\sigma^{2}$. Then, for any $\epsilon>0$ we have

$$
P(|X-m| \geq \epsilon) \leq \frac{\sigma^{2}}{\epsilon^{2}}
$$

Proof By definition we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
m & =\mathbf{E}[X]=\int_{\Omega} X(\omega) P(d \omega), \\
\sigma^{2} & =\mathbf{E}\left[(X-m)^{2}\right]=\int_{\Omega}(X(\omega)-m)^{2} P(d \omega)
\end{aligned}
$$

The above integral for the variance is divided into two parts as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma^{2} & =\int_{\Omega}(X(\omega)-m)^{2} P(d \omega) \\
& =\int_{|X-m| \geq \epsilon}(X(\omega)-m)^{2} P(d \omega)+\int_{|X-m|<\epsilon}(X(\omega)-m)^{2} P(d \omega)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we have

$$
\sigma^{2} \geq \int_{|X-m| \geq \epsilon}(X(\omega)-m)^{2} P(d \omega) \geq \int_{|X-m| \geq \epsilon} \epsilon^{2} P(d \omega)=\epsilon^{2} P(|X-m| \geq \epsilon),
$$

as desired.
Proof [Theorem 2.4.1 (Weak Law of Large Numbers)] For simplicity we set

$$
Y=Y_{n}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} X_{k} .
$$

The mean value is given by

$$
\mathbf{E}[Y]=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbf{E}\left[X_{k}\right]=m .
$$

Let us compute the variance. Since $\mathbf{E}\left[X_{k} X_{l}\right]=\mathbf{E}\left[X_{k}\right] \mathbf{E}\left[X_{l}\right](k \neq l)$ by assumption of being uncorrelated, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E}\left[Y^{2}\right] & =\frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{k, l=1}^{n} \mathbf{E}\left[X_{k} X_{l}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{n^{2}}\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbf{E}\left[X_{k}^{2}\right]+\sum_{k \neq l} \mathbf{E}\left[X_{k} X_{l}\right]\right\} \\
& =\frac{1}{n^{2}}\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\mathbf{V}\left[X_{k}\right]+\mathbf{E}\left[X_{k}\right]^{2}\right)+\sum_{k \neq l} \mathbf{E}\left[X_{k}\right] \mathbf{E}\left[X_{l}\right]\right\} \\
& =\frac{1}{n^{2}}\left\{n \sigma^{2}+n m^{2}+\left(n^{2}-n\right) m^{2}\right\} \\
& =\frac{\sigma^{2}}{n}+m^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\mathbf{V}[Y]=\mathbf{E}\left[Y^{2}\right]-\mathbf{E}[Y]^{2}=\frac{\sigma^{2}}{n} .
$$

On the other hand, applying Chebyshev inequality, we have

$$
P(|Y-m| \geq \epsilon) \leq \frac{\mathbf{V}[Y]}{\epsilon^{2}}=\frac{\sigma^{2}}{n \epsilon^{2}}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(\left|Y_{n}-m\right| \geq \epsilon\right)=0,
$$

as desired.

## Example 2.4.4 (Coin-toss)

In fact, we have a stronger result.
Theorem 2.4.5 (Strong law of large numbers) Let $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots$ be identically distributed random variables with mean $m$. (This means that $X_{i}$ has a mean but is not assumed to have a finite variance.) If $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots$ are pairwise independent, we have

$$
P\left(\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} X_{k}=m\right)=1 .
$$

In other words,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} X_{k}=m \quad \text { a.s. }
$$

Remark 2.4.6 Kolmogorov proved the strong law of large numbers under the assumption that $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots$ are independent. In many literatures, the strong law of large numbers is stated as Kolmogorov proved. Its proof being based on the so-called "Kolmogorov's almost sure convergence theorem," we cannot relax the assumption of independence. Theorem 2.4.5 is due to N. Etemadi (1981), where the assumption is relaxed to being mutually independent and the proof is more elementary, see also books by Sato, by Durrett, etc.

### 2.5 Central Limit Theorem (CLT)

### 2.5.1 De Moivre-Laplace theorem

From numerical computation we see that the binomial distribution $B(n, p)$ is close to the normal distribution having the same mean $m=n p$ and the variance $\sigma^{2}=n p(1-p)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
B(n, p) \approx N(n p, n p(1-p)) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We see that the matching becomes better for larger $n$.
The approximation (2.5) means that distribution functions are almost the same: For a random variable $S$ obeying the binomial distribution $B(n, p)$ we have

$$
P(S \leq x) \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi \sigma^{2}}} \int_{-\infty}^{x} e^{-(t-m)^{2} / 2 \sigma^{2}} d t, \quad m=n p, \quad \sigma^{2}=n p(1-p) .
$$

Changing the variables, we come to

$$
P(S \leq x) \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{(x-m) / \sigma} e^{-t^{2} / 2} d t .
$$

Noting the obvious identity:

$$
P(S \leq x)=P\left(\frac{S-m}{\sigma} \leq \frac{x-m}{\sigma}\right)
$$

and replacing $(x-m) / \sigma$ with $x$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(\frac{S-n p}{\sqrt{n p(1-p)}} \leq x\right) \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{x} e^{-t^{2} / 2} d t . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The right-hand side is an integral with respect to the normal law $N(0,1)$ and is independent of $n$. The identity (2.6) provides the best formulation of the fact that (2.5) becomes better approximation for larger $n$.


Figure 2.2: The normal distribution whose mean and variance are the same as $B(100,0.4)$

Theorem 2.5.1 (de Moivre-Laplace theorem) Let $0<p<1$. Let $S_{n}$ be a random variable obeying the binomial distribution $B(n, p)$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(\frac{S_{n}-n p}{\sqrt{n p(1-p)}} \leq x\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{x} e^{-t^{2} / 2} d t \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In short, the binomial distribution $B(n, p)$ is close to the normal distribution $N(n p, n p(1-p))$ as $n$ tends to infinity.
The proof is omitted, see the relevant books.

### 2.5.2 Central Limit Theorem

We start with an alternative form of Theorem 2.5.1. Let $Z_{1}, Z_{2}, \ldots$ be the Bernoulli trials with success probability $p$. Define the normalization by

$$
\bar{Z}_{k}=\frac{Z_{k}-p}{\sqrt{p(1-p)}} .
$$

Then $\bar{Z}_{1}, \bar{Z}_{2}, \ldots$ become iid random variables with mean 0 and variance 1 .
Since we have

$$
\frac{S_{n}-n p}{\sqrt{n p(1-p)}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{Z_{k}-p}{\sqrt{p(1-p)}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \bar{Z}_{k}
$$

(2.7) becomes

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \bar{Z}_{k} \leq x\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{x} e^{-t^{2} / 2} d t
$$

Indeed, the above limit formula holds for general iid random variables.
Theorem 2.5.2 (Central Limit Theorem) Let $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots$ be iid random variables with mean 0 and variance 1 . Then, for any $x \in \mathbf{R}$ it holds that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} X_{k} \leq x\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{x} e^{-t^{2} / 2} d t .
$$

In short, the distribution of $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} X_{k}$ converges weakly to the standard normal distribution $N(0,1)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

For the proof we need the characteristic function of a distribution.
Definition 2.5.3 The characteristic function of a random variable $X$ is defined by

$$
\varphi(z)=\mathbf{E}\left[e^{i z X}\right]=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{i z x} \mu(d x), \quad z \in \mathbf{R}
$$

where $\mu(d x)$ is the distribution of $X$. We also say that $\varphi(z)$ is the characteristic function of $\mu(d x)$.
Theorem 2.5.4 (Glivenko) Let $\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \ldots, \mu$ be a sequence of probability distributions and $\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}, \ldots, \varphi$ their characteristic functions. If $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_{n}(z)=\varphi(z)$ holds for all $z \in \mathbf{R}$, then $\mu_{n}$ converges weakly to $\mu$. In other words, letting $F_{1}, F_{2}, \ldots, F$ be distribution functions of $\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \ldots, \mu$, we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{n}(x)=F(x)
$$

for all continuous point $x$ of $F$.
Proof of Central Limit Theorem (outline) 1) Let $\varphi_{n}(z)$ be the characteristic function of $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{k=0}^{n} X_{k}$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{n}(z)=\mathbf{E}\left[\exp \left\{\frac{i z}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{k=0}^{n} X_{k}\right\}\right] \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, it is known that the characteristic function of $N(0,1)$ is given by $e^{-z^{2} / 2}$ (Quiz 2.5.6). By virtue of Glivenko's theorem it is sufficient to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_{n}(z)=e^{-z^{2} / 2}, \quad z \in \mathbf{R} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

2) The characteristic functions of $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots$ are identical, since they have the same distribution. We set

$$
\varphi(z)=\mathbf{E}\left[e^{i z X_{1}}\right] .
$$

Since $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots$ are independent, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{n}(z)=\prod_{k=1}^{n} \mathbf{E}\left[\exp \left\{\frac{i z}{\sqrt{n}} X_{k}\right\}\right]=\varphi\left(\frac{z}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^{n} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

3) By Taylor expansion we write

$$
e^{i \frac{z}{\sqrt{n}} X_{1}}=1+i \frac{z}{\sqrt{n}} X_{1}-\frac{z^{2}}{2 n} X_{1}^{2}+R_{n}(z)
$$

and take the expectation

$$
\varphi\left(\frac{z}{\sqrt{n}}\right)=\mathbf{E}\left[e^{i \frac{z}{\sqrt{n}} X_{1}}\right]=1-\frac{z^{2}}{2 n}+\mathbf{E}\left[R_{n}(z)\right]
$$

where $\mathbf{E}\left[X_{1}\right]=0$ and $\mathbf{V}\left[X_{1}\right]=1$ are taken into account. Hence (2.10) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{n}(z)=\left(1-\frac{z^{2}}{2 n}+\mathbf{E}\left[R_{n}(z)\right]\right)^{n} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

4) We note a general limit theorem for the exponential function (Quiz 2.5.7).
5) We need to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} n \mathbf{E}\left[R_{n}(z)\right]=0 . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, by 4) we obtain

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_{n}(z)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(1-\frac{z^{2}}{2 n}+\mathbf{E}\left[R_{n}(z)\right]\right)^{n}=e^{-z^{2} / 2}
$$

6) We have a useful inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|e^{i x}-\left(1+i x+\frac{(i x)^{2}}{2!}\right)\right| \leq \min \left\{\frac{|x|^{3}}{6},|x|^{2}\right\}, \quad x \in \mathbf{R} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

(Try to prove!) Then we obtain

$$
\left|R_{n}(z)\right| \leq \min \left\{\frac{1}{6}\left|\frac{z}{\sqrt{n}} X_{1}\right|^{3},\left|\frac{z}{\sqrt{n}} X_{1}\right|^{2}\right\}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|n \mathbf{E}\left[R_{n}(z)\right]\right| \leq \mathbf{E}\left[n\left|R_{n}(z)\right|\right] \leq|z|^{2} \mathbf{E}\left[\min \left\{\frac{|z|}{6 \sqrt{n}}\left|X_{1}\right|^{3},\left|X_{1}\right|^{2}\right\}\right] \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that

$$
\min \left\{\frac{|z|}{6 \sqrt{n}}\left|X_{1}\right|^{3},\left|X_{1}\right|^{2}\right\} \leq\left|X_{1}\right|^{2}
$$

and $\mathbf{E}\left[\left|X_{1}\right|^{2}\right]<\infty$ by assumption. Then, applying the Lebesgue convergence theorem we come to

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{E}\left[\min \left\{\frac{|z|}{6 \sqrt{n}}\left|X_{1}\right|^{3},\left|X_{1}\right|^{2}\right\}\right]=\mathbf{E}\left[\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \min \left\{\frac{|z|}{6 \sqrt{n}}\left|X_{1}\right|^{3},\left|X_{1}\right|^{2}\right\}\right]=0
$$

which shows (2.12).

Remark 2.5.5 In the above proof we did not require $\mathbf{E}\left[\left|X_{1}\right|^{3}\right]<\infty$. If $\mathbf{E}\left[\left|X_{1}\right|^{3}\right]<\infty$ is satisfied, (2.12) follows more easily without appealing to the Lebesgue convergence theorem.

Quiz 2.5.6 Calculate the characteristic function of the standard normal distribution:

$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{i z x} e^{-x^{2} / 2} d x=e^{-z^{2} / 2}, \quad z \in \mathbf{R}
$$

Quiz 2.5.7 Let $a \in \mathbf{C}$ and let $\left\{\epsilon_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence of complex numbers converging to 0 . Prove that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(1+\frac{a}{n}+\frac{\epsilon_{n}}{n}\right)^{n}=e^{a}
$$

Problem 5 (Monte Carlo simulation) Let $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots$ is a sequence taken randomly from $[0,1]$. Then for a continuous function $f(x)$ on the interval $[0,1]$, the mean

$$
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} f\left(x_{k}\right)
$$

is considered as a good approximation of the integral

$$
\int_{0}^{1} f(x) d x
$$

(1) Explain the above statement by means of law of large numbers and central limit theorem.
(2) By using a computer, verify the above fact for $f(x)=\sqrt{1-x^{2}}$.

## 3 Random Walks

### 3.1 One-Dimensional Random Walks

Let us model a drunken man (random walker) walking along a straight road. Suppose that the random walker chooses the direction (left or right) randomly at each step. Let the probability of choosing the right-move be $p$ and the left-move $q(p>0, q>0, p+q=1)$. These are assumed to be independent of the position and time.


Let $X_{n}$ denote the position of the random walker at time $n$. We assume that the random walker sits at the origin 0 at time $n=0$, namely, $X_{0}=0$. Then $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ becomes a discrete time stochastic process, which is called the one-dimensional random walk.

To be more precise, introduce a stochastic process $\left\{Z_{n}\right\}$ satisfying
(i) $P\left(Z_{n}=1\right)=p$ and $P\left(Z_{n}=-1\right)=q=1-p$ with $0<p<1$;
(ii) $Z_{1}, Z_{2}, \ldots$ are independent.

We call $\left\{Z_{n}\right\}$ Bernoulli trials too. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{0}=0, \quad X_{n}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} Z_{k}, \quad n \geq 1 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The stochastic process $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ is called the one-dimensional random walk with right-move probability $p$ and the left-move probability $q=1-p$.

Computer simulation is easy.


Figure 3.1: Random walk ( $p=q=1 / 2$ )

Theorem 3.1.1 $X_{n}$ is a random variable taking values in $\{-n,-n+2, \ldots, n-2, n\}$. The distribution of $X_{n}$ is given by

$$
P\left(X_{n}=n-2 k\right)=\binom{n}{k} p^{n-k} q^{k}, \quad k=0,1,2, \ldots, n
$$

Proof Let $k=0,1,2, \ldots, n$. We observe that

$$
X_{n}=Z_{1}+Z_{2}+\cdots+Z_{n}=n-2 k=(n-k)-k
$$

if and only if the number of $i$ 's such that $Z_{i}=-1$ is $k$, and the one such that $Z_{i}=1$ is $n-k$. Therefore,

$$
P\left(X_{n}=n-2 k\right)=\binom{n}{k} p^{n-k} q^{k}
$$

as desired.

Theorem 3.1.2 It holds that

$$
\mathbf{E}\left[X_{n}\right]=(p-q) n, \quad \mathbf{V}\left[X_{n}\right]=4 p q n .
$$

Proof Note first that

$$
\mathbf{E}\left[Z_{k}\right]=p-q, \quad \mathbf{V}\left[Z_{k}\right]=4 p q .
$$

Then, by linearity of the expectation we have

$$
\mathbf{E}\left[X_{n}\right]=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbf{E}\left[Z_{k}\right]=(p-q) n .
$$

Since $\left\{Z_{n}\right\}$ is independent, by the additivity of variance we have

$$
\mathbf{V}\left[X_{n}\right]=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbf{V}\left[Z_{k}\right]=4 p q n .
$$

The distribution of $X_{n}$ tells us where the random walker at time $n$ is found. It has fluctuation around the men value $(p-q) n$. The range of $X_{n}$ grows as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and so does the variance. It is noticeable that the growth of variance is promotional to $n$. Finally, we note that the distribution is approximated by the normal distribution $N((p-q) n, 4 p q n)$ for a large $n$ (de Moivre-Laplace theorem).


Theorem 3.1.3 Let $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ be the random walk as above. The covariance is

$$
\operatorname{Cov}\left(X_{m}, X_{m+n}\right)=\mathbf{E}\left[\left(X_{m}-\mathbf{E}\left[X_{m}\right]\right)\left(X_{m+n}-\mathbf{E}\left[X_{m+n}\right]\right)\right]=4 p q m
$$

and the correlation coefficient is

$$
\rho\left(X_{m}, X_{m+n}\right)=\frac{\operatorname{Cov}\left(X_{m}, X_{m+n}\right)}{\sqrt{\mathbf{V}\left[X_{m}\right]} \sqrt{\mathbf{V}\left[X_{m+n}\right]}}=\sqrt{\frac{m}{m+n}} .
$$

Problem 6 Let $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ be the random walk defined by (3.1). A time point $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ is called turn if

$$
X_{k-1}<X_{k}>X_{k+1} \quad \text { or } \quad X_{k-1}>X_{k}<X_{k+1}
$$

Find the distribution of the number of turns up to time $n$. Namely, letting $N$ be the number of turns, find $P(N=j)$ for $j=0,1,2, \ldots$.

### 3.2 Recurrence

Will a random walker return to the origin in finite time? More precisely, we are interested in the probability that a random walker will return to the origin in finite time.

As in the previous section, let $X_{n}$ be the position of a random walker starting from the origin (i.e., $X_{0}=0$ ) with right-move probability $p$ and left-move probability $q$. Since the random walker returns to the origin only after even steps, we need to calculate

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=P\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\{X_{2 n}=0\right\}\right) . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is important to note that

$$
\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\{X_{2 n}=0\right\}
$$

is not the sum of disjoint events.
Let $p_{2 n}$ be the probability that the random walker is found at the origin at time $2 n$, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{2 n}=P\left(X_{2 n}=0\right)=\binom{2 n}{n} p^{n} q^{n}=\frac{(2 n)!}{n!n!} p^{n} q^{n}, \quad n=1,2, \ldots \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For convenience set

$$
p_{0}=1 .
$$

Note that the right hand side of (3.2) is not the sum of $p_{2 n}$. Instead, we need to consider the probability that the random walker returns to the origin after $2 n$ steps but not before:

$$
q_{2 n}=P\left(X_{2} \neq 0, X_{4} \neq 0, \ldots, X_{2 n-2} \neq 0, X_{2 n}=0\right) \quad n=1,2, \ldots
$$

Notice the difference between $p_{2 n}$ and $q_{2 n}$.
The following definition is useful.
Definition 3.2.1 We set

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=\inf \left\{n \geq 1 ; X_{n}=0\right\} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T=+\infty$ for $\left\{n \geq 1 ; X_{n}=0\right\}=\emptyset$. We call $T$ the first hitting time to the origin. (Strictly according to our definition in Chapter 1, $T$ is not a random variable. It is, however, commonly accepted that a random variable takes values in $(-\infty,+\infty) \cup\{ \pm \infty\}$.)

By definition we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(T=2 n)=q_{2 n} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the return probability is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=P(T<\infty)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q_{2 n} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

A direct calculation of $q_{2 n}$ will be given in the next section. Here we apply the method of generating functions.
The key relation between $\left\{p_{2 n}\right\}$ and $\left\{q_{2 n}\right\}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{2 n}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} q_{2 k} p_{2 n-2 k}, \quad n=1,2, \ldots ; \quad p_{0}=1, \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is easily verified by observing when the random walker returning to the origin after $2 n$ steps hits first the origin. Define the generating functions of $\left\{p_{2 n}\right\}$ and $\left\{q_{2 n}\right\}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_{2 n} z^{2 n}, \quad h(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q_{2 n} z^{2 n} . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

These are convergent in $|z| \leq 1$. Multiplying $z^{2 n}$ to both sides of (3.7) and summing up over $n$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
g(z)-1 & =\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} q_{2 k} z^{2 k} p_{2 n-2 k} z^{2 n-2 k} \\
& =\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q_{2 k} z^{2 k} p_{2 n} z^{2 n} \\
& =h(z) g(z) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z)=1-\frac{1}{g(z)} . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, by the formula of the binomial coefficients we can compute $g(z)$ explicitly (Problem 7). In fact, we have

$$
g(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_{2 n} z^{2 n}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\binom{2 n}{n} p^{n} q^{n} z^{2 n}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-4 p q z^{2}}}
$$

so that (3.9) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z)=1-\frac{1}{g(z)}=1-\sqrt{1-4 p q z^{2}} . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Letting $z \rightarrow 1-0$, we see that

$$
R=h(1)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q_{2 n}=1-\sqrt{1-4 p q}=1-|p-q| .
$$

Here we used a general property stated in Problem 8. Thus, we come to the following
Theorem 3.2.2 Let $R$ be the probability that a random walker starting from the origin returns to the origin in finite time. Then we have

$$
R=1-|p-q| .
$$

Definition 3.2.3 A random walk is called recurrent if $R=1$, otherwise it is called transient.

Theorem 3.2.4 The one-dimensional random walk is recurrent if and only if $p=q=1 / 2$ (isotropic). It is transient if and only if $p \neq q$.

When a random walk is recurrent, it is meaningful to consider the mean recurrent time.
Theorem 3.2.5 (Null recurrence) The mean recurrent time of the isotropic, one-dimensional random walk is infinity: $\mathbf{E}[T]=+\infty$.

Proof Let $T$ be the first hitting time to the origin. The mean recurrent time is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{E}(T)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2 n P(T=2 n)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2 n q_{2 n} . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, in view of (3.8) and (3.10) we see that the generating function for $p=q=1 / 2$ is given by

$$
h(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q_{2 n} z^{2 n}=1-\sqrt{1-z^{2}} .
$$

Differentiating with respect to $z$, we have

$$
h^{\prime}(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2 n q_{2 n} z^{2 n-1}=\frac{z}{\sqrt{1-z^{2}}} .
$$

Letting $z \rightarrow 1-0$, we have

$$
\mathbf{E}(T)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2 n q_{2 n}=\lim _{z \rightarrow 1-0} h^{\prime}(z)=\lim _{z \rightarrow 1-0} \frac{z}{\sqrt{1-z^{2}}}=+\infty .
$$

This completes the proof.

Remark 3.2.6 We will study the recurrence of a random walk within the framework of a general Markov chain.
Remark 3.2.7 Some relations between $\left\{p_{2 n}\right\}$ and $\left\{q_{2 n}\right\}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& q_{2 n}=\frac{2 p q}{n} p_{2 n-2}, \quad n \geq 1, \\
& q_{2 n}=4 p q p_{2 n-2}-p_{2 n}, \quad n \geq 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Problem 7 Let $\alpha$ be a real constant. Using the binomial expansion:

$$
(1+x)^{\alpha}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\binom{\alpha}{n} x^{n}, \quad|x|<1
$$

prove that

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\binom{2 n}{n} z^{n}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-4 z}}, \quad|z|<\frac{1}{4}
$$

Problem 8 Let $a_{n} \geq 0$ for $n=0,1,2, \ldots$ and assume that the radius of convergence of the power series

$$
f(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} x^{n}
$$

is $\geq 1$. Prove

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow 1-0} f(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n}
$$

holds including the case of $\infty=\infty$. [Hint] Verify the following two inequalities:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \liminf _{x \rightarrow 1-0} f(x) \geq \sum_{n=0}^{N} a_{n}, \quad N \geq 1 \\
& f(x) \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n}, \quad x<1
\end{aligned}
$$

### 3.3 The Catalan Number

The Catalan number is a famous number known in combinatorics (Eugène Charles Catalan, 1814-1894). Richard P. Stanley (MIT) collected many appearances of the Catalan numbers (http://www-math.mit.edu/rstan/ec/).

We start with the definition. Let $n \geq 1$ and consider a sequence $\left(\epsilon_{1}, \epsilon_{2}, \ldots, \epsilon_{n}\right)$ of $\pm 1$, that is, an element of $\{-1,1\}^{n}$. This sequence is called a Catalan path if

$$
\begin{aligned}
\epsilon_{1} & \geq 0 \\
\epsilon_{1}+\epsilon_{2} & \geq 0 \\
\cdots & \\
\epsilon_{1}+\epsilon_{2}+\cdots+\epsilon_{n-1} & \geq 0 \\
\epsilon_{1}+\epsilon_{2}+\cdots+\epsilon_{n-1}+\epsilon_{n} & =0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is apparent that there is no Catalan path of odd length.

Definition 3.3.1 The $n$-th Catalan number is defined to be the number of Catalan paths of length $2 n$ and is denoted by $C_{n}$. For convenience we set $C_{0}=1$.

The first Catalan numbers for $n=0,1,2,3, \ldots$ are

$$
1,1,2,5,14,42,132,429,1430,4862,16796,58786,208012,742900,2674440, \ldots
$$

We will derive a concise expression for the Catalan numbers by using a graphical representation. Consider $n \times n$ grid with the bottom-left corner being given the coordinate $(0,0)$. With each sequence $\left(\epsilon_{1}, \epsilon_{2}, \ldots, \epsilon_{n}\right)$ consisting of $\pm 1$ we associate vectors

$$
\epsilon_{k}=+1 \leftrightarrow u_{k}=(1,0) \quad \epsilon_{k}=-1 \leftrightarrow u_{k}=(0,1)
$$

and consider a polygonal line connecting

$$
(0,0), u_{1}, u_{1}+u_{2}, \ldots, u_{1}+u_{2}+\cdots+u_{n-1}, u_{1}+u_{2}+\cdots+u_{n-1}+u_{n}
$$

in order. If $\epsilon_{1}+\epsilon_{2}+\cdots+\epsilon_{n-1}+\epsilon_{n}=0$, the final vertex becomes

$$
u_{1}+u_{2}+\cdots+u_{n-1}+u_{n}=(n, n)
$$

so that the obtained polygonal line is a shortest path connecting $(0,0)$ and $(n, n)$ in the grid.
Lemma 3.3.2 There is a one-to-one correspondence between the Catalan paths of length $2 n$ and the shortest paths connecting $(0,0)$ and $(n, n)$ which do not pass the upper region of the diagonal $y=x$.

## Theorem 3.3.3 (Catalan number)

$$
C_{n}=\frac{(2 n)!}{(n+1)!n!}, \quad n=0,1,2, \ldots
$$

Proof For $n=0$ it is apparent by the definition $0!=1$. Suppose $n \geq 1$. We see from Fig. 3.3 that

$$
C_{n}=\binom{2 n}{n}-\binom{2 n}{n+1}=\frac{(2 n)!}{n!(n+1)!},
$$

as desired.


Lemma 3.3.4 The generating function of the Catalan numbers $C_{n}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_{n} z^{n}=\frac{1-\sqrt{1-4 z}}{2 z} . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof Problem 9.
An alternative representation of the Catalan paths: Consider in the $x y$-plane a polygonal line connecting the vertices:

$$
(0,0),\left(1, \epsilon_{1}\right),\left(2, \epsilon_{1}+\epsilon_{2}\right), \ldots,\left(n-1, \epsilon_{1}+\epsilon_{2}+\cdots+\epsilon_{n-1}\right),\left(n, \epsilon_{1}+\epsilon_{2}+\cdots+\epsilon_{n-1}+\epsilon_{n}\right)
$$

in order. Then, there is a one-to-one correspondence between the Catalan paths of length $2 n$ and the sample paths of a random walk starting 0 at time 0 and returning 0 at time $2 n$ staying always in the half line $[0,+\infty)$. Therefore,

Lemma 3.3.5 Let $n \geq 1$. The number of sample paths of a random walk starting 0 at time 0 and returning 0 at time $2 n$ staying always in the half line $[0,+\infty)$ is the Catalan number $C_{n}$.

Theorem 3.3.6 Let $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ be the random walk starting from 0 with right-move probability $p$ and left-move probability $q$. Let $T$ be the first hitting-time to 0 . Then

$$
q_{2 n}=P(T=2 n)=2 C_{n-1}(p q)^{n}, \quad n=1,2, \ldots .
$$

Proof Obviously, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
q_{2 n}= & P\left(X_{2} \neq 0, X_{4} \neq 0, \ldots, X_{2 n-2} \neq 0, X_{2 n}=0\right) \\
= & P\left(X_{1}>0, X_{2}>0, X_{3}>0, \ldots, X_{2 n-2}>0, X_{2 n-1}>0, X_{2 n}=0\right) \\
& +P\left(X_{1}<0, X_{2}<0, X_{3}<0, \ldots, X_{2 n-2}<0, X_{2 n-1}<0, X_{2 n}=0\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In view of Fig. 3.3 we see that

$$
P\left(X_{1}>0, X_{2}>0, X_{3}>0, \ldots, X_{2 n-2}>0, X_{2 n-1}>0, X_{2 n}=0\right)=p \times C_{n-1}(p q)^{n-1} \times q
$$

Then the result is immediate.


Figure 3.2: Calculating $P\left(X_{1}>0, X_{2}>0, \ldots, X_{2 n-1}>0, X_{2 n}=0\right)$

Problem 9 Find the Catalan numbers $C_{n}$ in the following steps.
(1) Prove that $C_{n}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} C_{k-1} C_{n-k}$ by using graphical expressions.
(2) Using (1), prove that the generating function of the Catalan numbers $f(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_{n} z^{n}$ verifies

$$
f(z)-1=z\{f(z)\}^{2} .
$$

(3) Find $f(z)$.
(4) Using Taylor expansion of $f(z)$ obtained in (3), find $C_{n}$.

Problem 10 In the $m \times(m+n)$ grid consider a shortest path connecting $(0,0)$ and $(m+n, m)$ which do not pass the region upper than the line connecting $(0,0)$ and $(m, m)$. Show that the number of such paths is given by

$$
\frac{(2 m+n)!(n+1)}{m!(m+n+1)!}
$$

Problem 11 Using Theorem 3.3.6 and the generating function of the Catalan numbers, give alternative proofs of the following results.
(1) [Theorem 3.2.2] $R=1-|p-q|$.
(2) [Theorem 3.2.5] If $p=q$, then $\mathbf{E}[T]=+\infty$.

Problem 12 Let $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ be a random walk starting from 0 with right-move $p$ and left-move $q$. Show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P\left(X_{1} \geq 0, X_{2} \geq 0, \ldots, X_{2 n-1} \geq 0\right) \\
& \quad=P\left(X_{1} \geq 0, X_{2} \geq 0, \ldots, X_{2 n} \geq 0\right)=1-q \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} C_{k}(p q)^{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $n=1,2, \ldots$, where $C_{k}$ is the Catalan number. Using this result, show next that

$$
P\left(X_{n} \geq 0 \text { for all } n \geq 1\right)= \begin{cases}1-\frac{q}{p}, & p>q \\ 0, & p \leq q\end{cases}
$$

### 3.4 The Law of Long Lead

Let us consider an isotropic random walk $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$, namely, letting $\left\{Z_{n}\right\}$ be the Bernoulli trials such that

$$
P\left(Z_{n}=+1\right)=P\left(Z_{n}=-1\right)=\frac{1}{2},
$$

we set

$$
X_{0}=0, \quad X_{n}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} Z_{k}
$$

Fig. 3.3 shows sample paths of $X_{0}, X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{10000}$. We notice that these are just two examples among many different patterns.


Figure 3.3: Sample paths of a random walk up to time 10000
By the law of large numbers we know that $\pm 1$ occur almost 5000 times. In fact, we see from the value of $X_{10000}$ that $\pm 1$ occur $5000 \pm 50$ times. In other words, along the polygonal line the up-move and down-move occur almost the same times, however, the polygonal line stays more often in the upper or lower half region.

We say that a random walk stays in the positive region in the time interval $[i, i+1]$ if $X_{i} \geq 0$ and $X_{i+1} \geq 0$. Similarly, we say that a random walk stays in the negative region in the time interval $[i, i+1]$ if $X_{i} \leq 0$ and $X_{i+1} \leq 0$. Let

$$
W(2 k \mid 2 n), \quad n=1,2, \ldots, \quad k=0,1, \ldots, n,
$$

be the probability that the total time of the random walk staying in the positive region during [ $0,2 n$ ] is $2 k$.
Remind that in this section we only consider an isotropic random walk ( $p=q=1 / 2$ ). For $n=1$ we have

$$
W(2 \mid 2)=2 \times\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{2}, \quad W(0 \mid 2)=2 \times\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{2}
$$

Similarly, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
W(4 \mid 4)=6 \times\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{4}, \quad W(2 \mid 4)=4 \times\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{4}, \quad W(0 \mid 4)=6 \times\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{4}, \\
W(6 \mid 6)=20 \times\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{6}, \quad W(4 \mid 6)=12 \times\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{6}, \quad W(2 \mid 6)=12 \times\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{6}, \quad W(0 \mid 6)=20 \times\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{6},
\end{gathered}
$$



For general $W(2 k \mid 2 n)$ we start with some simple calculations. First recall that

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{2 n} & \equiv P\left(X_{2 n}=0\right)=\binom{2 n}{n}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2 n}, \quad n=0,1,2, \ldots \\
q_{2 n} & \equiv P(T=2 n)=2 P\left(X_{1}>0, X_{2}>0, \ldots, X_{2 n-1}>0, X_{2 n}=0\right) \\
& =2 C_{n-1}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2 n}=\frac{1}{2 n} p_{2 n-2}, \quad n=1,2, \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 3.4.1 For $n=1,2, \ldots$ we have

$$
P\left(X_{1} \neq 0, X_{2} \neq 0, \ldots, X_{2 n-1} \neq 0, X_{2 n} \neq 0\right)=p_{2 n} .
$$

Proof By direct verification we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{2 n-2}-p_{2 n} & =\binom{2 n-2}{n-1}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2 n-2}-\binom{2 n}{n}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2 n} \\
& =\frac{1}{2 n} \frac{(2 n-2)!}{(n-1)!(n-1)!}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2 n-2}=\frac{1}{2 n} p_{2 n-2}=q_{2 n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
P\left(X_{1}\right. & \left.\neq 0, X_{2} \neq 0, \ldots, X_{2 n-1} \neq 0, X_{2 n} \neq 0\right) \\
& =P(T \geq 2 n+2)=\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} q_{2 k}=\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty}\left(p_{2 k-2}-p_{2 k}\right)=p_{2 n}
\end{aligned}
$$

which completes the proof.

Lemma 3.4.2 For $n=1,2, \ldots$ we have

$$
P\left(X_{1} \geq 0, X_{2} \geq 0, \ldots, X_{2 n-1} \geq 0, X_{2 n} \geq 0\right)=p_{2 n} .
$$

Proof Taking the complement into account, we only need to use the relation:

$$
q_{2 n}=p_{2 n-2}-p_{2 n}=\frac{1}{2 n} p_{2 n-2} .
$$

Theorem 3.4.3 For $n=1,2, \ldots$ it holds that

$$
W(2 k \mid 2 n)=p_{2 k} p_{2 n-2 k}=\binom{2 k}{k}\binom{2 n-2 k}{n-k}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2 n}, \quad k=0,1, \ldots, n .
$$

Proof We first note an obvious relation:

$$
W(2 k \mid 2 n)=\sum_{r=1}^{k} \frac{q_{2 r}}{2} W(2 k-2 r \mid 2 n-2 r)+\sum_{r=1}^{n-k} \frac{q_{2 r}}{2} W(2 k \mid 2 n-2 r) .
$$

Then the assertion is proved by induction on $k, n$ (try!).
We find a good approximation when $n \rightarrow \infty$. For $0<a<b<1$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(a<\text { ratio of time staying in the positive region }<b) \\
& =\sum_{k=a n}^{b n} W(2 k \mid 2 n) \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{n} \chi_{[a n, b n]}(k) W(2 k \mid 2 n) \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{n} \chi_{[a, b]}\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)\binom{2 k}{k}\binom{2 n-2 k}{n-k}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2 n},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\chi_{I}(x)$ is the indicator function of an interval $I$, that is, takes 1 for $x \in I$ and 0 otherwise.
Using the Stirling formula:

$$
n!\sim \sqrt{2 \pi n}\left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^{n} \quad n \rightarrow \infty
$$

we obtain

$$
\binom{2 k}{k}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2 k} \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi k}} .
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(a<\text { ratio of time staying in the positive region }<b) \\
& \sim \sum_{k=0}^{n} \chi_{[a, b]}\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \frac{1}{\pi \sqrt{k(n-k)}} \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{n} \chi_{[a, b]}\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \frac{1}{\pi \sqrt{\frac{k}{n}\left(1-\frac{k}{n}\right)}} \frac{1}{n} \\
& \rightarrow \int_{0}^{1} \chi_{[a, b]}(x) \frac{d x}{\pi \sqrt{x(1-x)}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition 3.4.4 The probability distribution defined by the density function:

$$
\frac{d x}{\pi \sqrt{x(1-x)}} . \quad 0<x<1
$$

is called the arcsine law. The distribution function is given by

$$
F(x)=\int_{0}^{x} \frac{d t}{\pi \sqrt{t(1-t)}}=\frac{2}{\pi} \arcsin \sqrt{x}=\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{\pi} \arcsin (2 x-1) .
$$



For example,

$$
F(0.9)=\frac{2}{\pi} \arcsin \sqrt{0.9} \approx 0.795
$$

Namely, during the long game, the probability that the ratio of winning time exceeds $90 \%$ is $1-F(0.9) \approx 0.205$, which sounds larger than one expects.

Problem 13 Prove Lemma 3.4.2.

### 3.5 Gambler's Ruin

Let us consider a random walker starting from the origin 0 at time $n=0$. Now there are barriers at the positions $-A$ and $B(A \geq 1, B \geq 1)$. If the random walker touches the barrier, it remains there afterward. In this sense the positions $-A$ and $B$ are called absorbing barriers.

Let $Z_{1}, Z_{2}, \ldots$ be Bernoulli trials with success probability $0<p<1$. Define a discrete time stochastic process $X_{0}, X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots$ by

$$
X_{0}=0, \quad X_{n}= \begin{cases}X_{n-1}+Z_{n}, & -A<X_{n-1}<B,  \tag{3.13}\\ -A, & X_{n-1}=-A, \\ B, & X_{n-1}=B .\end{cases}
$$

This $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ is called a random walk with absorbing barriers.


We are interested in the absorbing probability, i.e.,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R=P\left(X_{n}=-A \text { for some } n=1,2, \ldots\right)=P\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\{X_{n}=-A\right\}\right), \\
& S=P\left(X_{n}=B \text { for some } n=1,2, \ldots\right)=P\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\{X_{n}=B\right\}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that the events in the right-hand sides are not the unions of disjoint events.
A key idea is to introduce a similar random walk starting at $k,-A \leq k \leq B$, which is denoted by $X_{n}^{(k)}$. Then the original one is $X_{n}=X_{n}^{(0)}$. Let $R_{k}$ and $S_{k}$ be the probabilities that the random walk $X_{n}^{(k)}$ is absorbed at $-A$ and $B$, respectively. We wish to find $R=R_{0}$ and $S=S_{0}$.

Lemma 3.5.1 $\left\{R_{k} ;,-A \leq k \leq B\right\}$ fulfills the following difference equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{k}=p R_{k+1}+q R_{k-1}, \quad R_{-A}=1, \quad R_{B}=0 \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, $\left\{S_{k} ;,-A \leq k \leq B\right\}$ fulfills the following difference equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{k}=p S_{k+1}+q S_{k-1}, \quad S_{-A}=0, \quad S_{B}=1 \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 3.5.2 Let $A \geq 1$ and $B \geq 1$. Let $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ be the random walk with absorbing barriers at $-A$ and $B$, which is defined by (3.13). Then the probabilities that $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ is absorbed at the barriers are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P\left(X_{n}=-A \text { for some } n\right)= \begin{cases}\frac{(q / p)^{A}-(q / p)^{A+B}}{1-(q / p)^{A+B}}, & p \neq q, \\
\frac{B}{A+B}, & p=q=\frac{1}{2},\end{cases} \\
& P\left(X_{n}=B \text { for some } n\right)= \begin{cases}\frac{1-(q / p)^{A}}{1-(q / p)^{A+B}}, & p \neq q, \\
\frac{A}{A+B}, & p=q=\frac{1}{2} .\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular, the random walk is absorbed at the barriers at probability 1.
An interpretation of Theorem 3.5.2 gives the solution to the gambler's ruin problem. Two players A and B toss a fair coin by turns. Let $A$ and $B$ be their allotted points when the game starts. They exchange 1 point after each trial. This game is over when one of the players loses all the allotted points and the other gets $A+B$ points. We are interested in the probability of each player's win. For each $n \geq 0$ define $X_{n}$ in such a way that the allotted point of A at time $n$ is given by $A+X_{n}$. Then $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ becomes a random walk with absorbing barrier at $-A$ and $B$. It then follows from Theorem 3.5.2 that the winning probability of $A$ and $B$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(A)=\frac{A}{A+B}, \quad P(B)=\frac{B}{A+B}, \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively. As a result, they are proportional to the initial allotted points. For example, if $A=1$ and $B=100$, we have $P(A)=1 / 101$ and $P(B)=100 / 101$, which sounds that almost no chance of A's win.

In a fair bet the recurrence is guaranteed by Theorem 3.2.2. Even if one has much more losses than wins, continuing the game one will be back to the zero balance. However, in reality there is a barrier of limited money. (3.16) tells the effect of the barrier.

It is also interesting to know the expectation of the number of coin tosses until the game is over.
Theorem 3.5.3 Let $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ be the same as in Theorem 3.5.2. The expected life time of this random walk until absorption is given by

$$
\begin{cases}\frac{A}{q-p}-\frac{A+B}{q-p} \frac{1-(q / p)^{A}}{1-(q / p)^{A+B}}, & p \neq q \\ A B, & p=q=\frac{1}{2}\end{cases}
$$

Proof Let $Y_{k}$ be the life time of a random walk starting from the position $k(-A \leq k \leq B)$ at time $n=0$ until absorption．In other words，

$$
Y_{k}=\min \left\{j \geq 0 ; X_{j}^{(k)}=-A \text { または } X_{j}^{(k)}=B\right\} .
$$

We wish to compute $\mathbf{E}\left(Y_{0}\right)$ ．We see by definition that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{E}\left(Y_{-A}\right)=\mathbf{E}\left(Y_{B}\right)=0 . \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $-A<k<B$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{E}\left(Y_{k}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j P\left(Y_{k}=j\right) . \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

In a similar manner as in the proof of Theorem 3．5．2 we note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(Y_{k}=j\right)=p P\left(Y_{k+1}=j-1\right)+q P\left(Y_{k-1}=j-1\right) . \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inserting（3．19）into（3．18），we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{E}\left(Y_{k}\right) & =p \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j P\left(Y_{k+1}=j-1\right)+q \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j P\left(Y_{k-1}=j-1\right) \\
& =p \mathbf{E}\left(Y_{k+1}\right)+q \mathbf{E}\left(Y_{k-1}\right)+1 . \tag{3.20}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus， $\mathbf{E}\left(Y_{k}\right)$ is the solution to the difference equation（3．20）with boundary condition（3．17）．This difference equation is solved in a standard manner and we find

$$
\mathbf{E}\left(Y_{k}\right)= \begin{cases}\frac{A+k}{q-p}-\frac{A+B}{q-p} \frac{1-(q / p)^{A+k}}{1-(q / p)^{A+B}}, & p \neq q, \\ (A+k)(B-k), & p=q=\frac{1}{2}\end{cases}
$$

Setting $k=0$ ，we obtain the result．
If $p=q=1 / 2$ and $A=1, B=100$ ，the expected life time is $A B=100$ ．The gambler A is much inferior to B in the amount of funds（as we have seen already，the probability of A＇s win is just $1 / 101$ ），however，the expected life time until the game is over is 100 ，which sounds longer than one expects intuitively．Perhaps this is because the gambler cannot quit gambling．

Problem 14 Explain about the general solutions to the difference equation：

$$
x_{n+2}+a x_{n+1}+b x_{n}=c,
$$

where $a, b, c$ are constant numbers．Solve the difference equation（3．20）with boundary condition（3．17）．

## 3．6 Variants of Boundary Conditions

There is another type of barrier called a reflecting barrier．A random walk touches the reflecting barrier， it changes the direction in the next step and continue to move．Let $Z_{1}, Z_{2}, \ldots$ be Bernoulli trials with success probability $0<p<1$ ．Consider barriers at positions $-A$ and $B, A \geq 1, B \geq 1$ ．Define $X_{0}, X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots$ by

$$
X_{0}=0, \quad X_{n}= \begin{cases}X_{n-1}+Z_{n}, & -A<X_{n-1}<B,  \tag{3.21}\\ -A+1, & X_{n-1}=-A, \\ B-1, & X_{n-1}=B .\end{cases}
$$

Then $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ is called a random walk with reflecting barriers．
Problem 15 Let $\left\{X_{n} ; n=0,1,2, \ldots\right\}$ be an isotropic random walk on the half line $\{0,1,2, \ldots\}$ starting from the origin 0 at time $n=0$ ，where the origin is a reflecting barrier．Find $P\left(X_{2 n}=0\right)$ ．

As another boundary condition, we consider a random walk $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ on the half-line $\{0,1,2, \ldots\}$ starting from the origin 0 at time $n=0$. When the random walker sits at one of $\{1,2, \ldots\}$, it moves to the right with probability $p$ and to the left with $q=1-p$. When it sits at 0 , it moves to the right with probability $p$ and stay there with $q=1-p$. Let $T$ be the first hitting time of $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ to 0 , i.e.,

$$
T=\inf \left\{n \geq 1 ; X_{n}=0\right\}
$$

Then we have

$$
P(T=1)=q, \quad P(T=2 n)=C_{n-1}(p q)^{n} .
$$

Problem 16 Let $\left\{X_{n} ; n=0,1,2, \ldots\right\}$ be the random walk as above.
(1) Show that $P(T<\infty)=1$ for $q \geq 1 / 2$ and $P(T<\infty)=2 q$ for $q<1 / 2$.
(2) Calculate $\mathbf{E}[T]$.

## 4 Markov Chains

Let us recall a typical property of a random walk: the next position is determined probabilistically only by the present position. Namely, the next-step movement is independent of the past trajectories. As the position of the one-dimensional random walk is described in terms of the usual coordinate system $\mathbb{Z}=\{\cdots,-1,0,1,2, \ldots\}$, the random walk is formulated as a discrete time stochastic process $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ taking values in $\mathbb{Z}$. In this sense we call $\mathbb{Z}$ a state space. For wider applications a state space is not necessarily a set of numbers, but may be an arbitrary set. Keeping the typical property of the random walk and generalizing the state space, we come to the concept of Markov chain.

### 4.1 Conditional Probability

For two events $A, B$ we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(A \mid B)=\frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(B)} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

whenever $P(B)>0$. We call $P(A \mid B)$ the conditional probability of $A$ relative to $B$ It is interpreted as the probability of the event $A$ assuming the event $B$ occurs, see Section 2.1.1.

Formula (4.1) is often used in the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(A \cap B)=P(B) P(A \mid B) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is the so-called theorem on compound probabilities, giving a ground to the usage of tree diagram in computation of probability. For example, for two events $A, B$ see Fig. 4.1.


Figure 4.1: Tree diagram

Theorem 4.1.1 (Compound probabilities) For events $A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{n}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(A_{1} \cap A_{2} \cap \cdots \cap A_{n}\right)=P\left(A_{1}\right) P\left(A_{2} \mid A_{1}\right) P\left(A_{3} \mid A_{1} \cap A_{2}\right) \cdots P\left(A_{n} \mid A_{1} \cap A_{2} \cap \cdots \cap A_{n-1}\right) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof Straightforward by induction on $n$.

### 4.2 Markov Chains

Let $S$ be a finite or countable set. Consider a discrete time stochastic process $\left\{X_{n} ; n=0,1,2, \ldots\right\}$ taking values in $S$. This $S$ is called a state space and is not necessarily a subset of $\mathbb{R}$ in general. In the following we often meet the cases of $S=\{0,1\}, S=\{1,2, \ldots, N\}$ and $S=\{0,1,2, \ldots\}$.

Definition 4.2.1 Let $\left\{X_{n} ; n=0,1,2, \ldots\right\}$ be a discrete time stochastic process over $S$. It is called a Markov process over $S$ if

$$
P\left(X_{n}=b \mid X_{i_{1}}=a_{1}, X_{i_{2}}=a_{2}, \ldots, X_{i_{k}}=a_{k}, X_{i}=a\right)=P\left(X_{n}=b \mid X_{i}=a\right)
$$

holds for any $0 \leq i_{1}<i_{2}<\cdots<i_{k}<i<n$ and $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k}, a, b \in S$.
If $\left\{X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots\right\}$ are independent random variables with values in $S$, obviously they form a Markov chain. Hence the Markov property is weaker than independence.

Example 4.2.2 Let $r \geq 1$ and $s \geq 1$ such that $r+s=N$. There are $r$ black balls and $s$ white balls in a box. We pick up balls in the box one by one and set $X_{n}=1$ if a black ball is picked up at the $n$-th trial and 0 if a white ball is picked up at the $n$-th trial. Then $\left\{X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{N}\right\}$ is a stochastic process. We note that

$$
P\left(X_{n}=1 \mid X_{1}=a_{1}, X_{2}=a_{2}, \ldots, X_{n-1}=a_{n-1}\right)=\frac{1}{N-(n-1)} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} a_{k}
$$

and

$$
P\left(X_{n}=1 \mid X_{n-1}=a_{n-1}\right)=\frac{r-a_{n-1}}{N-1},
$$

for $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n-1} \in\{0,1\}$. Hence $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ is not a Markov chain. Define

$$
Y_{n}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} X_{k},
$$

which stands for the number of black balls picked up during the first $n$ trials. We the see easily that $\left\{Y_{n}\right\}$ is a Markov chain.

Definition 4.2.3 For a Markov chain $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ over $S$,

$$
P\left(X_{n+1}=j \mid X_{n}=i\right)
$$

is called the transition probability at time $n$ from a state $i$ to $j$. If this is independent of $n$, the Markov chain is called time homogeneous. In this case we write

$$
p_{i j}=p(i, j)=P\left(X_{n+1}=j \mid X_{n}=i\right)
$$

and simply call it the transition probability. Moreover, the matrix

$$
P=\left[p_{i j}\right]
$$

is called the transition matrix.
Obviously, we have for each $i \in S$,

$$
\sum_{j \in S} p(i, j)=\sum_{j \in S} P\left(X_{n+1}=j \mid X_{n}=i\right)=1 .
$$

Taking this into account, we give the following
Definition 4.2.4 A matrix $P=\left[p_{i j}\right]$ with index set $S$ is called a stochastic matrix if

$$
p_{i j} \geq 0 \quad \text { and } \quad \sum_{j \in S} p_{i j}=1
$$

Theorem 4.2.5 The transition matrix of a Markov chain is a stochastic matrix. Conversely, given a stochastic matrix we can construct a Markov chain of which the transition matrix coincides with the given stochastic matrix.

It is convenient to use the transition diagram to illustrate a Markov chain. With each state we associate a point and we draw an arrow from $i$ to $j$ when $p(i, j)>0$.

Example 4.2.6 (2-state Markov chain) A Markov chain over the state space $\{0,1\}$ is determined by the transition probabilities:

$$
p(0,1)=p, \quad p(0,0)=1-p, \quad p(1,0)=q, \quad p(1,1)=1-q .
$$

The transition matrix is defined by

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1-p & p \\
q & 1-q
\end{array}\right]
$$

The transition diagram is as follows:


Example 4.2.7 (3-state Markov chain) An animal is healthy, sick or dead, and changes its state every day. Consider a Markov chain on $\{H, S, D\}$ described by the following transition diagram:


The transition matrix is defined by

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
a & b & 0 \\
p & r & q \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right], \quad a+b=1, \quad p+q+r=1
$$

Example 4.2.8 (Random walk on $\mathbb{Z}^{1}$ ) The transition probabilities are given by

$$
p(i, j)= \begin{cases}p, & \text { if } j=i+1 \\ q=1-p, & \text { if } j=i-1 \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

The transition matrix is a two-sided infinite matrix given by

$$
\left[\begin{array}{llllllll}
\ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & & & \\
\ddots & q & 0 & p & 0 & & & \\
& 0 & q & 0 & p & 0 & & \\
& & 0 & q & 0 & p & 0 & \\
& & & 0 & q & 0 & p & \ddots \\
& & & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots
\end{array}\right]
$$

Example 4.2.9 (Random walk with absorbing barriers) Let $A>0$ and $B>0$. The state space of a random walk with absorbing barriers at $-A$ and $B$ is $S=\{-A,-A+1, \ldots, B-1, B\}$. Then the transition probabilities are given as follows. For $-A<i<B$,

$$
p(i, j)= \begin{cases}p, & \text { if } j=i+1 \\ q=1-p, & \text { if } j=i-1 \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

For $i=-A$ or $i=B$,

$$
p(-A, j)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
1, & \text { if } j=-A, \\
0, & \text { otherwise },
\end{array} \quad p(B, j)= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } j=B \\
0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}\right.
$$

In a matrix form we have

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccccccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
q & 0 & p & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & q & 0 & p & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & q & 0 & p & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & q & 0 & p \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Example 4.2.10 (Random walk with reflecting barriers) Let $A>0$ and $B>0$. The state space of a random walk with absorbing barriers at $-A$ and $B$ is $S=\{-A,-A+1, \ldots, B-1, B\}$. The transition probabilities are given as follows. For $-A<i<B$,

$$
p(i, j)= \begin{cases}p, & \text { if } j=i+1 \\ q=1-p, & \text { if } j=i-1 \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

For $i=-A$ or $i=B$,

$$
p(-A, j)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
1, & \text { if } j=-A+1, \\
0, & \text { otherwise },
\end{array} \quad p(B, j)= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } j=B-1, \\
0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}\right.
$$

In a matrix form we have

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
q & 0 & p & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & q & 0 & p & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & q & 0 & p & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & q & 0 & p \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

### 4.3 Distribution of a Markov Chain

Let $S$ be a state space as before. In general, a row vector $\pi=\left[\cdots \pi_{i} \cdots\right]$ indexed by $S$ is called a distribution on $S$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{i} \geq 0 \quad \text { and } \quad \sum_{i \in S} \pi_{i}=1 \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a Markov chain $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ on $S$ we set

$$
\pi(n)=\left[\cdots \pi_{i}(n) \cdots\right], \quad \pi_{i}(n)=P\left(X_{n}=i\right),
$$

which becomes a distribution on $S$. We call $\pi(n)$ the distribution of $X_{n}$. In particular, $\pi(0)$, the distribution of $X_{0}$, is called the initial distribution. We often take

$$
\pi(0)=[\cdots 0,1,0, \cdots], \quad \text { where } 1 \text { occurs at } i \text {-th posotion. }
$$

In this case the Markov chain $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ starts from the state $i$.
For a Markov chain $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ with a transition matrix $P=\left[p_{i j}\right]$ the $n$-step transition probability is defined by

$$
p_{n}(i, j)=P\left(X_{m+n}=j \mid X_{m}=i\right), \quad i, j \in S .
$$

The right-hand side is independent of $n$ because our Markov chain is assumed to be time homogeneous.

Theorem 4.3.1 (Chapman-Kolmogorov equation) For $0 \leq r \leq n$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{n}(i, j)=\sum_{k \in S} p_{r}(i, k) p_{n-r}(k, j) . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof First we note the obvious identity:

$$
p_{n}(i, j)=P\left(X_{m+n}=j \mid X_{m}=i\right)=\sum_{k \in S} P\left(X_{m+n}=j, X_{m+r}=k \mid X_{m}=i\right) .
$$

Moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
P\left(X_{m+n}=j, X_{m+r}=k \mid X_{m}=i\right) & =\frac{P\left(X_{m+n}=j, X_{m+r}=k, X_{m}=i\right)}{P\left(X_{m+r}=k, X_{m}=i\right)} \times \frac{P\left(X_{m+r}=k, X_{m}=i\right)}{P\left(X_{m}=i\right)} \\
& =P\left(X_{m+n}=j \mid X_{m+r}=k, X_{m}=i\right) P\left(X_{m+r}=k \mid X_{m}=i\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the Markov property, we have

$$
P\left(X_{m+n}=j \mid X_{m+r}=k, X_{m}=i\right)=P\left(X_{m+n}=j \mid X_{m+r}=k\right)
$$

so that

$$
P\left(X_{m+n}=j, X_{m+r}=k \mid X_{m}=i\right)=P\left(X_{m+n}=j \mid X_{m+r}=k\right) P\left(X_{m+r}=k \mid X_{m}=i\right) .
$$

Finally, by the property of being time homogeneous, we come to

$$
P\left(X_{m+n}=j, X_{m+r}=k \mid X_{m}=i\right)=p_{n-r}(k, j) p_{r}(i, k) .
$$

Thus we have obtained (4.5).
Applying (4.5) repeatedly and noting that $p_{1}(i, j)=p(i, j)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{n}(i, j)=\sum_{k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n-1} \in S} p\left(i, k_{1}\right) p\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right) \cdots p\left(k_{n-1}, j\right) . \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The right-hand side is nothing else but the multiplication of matrices, i.e., the $n$-step transition probability $p_{n}(i, j)$ is the $(i, j)$-entry of the $n$-power of the transition matrix $P$. Summing up, we obtain the following important result.

Theorem 4.3.2 For $m, n \geq 0$ and $i, j \in S$ we have

$$
P\left(X_{m+n}=j \mid X_{m}=i\right)=p_{n}(i, j)=\left(P^{n}\right)_{i j} .
$$

Proof Immediate from Theorem 4.3.1.

Remark 4.3.3 As a result, the Chapman-Kolmogorov equation is nothing else but an entrywise expression of the obvious relation for the transition matrix:

$$
P^{n}=P^{r} P^{n-r}
$$

(As usual, $P^{0}=E$ (identity matrix).)
Theorem 4.3.4 We have

$$
\pi(n)=\pi(n-1) P, \quad n \geq 1,
$$

or equivalently,

$$
\pi_{j}(n)=\sum_{i} \pi_{i}(n-1) p_{i j}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\pi(n)=\pi(0) P^{n} .
$$

Proof We first note that

$$
\pi_{j}(n)=P\left(X_{n}=j\right)=\sum_{i \in S} P\left(X_{n}=j \mid X_{n-1}=i\right) P\left(X_{n-1}=i\right)=\sum_{i \in S} p_{i j} \pi_{i}(n-1),
$$

which proves $\pi(n)=\pi(n-1) P$. By repeated application we have

$$
\pi(n)=\pi(n-1) P=(\pi(n-2) P) P=\left(\pi(n-2) P^{2}=\cdots=\pi(0) P^{n},\right.
$$

as desired.

Example 4.3.5 (2-state Markov chain) Let $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ be the Markov chain introduced in Example 4.2.6. The eigenvalues of the transition matrix

$$
P=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1-p & p \\
q & 1-q
\end{array}\right] .
$$

are $1,1-p-q$. These are distinct if $p+q>0$. Omitting the case of $p+q=0$, i.e., $p=q=0$, we assume that $p+q>0$. By standard argument we obtain

$$
P^{n}=\frac{1}{p+q}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
q+p r^{n} & p-p r^{n} \\
q-q r^{n} & p+q r^{n}
\end{array}\right], \quad r=1-p-q .
$$

Let $\pi(0)=\left[\pi_{0}(0) \pi_{1}(0)\right]$ be the distriution of $X_{0}$. Then the distribution of $X_{n}$ is given by

$$
\pi(n)=\left[P\left(X_{n}=0\right), P\left(X_{n}=1\right)\right]=\left[\pi_{0}(0) \pi_{1}(0)\right] P^{n}=\pi(0) P^{n} .
$$

Here let us observe the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Assume that $0<p+q<2$, or equivalently, $|r|<1$. Then

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} P^{n}=\frac{1}{p+q}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
q & p \\
q & p
\end{array}\right]
$$

and

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi(n)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi(0) P^{n}=\left[\pi_{0}(0) \pi_{1}(0)\right] \times \frac{1}{p+q}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
q & p \\
q & p
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{q}{p+q} \frac{p}{p+q}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Note that

$$
\left[\frac{q}{p+q} \frac{p}{p+q}\right] P=\left[\frac{q}{p+q} \frac{p}{p+q}\right]
$$

This means that the distribution $\left[\frac{q}{p+q} \frac{p}{p+q}\right]$ is invariant under the Markov chain.
Problem 17 There are two parties, say, A and B, and their supporters of a constant ratio exchange at every election. Suppose that just before an election, $25 \%$ of the supporters of A change to support B and $20 \%$ of the supporters of B change to support A. At the beginning, $85 \%$ of the voters support A and $15 \%$ support B. When will the party B command a majority? Moreover, find the final ratio of supporters after many elections if the same situation continues.

### 4.4 Stationary Distributions

Definition 4.4.1 Let $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ be a Markov chain on $S$ with transition probability matrix $P$. A distribution $\pi$ on $S$ is called stationary (or invariant) if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi=\pi P \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

or equivalently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{j}=\sum_{i \in S} \pi_{i} p_{i j}, \quad j \in S . \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, to find a stationary distribution we need to solve (4.7) (or equivalently (4.8)) together with (4.4). If $S$ is a finite set, finding stationary distributions is reduced to a simple linear system.

Example 4.4.2 (2-state Markov chain) Consider the transition matrix:

$$
P=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1-p & p \\
q & 1-q
\end{array}\right]
$$

Let $\pi=\left[\pi_{0} \pi_{1}\right]$ and suppose $\pi P=\pi$. Then we have

$$
\left[\pi_{0} \pi_{1}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1-p & p \\
q & 1-q
\end{array}\right]=\left[(1-p) \pi_{0}+q \pi_{1} p \pi_{0}+(1-q) \pi_{1}\right]=\left[\pi_{0} \pi_{1}\right]
$$

which is equivalent to the following

$$
p \pi_{0}-q \pi_{1}=0
$$

Together with

$$
\pi_{0}+\pi_{1}=1
$$

we obtain

$$
\pi_{0}=\frac{q}{p+q}, \quad \pi_{1}=\frac{p}{p+q},
$$

whenever $p+q>0$. Indeed,

$$
\pi=\left[\frac{q}{p+q}, \frac{p}{p+q}\right]
$$

is a distribution on $S=\{0,1\}$, so it is a stationary distribution. In this case a stationary distribution is unique. Note that the stationary distribution is obtained as a limit distribution, see Example 4.3.5. In the uninteresting case of $p=q=0$, any $\pi=\left[\pi_{0}, \pi_{1}\right]$ is a stationary distribution.

Example 4.4.3 (3-state Markov chain) We discuss the Markov chain $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ introduced in Example 4.2.7. If $q>0$ and $b>0$, a stationary distribution is unique and given by $\pi=\left[\begin{array}{lll}0 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right]$.

Example 4.4.4 (One-dimensional RW) Consider the 1-dimensional random walk with right-move probability $p>0$ and left-move probability $q=1-p>0$. Let $[\cdots \pi(k) \cdots]$ be a distribution on $\mathbf{Z}$. If it is stationary, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi(k)=p \pi(k-1)+q \pi(k+1), \quad k \in \mathbf{Z} . \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The characteristic equation of the above difference equation is

$$
0=q \lambda^{2}-\lambda+p=(q \lambda-p)(\lambda-1)
$$

so that the eigenvalues are $1, p / q$.
(Case 1) $p \neq q$. Then a general solution to (4.9) is given by

$$
\pi(k)=C_{1} 1^{k}+C_{2}\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^{k}=C_{1}+C_{2}\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^{k}, \quad k \in \mathbf{Z}
$$

This never becomes a probability distribution for any choice of $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$. Namely, there is no stationary distribution.
(Case 2) $p=q$. In this case a general solution to (4.9) is given by

$$
\pi(k)=\left(C_{1}+C_{2} k\right) 1^{k}=C_{1}+C_{2} k, \quad k \in \mathbf{Z} .
$$

This never becomes a probability distribution for any choice of $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$. Namely, there is no stationary distribution.

Example 4.4.5 (One-dimensional RW with reflection barrier) There is a unique stationary distribution when $p<q$. In fact,

$$
\pi(0)=C p, \quad \pi(k)=C\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^{k}, \quad k \geq 1
$$

where $C$ is determined in such a way that $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \pi(k)=1$. Namey,

$$
C=\frac{q-p}{2 q^{2}}
$$

If $p \geq q$, then there is no stationary distribution.

Theorem 4.4.6 A Markov chain over a finite state space $S$ has a stationary distribution.
A simple proof is based on the Brouwer's fixed-point theorem saying that every continuous function from a convex compact subset of a Euclidean space to itself has a fixed point. In fact, the set of distributions on $S$ is a convex compact subset of a Euclidean space and the map $\pi \mapsto \pi P$ is continuous. Note that the stationary distribution mentioned in the above theorem is not necessarily unique.

We are going into a discussion about unique existence of a stationary distribution.
Definition 4.4.7 We say that a state $j$ can be reached from a state $i$ if there exists some $n \geq 0$ such that $p_{n}(i, j)>0$. By definition every state $i$ can be reached from itself. We say that two states $i$ and $j$ intercommunicate if $i$ can be reached form $j$ and $j$ can be reached from $i$, i.e., there exist $m \geq 0$ and $n \geq 0$ such that $p_{n}(i, j)>0$ and $p_{m}(j, i)>0$.

Lemma 4.4.8 For two states $i, j \in S$ we define a binomial relation $i \sim j$ when they intercommunicate. Then $\sim$ becomes an equivalence relation on $S$, namely,
(i) $i \sim i$;
(ii) $i \sim j$ implies $j \sim i$;
(iii) If $i \sim j$ and $j \sim k$, then $i \sim k$.

Proof (i), (ii) are obvious by definition. (iii) is verified by the Chapman-Kolmogorov equation.
Thereby the state space $S$ is classified into a disjoint set of equivalence classes determined by the above $\sim$. Namely, each equivalence class consists of states which intercommunicate each other.

Definition 4.4.9 A state $i$ is called absorbing if

$$
p(i, j)= \begin{cases}1, & \text { for } j=i \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

In particular, an absorbing state is a state which constitutes an equivalence class by itself.
Definition 4.4.10 A Markov chain is called irreducible if every state can be reached from every other state, i.e., if there is only one equivalence class of intercommunicating states.

Example 4.4.11 Examine the equivalence relation among the states of a Markov chain described by the following transition diagram:


Then we have the following fundamental result.
Theorem 4.4.12 An irreducible Markov chain on a finite state space $S$ admits a unique stationary distribution $\pi=\left[\pi_{i}\right]$. Moreover, $\pi_{i}>0$ for all $i \in S$.

In fact, the proof owes to the following two facts:
(1) For an irreducible Markov chain the following assertions are equivalent:
(i) it admits a stationary distribution;
(ii) every state is positive recurrent.

In this case the stationary distribution $\pi$ is unique and given by

$$
\pi_{i}=\frac{1}{\mathbf{E}\left(T_{i} \mid X_{0}=i\right)}, \quad i \in S
$$

(2) Every state of an irreducible Markov chain on a finite state space is positive recurrent (Theorem 4.5.9).

Finally, the distribution of a Markov chain does not necessarily converge to a stationary distribution even if it exists uniquely.

Example 4.4.13 Consider a Markov chain determined by the transition matrix:

$$
P=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right] .
$$

We first note that there exists a unique stationary distribution. But for a given initial distribution $\pi(0)$ it is not necessarily true that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi(n)$ converges to the stationary distribution.

Roughly speaking, we need to avoid the periodic transition in order to have the convergence to a stationary distribution.

Definition 4.4.14 For a state $i \in S$,

$$
\operatorname{GCD}\left\{n \geq 1 ; P\left(X_{n}=i \mid X_{0}=i\right)>0\right\}
$$

is called the period of $i$. (When the set in the right-hand side is empty, the period is not defined.) A state $i \in S$ is called aperiodic if its period is one.

For an irreducible Markov chain, every state has a common period.
Theorem 4.4.15 Let $\pi$ be a stationary distribution of an irreducible Markov chain on a finite state space (It is unique, see Theorem 4.4.12). If $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ is aperiodic, for any $j \in S$ we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(X_{n}=j\right)=\pi_{j} .
$$

Problem 18 Consider a Markov chain determined by the transition diagram below.

(1) Is the Markov chain irreducible?
(2) Find all stationary distibutions.

Problem 19 Let $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ be a Markov chain on $\{0,1\}$ given by the transition matrix $P=\left[\begin{array}{cc}1-p & p \\ q & 1-q\end{array}\right]$ with the initial distribution $\pi_{0}=[q /(p+q) p /(p+q)]$. Calculate the following statistical quantities:

$$
\mathbf{E}\left[X_{n}\right], \quad \mathbf{V}\left[X_{n}\right], \quad \operatorname{Cov}\left(X_{m+n}, X_{n}\right)=\mathbf{E}\left[X_{m+n} X_{n}\right]-\mathbf{E}\left[X_{m+n}\right] \mathbf{E}\left[X_{n}\right], \quad \rho\left(X_{m+n}, X_{n}\right)=\frac{\operatorname{Cov}\left(X_{m+n}, X_{n}\right)}{\sqrt{\mathbf{V}\left[X_{m+n}\right] \mathbf{V}\left[X_{n}\right]}}
$$

Problem 20 Let $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ be a Markov chain described by the following transition diagram.


For a state $i \in S$, define the first hitting time or first passage time to $i$ by

$$
T_{i}=\inf \left\{n \geq 1 ; X_{n}=i\right\} .
$$

(If there exists no $n \geq 1$ such that $X_{n}=i$, we define $T_{i}=\infty$.)
(1) Calculate

$$
P\left(T_{0}=1 \mid X_{0}=0\right), \quad P\left(T_{0}=2 \mid X_{0}=0\right), \quad P\left(T_{0}=3 \mid X_{0}=0\right), \quad P\left(T_{0}=4 \mid X_{0}=0\right) .
$$

(2) Find $P\left(T_{0}=n \mid X_{0}=0\right)$ and calculate

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\left(T_{0}=n \mid X_{0}=0\right), \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n P\left(T_{0}=n \mid X_{0}=0\right)
$$

Problem 21 Let $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ be the Markov chain introduced in Example 4.2.7:


For $n=1,2, \ldots$ let $H_{n}$ denote the probability of starting from H and terminating at D at $n$-step. Similarly, for $n=1,2, \ldots$ let $S_{n}$ denote the probability of starting from S and terminating at D at $n$-step.
(1) Show that $\left\{H_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{S_{n}\right\}$ satisfies the following linear system:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
H_{n}=a H_{n-1}+b S_{n-1}, \\
S_{n}=p H_{n-1}+r S_{n-1},
\end{array} \quad n \geq 2 ; \quad H_{1}=0, \quad S_{1}=q .\right.
$$

(2) Let $H$ and $S$ denote the life times starting from the state H and S , respectively. Solving the linear system in (1), prove the following identities for the mean life times:

$$
\mathbf{E}[H]=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n H_{n}=\frac{b+p+q}{b q}, \quad \mathbf{E}[S]=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n S_{n}=\frac{b+p}{b q} .
$$

### 4.5 Recurrence

Definition 4.5.1 Let $i \in S$ be a state. Define the first hitting time or first passage time to $i$ by

$$
T_{i}=\inf \left\{n \geq 1 ; X_{n}=i\right\} .
$$

If there exists no $n \geq 1$ such that $X_{n}=i$, we define $T_{i}=\infty$. A state $i$ is called recurrent if $P\left(T_{i}<\infty \mid X_{0}=i\right)=1$. It is called transient if $P\left(T_{i}=\infty \mid X_{0}=i\right)>0$.

Theorem 4.5.2 A state $i \in S$ is recurrent if and only if

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_{n}(i, i)=\infty .
$$

Proof (basically the same as the proof of recurrence of one-dimensional random walk) We first put

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{n}(i, j) & =P\left(X_{n}=j \mid X_{0}=i\right), \quad n=0,1,2, \ldots, \\
f_{n}(i, j) & =P\left(T_{j}=n \mid X_{0}=i\right) \\
& =P\left(X_{1} \neq j, \ldots, X_{n-1} \neq j, X_{n}=j \mid X_{0}=i\right), \quad n=1,2, \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

$p_{n}(i, j)$ is nothing else but the $n$ step transition probability. On the other hand, $f_{n}(i, j)$ is the probability that the Markov chain starts from $i$ and reach $j$ first time after $n$ step. Dividing the set of sample paths from $i$ to $j$ in $n$ steps according to the number of steps after which the path reaches $j$ for the first time, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{n}(i, j)=\sum_{r=1}^{n} f_{r}(i, j) p_{n-r}(j, j), \quad i, j \in S, \quad n=1,2, \ldots . \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We next introduce the generating functions:

$$
G_{i j}(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_{n}(i, j) z^{n}, \quad F_{i j}(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{n}(i, j) z^{n} .
$$

In view of (4.10) we see easily that

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{i j}(z)=p_{0}(i, j)+F_{i j}(z) G_{j j}(z) \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Setting $i=j$ in (4.11), we obtain

$$
G_{i i}(z)=1+F_{i i}(z) G_{i i}(z)
$$

Hence,

$$
G_{i i}(z)=\frac{1}{1-F_{i i}(z)} .
$$

On the other hand, since

$$
G_{i i}(1)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_{n}(i, i), \quad F_{i i}(1)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{n}(i, i)=P\left(T_{i}<\infty \mid X_{0}=i\right)
$$

we see that two conditions $F_{i i}(1)=1$ and $G_{i i}(1)=\infty$ are equivalent.
During the above proof we have already established the following
Theorem 4.5.3 If a state $i$ is transient, we have

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_{n}(i, i)<\infty
$$

and

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_{n}(i, i)=\frac{1}{1-P\left(T_{i}<\infty \mid X_{0}=i\right)}
$$

Example 4.5 .4 (random walk on $\mathbb{Z}$ ) Obviously, the random walk starting from the origin 0 returns to it only after even steps. Therefore, for recurrence we only need to compute the sum of $p_{2 n}(0,0)$. On the other hand, we know that

$$
p_{2 n}(0,0)=\frac{(2 n)!}{n!n!} p^{n} q^{n}, \quad p+q=1
$$

see Chapter (3.1.1). Using the Stirling formula:

$$
\begin{equation*}
n!\sim \sqrt{2 \pi n}\left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^{n} \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have

$$
p_{2 n}(0,0) \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi n}}(4 p q)^{n}
$$

Hence, if $p \neq q$ we have

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_{2 n}(0,0)<\infty
$$

If $p=q=\frac{1}{2}$, we have

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_{2 n}(0,0)=\infty
$$

Consequently, one-dimensional random walk is transient if $p \neq q$, and it is recurrent if $p=q=\frac{1}{2}$.
Remark 4.5.5 Let $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{b_{n}\right\}$ be sequences of positive numbers. We write $a_{n} \sim b_{n}$ if

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_{n}}{b_{n}}=1
$$

In this case, there exist two constant numbers $c_{1}>0$ and $c_{2}>0$ such that

$$
c_{1} a_{n} \leq b_{n} \leq c_{2} a_{n}
$$

Hence $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n}$ converge or diverge at the same time.
Example 4.5.6 (random walk on $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ ) Obviously, the random walk starting from the origin 0 returns to it only after even steps. Therefore, for recurrence we only need to compute the sum of $p_{2 n}(0,0)$. For two-dimensional random walk we need to consider two directions along with $x$-axis and $y$-axis. We see easily that

$$
p_{2 n}(0,0)=\sum_{i+j=n} \frac{(2 n)!}{i!i!j!j!}\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{2 n}=\frac{(2 n)!}{n!n!}\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{2 n} \sum_{i+j=n} \frac{n!n!}{i!i!j!j!}=\binom{2 n}{n}\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{2 n} \sum_{i=0}^{n}\binom{n}{i}^{2}
$$

Employing the formula for the binomial coefficients:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=0}^{n}\binom{n}{i}^{2}=\binom{2 n}{n} \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

wich is a good exercise for the readers, we obtain

$$
p_{2 n}(0,0)=\binom{2 n}{n}^{2}\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{2 n}
$$

Then, by using the Stirling formula, we see that

$$
p_{2 n}(0,0) \sim \frac{1}{\pi n}
$$

so that

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_{2 n}(0,0)=\infty .
$$

Consequently, two-dimensional random walk is recurrent.

Example 4.5 ．7（random walk on $\mathbb{Z}^{3}$ ）Let us consider the isotropic random walk in 3－dimension．As there are three directions，say，$x, y, z$－axis，we have

$$
p_{2 n}(0,0)=\sum_{i+j+k=n} \frac{(2 n)!}{i!i!j!j!k!k!}\left(\frac{1}{6}\right)^{2 n}=\frac{(2 n)!}{n!n!}\left(\frac{1}{6}\right)^{2 n} \sum_{i+j+k=n} \frac{n!n!}{i!i!j!j!k!k!}=\binom{2 n}{n}\left(\frac{1}{6}\right)^{2 n} \sum_{i+j+k=n}\left(\frac{n!}{i!j!k!}\right)^{2} .
$$

We note the following two facts．First，

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i+j+k=n} \frac{n!}{i!j!k!}=3^{n} \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Second，the maximum value

$$
M_{n}=\max _{i+j+k=n} \frac{n!}{i!j!k!}
$$

is attained when $\frac{n}{3}-1 \leq i, j, k \leq \frac{n}{3}+1$ so

$$
M_{n} \sim \frac{3 \sqrt{3}}{2 \pi n} 3^{n}
$$

by the Stirling formula．Then we have

$$
p_{2 n}(0,0) \leq\binom{ 2 n}{n}\left(\frac{1}{6}\right)^{2 n} 3^{n} M_{n} \sim \frac{3 \sqrt{3}}{2 \pi \sqrt{\pi}} n^{-3 / 2}
$$

Therefore．

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_{2 n}(0,0)<\infty
$$

which implies that the random walk is not recurrent（i．e．，transient）．
A state $i$ is called recurrent if $P\left(T_{i}<\infty \mid X_{0}=i\right)=1$ ．In this case we are interested in the mean value $\mathbf{E}\left(T_{i} \mid X_{0}=i\right)$（mean recurrent time）．As we have already shown（Theorem 3．2．5），the mean recurrent time of the one－dimensional isotropic random walk is infinity although it is recurrent．In this case the state is called null recurrent．On the other hand，if $\mathbf{E}\left(T_{i} \mid X_{0}=i\right)<\infty$ the state $i$ is called positive recurrent．

Theorem 4．5．8 The states in an equivalence class are all positive recurrent，or all null recurrent，or all transient． In particular，for an irreducible Markov chain，the states are all positive recurrent，or all null recurrent，or all transient．

Theorem 4．5．9 For an irreducible Markov chain on a finite state space $S$ ，every state is positive recurrent．
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## 5 Poisson Processes

Let $T \subset \mathbf{R}$ be an interval. A family of random variables $\{X(t) ; t \in T\}$ is called a continuous time stochastic process. We often consider $T=[0,1]$ and $T=[0, \infty)$. As $X(t)$ is a random variable for each $t \in T$, it has another variable $\omega \in \Omega$. When we need to explicitly refer to $\omega$, we write $X(t, \omega)$ or $X_{t}(\omega)$. For fixed $\omega \in \Omega$, the function

$$
t \mapsto X(t, \omega)
$$

is called a sample path of the stochastic process $\{X(t)\}$. It is the central idea of stochastic processes that a random evolution in the real world is expressed by a single sample path selected randomly from all the possible sample paths.

The most fundamental continuous time stochastic processes are the Poisson process and the Brownian motion (Wiener process). In the recent study of mathematical physics and mathematical finance, a kind of composition of these two processes, called the Lévy process (or additive process), has received much attention.

### 5.1 Heuristic Introduction

Let us imagine that the number of objects changes as time goes on. The number at time $t$ is modelled by a random variable $X_{t}$ and we wish to construct a stochastic process $\left\{X_{t}\right\}$. In this case $X_{t}$ takes values in $\{0,1,2, \ldots\}$. In general, such a stochastic process is called a counting process.

There are many different variations of randomness and so wide variations of counting processes. We below consider the simple situation as follows: We focus an event $E$ which occurs repeatedly at random as time goes on. For example,
(i) alert of receiving an e-mail;
(ii) telephone call received a call center;
(iii) passengers making a queue at a bus stop;
(iv) customers visiting a shop;
(v) occurrence of defect of a machine;
(vi) traffic accident at a corner;
(vii) radiation from an atom.

Let fix a time origin as $t=0$. We count the number of occurrence of the event $E$ during the time interval $[0, t]$ and denote it by $X_{t}$. Let $t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots$ be the time when $E$ occurs, see Fig. 5.1.


Figure 5.1: Recording when the event $E$ occurs

There are two quantities which we measure.
(i) The number of occurrence of $E$ up to time $t$, say, $X_{t}$. Then $\left\{X_{t} ; t \geq 0\right\}$ becomes a counting process.
(ii) The waiting time of the $n$-th occurrence after the ( $n-1$ )-th occurrence, say, $T_{n}$. Here $T_{1}$ is defined to be the waiting time of the first occurrence of $E$ after starting the observation. Then $\left\{T_{n} ; n=1,2, \ldots\right\}$ is a sequence of random variables taking values in $[0, \infty)$.

We will introduce heuristically a stochastic process $\left\{X_{t}\right\}$ from the viewpoint of (i). It is convenient to start with discrete time approximation. Fix $t>0$ and divide the time interval $[0, t]$ into $n$ small intervals. Let

$$
\Delta t=\frac{t}{n}
$$

be the length of the small intervals and number from the time origin in order.


Figure 5.2: The counting process and waiting times


We assume the following conditions on the occurrence of the event $E$ :
(1) There exists a constant $\lambda>0$ such that
$P(E$ occurs just once in a small time interval of length $\Delta t)=\lambda \Delta t+o(\Delta t)$,
$P(E$ does not occur in a mall time interval of length $\Delta t)=1-\lambda \Delta t+o(\Delta t)$,
$P(E$ occurs more than once in a small time interval of length $\Delta t)=o(\Delta t)$.
(2) Occurrence of $E$ in disjoint time intervals is independent.

Some more accounts. Let us imagine the alert of receiving an e-mail. That
$P(E$ occurs more than once in a small time interval of length $\Delta t)=o(\Delta t)$
means that two occurrences of the event $E$ is always separated. That
$P(E$ occurs just once in a small time interval of length $\Delta t)=\lambda \Delta t+o(\Delta t)$
means that when $\Delta t$ is small the probability of occurrence of $E$ in a time interval is proportional to the length of the time interval. We understand from (2) that occurrence of $E$ is independent of the past occurrence.

Let $Z_{i}$ denote the number of occurrence of the event $E$ in the $i$-th time interval. Then $Z_{1}, Z_{2}, \ldots, Z_{n}$ become a sequence of independent random variables with an identical distribution such that

$$
P\left(Z_{i}=0\right)=1-\lambda \Delta t+o(\Delta t), \quad P\left(Z_{i}=1\right)=\lambda \Delta t+o(\Delta t), \quad P\left(Z_{i} \geq 2\right)=o(\Delta t) .
$$

The number of occurrence of $E$ during the time interval $[0, t]$ is given by

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} Z_{i}
$$

The length $\Delta t$ is introduced for a technical reason and is not essential in the probability model so letting $\Delta t \rightarrow 0$ or equivalently $n \rightarrow \infty$, we define $X_{t}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{t}=\lim _{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \sum_{i=1}^{n} Z_{i} . \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus $\left\{X_{t}\right\}$ is a continuous time stochastic process which gives the number of occurrence of the event $E$ up to time $t$. This is called the Poisson process with parameter $\lambda>0$.

We note that

$$
P\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} Z_{i}=k\right)=\binom{n}{k}(\lambda \Delta t)^{k}(1-\lambda \Delta t)^{n-k}+o(\Delta t) .
$$

In view of $\Delta t=t / n$ we let $n$ tend to the infinity and obtain

$$
P\left(X_{t}=k\right)=\lim _{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \frac{(\lambda t)^{k}}{k!} \frac{n(n-1) \ldots(n-k+1)}{n^{k}}\left(1-\frac{\lambda t}{n}\right)^{n-k}=\frac{(\lambda t)^{k}}{k!} e^{-\lambda t} .
$$

In other words, $X_{t}$ obeys the Poisson distribution with parameter $\lambda t$.
Remark 5.1.1 The essence of the above argument is the Poisson's law of small numbers which says that the binomial distribution $B(n, p)$ is approximated by Poisson distribution with parameter $\lambda=n p$ when $n$ is large and $p$ is small. The following table shows the distributions of $B(100,0.02)$ and the Poisson distribution with parameter $\lambda=2$.

| $k$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | $\cdots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Binomial | 0.1326 | 0.2707 | 0.2734 | 0.1823 | 0.0902 | 0.0353 | 0.0114 | $\cdots$ |
| Poisson | 0.1353 | 0.2707 | 0.2707 | 0.1804 | 0.0902 | 0.0361 | 0.0120 | $\cdots$ |

Theorem 5.1.2 A Poisson process $\left\{X_{t} ; t \geq 0\right\}$ satisfies the following properties:
(1) (counting process) $X_{t}$ takes vales in $\{0,1,2, \ldots\}$;
(2) $X_{0}=0$;
(3) (monotone increasing) $X_{s} \leq X_{t}$ for $0 \leq s \leq t$;
(4) (independent increment) if $0 \leq t_{1}<t_{2}<\cdots<t_{k}$, then

$$
X_{t_{2}}-X_{t_{1}}, \quad X_{t_{3}}-X_{t_{2}}, \quad \ldots, \quad X_{t_{k}}-X_{t_{k-1}}
$$

are independent;
(5) (stationarity) for $0 \leq s<t$ and $h \geq 0$, the distributions of $X_{t+h}-X_{s+h}$ and $X_{t}-X_{s}$ are identical;
(6) there exists a constant $\lambda>0$ such that

$$
P\left(X_{h}=1\right)=\lambda h+o(h), \quad P\left(X_{h} \geq 2\right)=o(h) .
$$

Proof (1) Since $X_{t}$ obeys the Poisson distribution with parameter $\lambda t$, it takes values in non-negative integers almost surely.
(2) Obvious by definition.
(3) Let $s=m \Delta t, t=n \Delta t, m<n$. Then we have obviously

$$
X_{s}=\lim _{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \sum_{i=1}^{m} Z_{i} \leq \lim _{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \sum_{i=1}^{n} Z_{i}=X_{t} .
$$

(4) Suppose $t_{1}=n_{1} \Delta t, \ldots, t_{k}=n_{k} \Delta t$ with $n_{1}<\cdots<n_{k}$. Then we have

$$
X_{t_{2}}-X_{t_{1}}=\lim _{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{2}} Z_{i}-\lim _{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{1}} Z_{i}=\lim _{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \sum_{i=n_{1}+1}^{n_{2}} Z_{i}
$$

In other words, $X_{t_{2}}-X_{t_{1}}$ is the sum of $Z_{i}$ 's corresponding to the small time intervals contained in $\left[t_{2}, t_{1}\right)$. Hence, $X_{t_{2}}-X_{t_{1}}, \ldots, X_{t_{k}}-X_{t_{k-1}}$ are the sums of $Z_{i}$ 's and there is no common $Z_{i}$ appearing in the summands. Since $\left\{Z_{i}\right\}$ are independent, so are $X_{t_{2}}-X_{t_{1}}, \ldots, X_{t_{k}}-X_{t_{k-1}}$.
(5) Since $X_{t+h}-X_{s+h}$ and $X_{t}-X_{s}$ are defined from the sums of $Z_{i}$ 's and the numbers of the terms coincide. Therefore the distributions are the same.
(6) Recall that $X_{h}$ obeys the Poisson distribution with parameter $\lambda h$. Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P\left(X_{h}=0\right)=e^{-\lambda h}=1-\lambda h+\cdots=1-\lambda h+o(h) \\
& P\left(X_{h}=1\right)=\lambda h e^{-\lambda h}=\lambda h(1-\lambda h+\ldots)=\lambda h+o(h)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore we have

$$
P\left(X_{h} \geq 2\right)=1-P\left(X_{h}=0\right)-P\left(X_{h}=1\right)=o(h)
$$

Example 5.1.3 The average number of customers visiting a certain service gate is two per minute. Using the Poisson model, calculate the following probabilities.
(1) The probability that no customer visits during the first two minutes after the gate opens.
(2) The probability that no customer visits during a time interval of two minutes.
(3) The probability that no customer visits during the first two minutes after the gate opens and that two customers visit during the next one minute.

Let $X_{t}$ be the number of visitors up to time $t$. By assumption $\left\{X_{t}\right\}$ is a Poisson process with parameter $\lambda=2$.
(1) We need to calculate $P\left(X_{2}=0\right)$. Since $X_{2}$ obeys the Poisson distribution with parameter $2 \lambda=4$, we have

$$
P\left(X_{2}=0\right)=\frac{4^{0}}{0!} e^{-4} \approx 0.018
$$

(2) Suppose that the time interval starts at $t_{0}$. Then the probability under discussion is given by $P\left(X_{t_{0}+2}-X_{t_{0}}=\right.$ 0 ). By stationarity we have

$$
P\left(X_{t_{0}+2}-X_{t_{0}}=0\right)=P\left(X_{2}-X_{0}=0\right)=P\left(X_{2}=0\right)
$$

which coincides with (1).
(3) We need calculate the probability $P\left(X_{2}=0, X_{3}-X_{2}=2\right)$. Since $X_{2}$ and $X_{3}-X_{2}$ are independent,

$$
P\left(X_{2}=0, X_{3}-X_{2}=2\right)=P\left(X_{2}=0\right) P\left(X_{3}-X_{2}=2\right)
$$

By stationarity we have

$$
=P\left(X_{2}=0\right) P\left(X_{1}=2\right)=\frac{4^{0}}{0!} e^{-4} \times \frac{2^{2}}{2!} e^{-2} \approx 0.00496
$$

Problem 22 Let $\left\{X_{t}\right\}$ be a Poisson process. Show that

$$
P\left(X_{s}=k \mid X_{t}=n\right)=\binom{n}{k}\left(\frac{s}{t}\right)^{k}\left(1-\frac{s}{t}\right)^{n-k}, \quad k=0,1, \ldots, n
$$

for $0<s<t$. Next give an intuitive explanation of the above formula.

### 5.2 Waiting Time

Let $\left\{X_{t} ; t \geq 0\right\}$ be a Poisson process with parameter $\lambda$. By definition $X_{0}=0$ and $X_{t}$ increases by one as time passes. It is convenient to remind that the Poisson process counts the number of events occurring up to time $t$. First we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{1}=\inf \left\{t \geq 0 ; X_{t} \geq 1\right\} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is the waiting time for the first occurrence of the event $E$. Let $T_{2}$ be the waiting time for the second occurrence of the event $E$ after the first occurrence, i.e.,

$$
T_{2}=\inf \left\{t \geq 0 ; X_{t} \geq 2\right\}-T_{1} .
$$

In a similar fashion, we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{n}=\inf \left\{t \geq 0 ; X_{t} \geq n\right\}-T_{n-1}, \quad n=2,3, \ldots \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 5.2.1 Let $\left\{X_{t}\right\}$ be a Poisson process with parameter $\lambda$. Define the waiting time $T_{n}$ by (5.2) and (5.3). Then, $\left\{T_{n} ; n=1,2, \ldots\right\}$ becomes a sequence of iid random variables, of which distribution is the exponential distribution with parameter $\lambda$.

Proof Set $t=n \Delta t$ and consider the approximation by refinement of the time interval. Recall that to each small time interval of length $\Delta t$ a random variable $Z_{i}$ is associated. Then we know that

$$
\begin{aligned}
P\left(T_{1}>t\right) & =\lim _{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} P\left(Z_{1}=\cdots=Z_{n}=0\right) \\
& =\lim _{\Delta t \rightarrow 0}(1-\lambda \Delta t)^{n} \\
& =\lim _{\Delta t \rightarrow 0}\left(1-\frac{\lambda t}{n}\right)^{n} \\
& =e^{-\lambda t} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
P\left(T_{1} \leq t\right)=1-e^{-\lambda t}=\int_{0}^{t} \lambda e^{-\lambda s} d s
$$

which shows that $T_{1}$ obeys the exponential distribution with parameter $\lambda$.
The distributions of $T_{2}, T_{3}, \ldots$ are similar.

Remark 5.2.2 Let $\left\{X_{t}\right\}$ be a Poisson process with parameter $\lambda$. We know that $\mathbf{E}\left(X_{1}\right)=\lambda$, which means the average number of occurrence of the event during the unit time interval. Hence, it is expected that the average waiting time between two occurrences is $1 / \lambda$. Theorem 5.2 .1 says that the waiting time obeys the exponential distribution with parameter $\lambda$ so its mean value is $1 / \lambda$. Thus, our rough consideration gives the correct answer.

Problem 23 Let $\left\{X_{t}\right\}$ be a Poisson process with parameter $\lambda$. The waiting time for $n$ occurrence of the events is defined by $S_{n}=T_{1}+T_{2}+\cdots+T_{n}$, where $T_{n}$ is given in Theorem 5.2.1. Calculate $P\left(S_{2} \leq t\right)$ and find the probability density function of $S_{2}$. [In general, $S_{n}$ obeys a gamma distribution.]

### 5.3 The Rigorous Definition of Poisson Processes

The "definition" of a Poisson process in (5.1) is intuitive and instructive for modeling random phenomena. However, strictly speaking, the argument is not sufficient to define a stochastic process $\left\{X_{t}\right\}$. For example, the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ on which $\left\{X_{t}\right\}$ is defined is not at all clear

We need to start with the waiting time $\left\{T_{n}\right\}$. First we prepare a sequence of iid random variables $\left\{T_{n} ; n=\right.$ $1,2, \ldots\}$, of which the distribution is the exponential distribution with parameter $\lambda>0$. Here the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ is clearly defined. Next we set

$$
S_{0}=0, \quad S_{n}=T_{1}+\cdots+T_{n}, \quad n=1,2, \ldots,
$$

and for $t \geq 0$,

$$
X_{t}=\max \left\{n \geq 0 ; S_{n} \leq t\right\} .
$$

It is obvious that for each $t \geq 0, X_{t}$ is a random variable defined on the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$. In other words, $\left\{X_{t} ; t \geq 0\right\}$ becomes a continuous time stochastic process. This is called Poisson process with parameter $\lambda$ by definition.

Starting with the above definition one can prove the properties in mentioned Theorem 5.1.2.

## 6 Queueing Theory

### 6.1 Modeling Queues

In our daily life, we observe often waiting lines or queues of customers for services. Agner Krarup Erlang (1878-1929, Danish engineer at the Copenhagen Telephone Exchange) published in 1909 the paper entitled: The Theory of Probabilities and Telephone Conversations, which opened the door to the research field of queueing theory. Such a queue is modeled in terms of a system consisting of servers and a waiting room. Customers arriving at the system are served at once if there is an idle server. Otherwise, the customer waits for a vacant server in a waiting room. After being served, the customer leaves the system.


In most of the qeueing models, a customer arrives at random and the service time is also random. So we are interested in relevant statistics such as
(1) sojourn time (time of a customer staying in the system)
(2) waiting time (= sojourn time - service time)
(3) the number of customers in the system

Apparently, many different conditions may be introduced for the queueing system. In 1953, David G. Kendall introduced the so-called Kendall's notation

$$
A / B / c / K / m / Z
$$

for describing the characteristics of a queuing model, where
A: arrival process,
$B$ : service time distribution,
$c$ : number of servers,
$K$ : number of places in the system (or in the waiting room),
$m$ : calling population,
Z: queue's discipline or priority order, e.g., FIFO (First In First Out)
The first model analyzed by Erlang in 1909 was the $M / D / 1$ queue in Kendall's notation, where $M$ means that arrivals occur according to a Poisson process, and $D$ stands for deterministic (i.e., service time is not random but constant).

Most of queueing models are classified into four categories by the behavior of customers as follows:
(I) Delay models: customers wait in line until they can be served.

Example: $M / M / c$ queue, where
（i）customers arrive according to a Poisson process with rate $\lambda$ ；
（ii）there are $c$ servers and there is an infinite waiting space；
（iii）each customer requires an exponential service time with mean $1 / \mu$ ；
（iv）customers who upon arrival find all servers busy wait in line to be served．
（II）Loss models：customers leave the system when they find all servers busy upon arrival．
Example：Erlang＇s loss model $M / G / c / c$ ，where
（i）customers arrive according to a Poisson process with rate $\lambda$ ；
（ii）there are $c$ servers and the capacity of the system is limited to $c$ customers，i．e．，there is no waiting space；
（iii）each customer requires a generally distributed service time；
（iv）customers who upon arrival find all servers busy are rejected forever．
（III）Retrial models：customers who do not find an idle server upon arrival leave the system only temporarily， and try to reenter some random time later．
Example：the Palm／Erlang－A queue，where
（i）customers arrive according to a Poisson process with rate $\lambda$ ；
（ii）there are $c$ servers and there is an infinite waiting space；
（iii）each customer requires an exponential service time with mean $1 / \mu$ ；
（iv）customers who upon arrival find all servers busy wait in line to be served；
（v）customers wait in line only an exponentially distributed time with mean $1 / \theta$（patience time）．
（IV）Abandonment models：customers waiting in line will leave the system before being served after their patience time has expired．
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## 6．2 $M / M / 1$ Queue

This is the most fundamental model，which satisfies the following conditions：
（i）arrivals occur according to a Poisson process with parameter $\lambda$ ；
（ii）service times obey an exponential distribution with parameter $\mu$ ；
（iii）arrivals of customers and service times are independent；
（iii）the system contains a single server；
（iv）the size of waiting room is infinite；
（v）$($ FIFO $=$ First In First Out $)$ customers are served from the front of the queue，i．e．，according to a first－come， first－served discipline．

Thus there are two parameters characterizing an $M / M / 1$ queue，that is，the parameter $\lambda>0$ for the Poisson arrival and the one $\mu>0$ for the exponential service．In other words，a customer arrives at the system with average time interval $1 / \lambda$ and the average service time is $1 / \mu$ ．In the queuing theory $\lambda$ is called the mean arrival rate and $\mu$ the mean service rate．Let $X(t)$ be the number of customers in the system at time $t$ ．It is the proved that $\{X(t) ; t \geq 0\}$ becomes a continuous time Markov chain on $\{0,1,2,3, \ldots\}$ ．In fact，the letter＂ M ＂stands for ＂Markov＂or＂memoryless＂．

Our main objective is

$$
p_{n}(t)=P(X(t)=n \mid X(0)=0),
$$

i．e．，the probabbility of finding $n$ customers in the system at time $t>0$ subject to the initial condition $X(0)=0$ ． Let us consider the change of the system during the small time interval $[t, t+\Delta t]$ ．It is assumed that during the
small time interval $\Delta t$ only one event happens, namely, a new customer arrives, a customer under service leaves the system, or nothing changes. The probabilities of these events are given by $\lambda \Delta t, \mu \Delta t, 1-\lambda \Delta t-\mu \Delta t$.


Therefore, $P(X(t)=n \mid X(0)=0)$ fulfills the following equation:

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
P(X(t+\Delta t)=n \mid X(0)=0)=P & P(X(t+\Delta t)=n \mid X(t)=n-1) P(X(t)=n-1 \mid X(0)=0) \\
& +P(X(t+\Delta t)=n \mid X(t)=n) P(X(t)=n \mid X(0)=0) \\
& +P(X(t+\Delta t)=n \mid X(t)=n+1) P(X(t)=n+1 \mid X(0)=0) \\
=\lambda \Delta t & P(X(t)=n-1 \mid X(0)=0) \\
& +(1-\lambda \Delta t-\mu \Delta t) P(X(t)=n \mid X(0)=0) \\
& +\mu \Delta t P(X(t)=n+1 \mid X(0)=0) \\
P(X(t+\Delta t)=0 \mid X(0)=0)=(1 & -\lambda \Delta t) P(X(t)=0 \mid X(0)=0)+\mu \Delta t P(X(t)=1 \mid X(0)=0) .
\end{array}
$$

Hence for $p_{n}(t)=P(X(t)=n \mid X(0)=0)$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{n}^{\prime}(t) & =\lambda p_{n-1}(t)-(\lambda+\mu) p_{n}(t)+\mu p_{n+1}(t), \quad n=1,2, \ldots,  \tag{6.1}\\
p_{0}^{\prime}(t) & =-\lambda p_{0}(t)+\mu p_{1}(t) .
\end{align*}
$$

The initial condition is as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{0}(0)=1, \quad p_{n}(0)=0 \quad \text { for } n \geq 1 . \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving the linear system (6.1) with the initial condition (6.2) is not difficult with the help of linear algebra and spectral theory. However, the explicit solution is not so simple and is omitted. We only mention that most important characteristics are obtained from the explicit $p_{n}(t)$.

Here we focus on the equilibrium solution (limit transition probability), i.e.,

$$
p_{n}=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} p_{n}(t)
$$

whenever the limit exists. Since in the equilibrium the derivative of the left hand side of (6.1) is 0 , we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda p_{n-1}-(\lambda+\mu) p_{n}+\mu p_{n+1}=0 \quad n=1,2, \ldots,  \tag{6.3}\\
& -\lambda p_{0}+\mu p_{1}=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

A general solution to (6.3) is easily derived:

$$
p_{n}= \begin{cases}C_{1}+C_{2}\left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right)^{n}, & \lambda \neq \mu, \\ C_{1}+C_{2} n, & \lambda=\mu\end{cases}
$$

Since $p_{n}$ gives a probability distribution, we have $p_{n} \geq 0$ and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_{n}=1$. This occurs only when $\lambda<\mu$ and we have

$$
p_{n}=\left(1-\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right)\left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right)^{n}, \quad n=0,1,2, \ldots
$$

This is the geometric distribution with parameter $\lambda / \mu$.
In queuing theory, the ratio of the mean arrival rate $\lambda$ and the mean service rate $\mu$ is called the utilization:

$$
\rho=\frac{\lambda}{\mu} .
$$

Utilization stands for how busy the system is. It was shown above that the number of customers in the system after long time obeys the geometric distribution with parameter $\rho$. If $\rho<1$, the system functions well. Otherwise, the queue will continue to grow as time goes on. After long time, i.e., in the equilibrium the number of customers in the system obeys the geometric distribution:

$$
(1-\rho) \rho^{n}, \quad n=0,1,2, \ldots
$$

In particular, the probability that the server is free is $1-\rho$ and the probability that the server is busy and the customer need to wait is $\rho$. This is the origin of the term utilization. Note also that the mean number of the customers in the system is given by

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n p_{n}=\frac{\rho}{1-\rho}=\frac{\lambda}{\mu-\lambda} .
$$

Example 6.2.1 There is an ATM, where each customer arrives with average time interval 5 minutes and spends 3 minutes in average for the service. Using an $M / M / 1$ queue, we know some statistical characteristics. We set

$$
\lambda=\frac{1}{5}, \quad \mu=\frac{1}{3}, \quad \rho=\frac{\lambda}{\mu}=\frac{3}{5} .
$$

Then the probability that the ATM is free is $p_{0}=1-\rho=\frac{2}{5}$. The probability that the ATM is busy but there is no waiting customer is

$$
p_{1}=\frac{2}{5} \times \frac{3}{5}=\frac{6}{25} .
$$

Hence the probability that the ATM is busy and there is some waiting customers is

$$
1-p_{0}-p_{1}=1-\frac{2}{5}-\frac{6}{25}=\frac{9}{25}=0.36
$$

So, roughly speaking, a customer needs to make a queue once per three visits.
Remark 6.2.2 The Markov process $X(t)$ appearing in the $M / M / 1$ queuing model is studied more generally within the framework of birth-and-death process.

Problem $24(M / M / 1 / 1$ queue) There is a single server and no waiting space. Customers arrive Poisson with $\lambda$, servoice in $\mu$ Let $Q(t)$ be the number of customers in the system at time $t$. In fact,

$$
Q(t)= \begin{cases}1, & \text { server is busy }, \\ 0, & \text { server is idle }\end{cases}
$$

(1) Find

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{0}(t)=P(Q(t)=0 \mid Q(0)=0), \\
& p_{1}(t)=P(Q(t)=1 \mid Q(0)=0)
\end{aligned}
$$

by solving a linear system satisfied by those $p_{n}(t)$.
(2) Using the results in (1), calculate

$$
\bar{p}_{0}=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} p_{0}(t), \quad \bar{p}_{1}=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} p_{1}(t),
$$

(3) Find the mean number of customers in the system in the long time limit:

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{E}[Q(t)] .
$$

## 7 Galton－Watson Branching Processes

Consider a simplified family tree where each individual gives birth to offspring（children）and dies．The number of offsprings is random．We are interested in whether the family survives or not．A fundamental model was proposed by F．Galton in 1873 and basic properties were derived by Galton and Watson in their joint paper in the next year．The name＂Galton－Watson branching process＂is quite common in literatures after their paper， but it would be more fair to refer to it as＂BGW process．＂In fact，Irénée－Jules Bienaymé studied the same model independently already in 1845.


## References

1．R．B．シナジ（今野紀雄•林俊一訳）：マルコフ連鎖から格子確率モデルヘ，シュプリンガー東京， 2001.
2．K．B．Athreya and P．E．Ney：Branching Processes，Dover 2004 （original version，Springer 1972）

## 7．1 Definition

Let $X_{n}$ be the number of individuals of the $n$－th generation．Then $\left\{X_{n} ; n=0,1,2, \ldots\right\}$ becomes a discrete－ time stochasic process．We assume that the number of children born from each individual obeys a common probability distribution and is independent of individuals and of generation．Under this assupmtion $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ becomes a Markov chain．

Let us obtain the transition probability．Let $Y$ be the number of children born from an individual and set

$$
P(Y=k)=p_{k}, \quad k=0,1,2, \ldots .
$$

The sequence $\left\{p_{0}, p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots\right\}$ describes the distribution of the number of children born from an individual．In fact，what we need is the condition

$$
p_{k} \geq 0, \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{k}=1
$$

We refer to $\left\{p_{0}, p_{1}, \ldots\right\}$ as the offspring distribution．Let $Y_{1}, Y_{2}, \ldots$ be independent identically distributed random variables，of which the distribution is the same as $Y$ ．Then，we define the transition probability by

$$
p(i, j)=P\left(X_{n+1}=j \mid X_{n}=i\right)=P\left(\sum_{k=1}^{i} Y_{k}=j\right), \quad i \geq 1, \quad j \geq 0,
$$

and

$$
p(0, j)= \begin{cases}0, & j \geq 1, \\ 1, & j=0 .\end{cases}
$$

Clearly, the state 0 is an absorbing one. The above Markov chain $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ over the state space $\{0,1,2, \ldots\}$ is called the Galton-Watson branching process with offspring distribution $\left\{p_{k} ; k=0,1,2, \ldots\right\}$.

For simplicity we assume that $X_{0}=1$. When $p_{0}+p_{1}=1$, the famility tree is reduced to just a path without branching so the situation is much simpler (Problem 25). We will focus on the case where

$$
p_{0}+p_{1}<1, \quad p_{2}<1, \quad \ldots, \quad p_{k}<1, \quad \ldots
$$

In the next section on we will always assume the above conditions.
Problem 25 (One-child policy) Consider the Galton-Watson branching process with offspring distribution satisfying $p_{0}+p_{1}=1$. Calculate the probabilities

$$
q_{1}=P\left(X_{1}=0\right), \quad q_{2}=P\left(X_{1} \neq 0, X_{2}=0\right), \quad \ldots, \quad q_{n}=P\left(X_{1} \neq 0, \ldots, X_{n-1} \neq 0, X_{n}=0\right), \quad \ldots
$$

and find the extinction probability

$$
P=\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\{X_{n}=0\right\}\right)=P\left(X_{n}=0 \text { occurs for some } n \geq 1\right)
$$

### 7.2 Generating Functions

Let $\left\{X_{n}\right\}$ be the Galton-Watson branching process with offspring distribution $\left\{p_{k} ; k=0,1,2, \ldots\right\}$. Let $p(i, j)=P\left(X_{n+1}=j \mid X_{n}=i\right)$ be the transition probability. We assume that $X_{0}=1$.

Define the generating function of the offspring distribution by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(s)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_{k} s^{k} . \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The series in the right-hand side converges for $|s| \leq 1$. We set

$$
f_{0}(s)=s, \quad f_{1}(s)=f(s), \quad f_{n}(s)=f\left(f_{n-1}(s)\right)
$$

## Lemma 7.2.1

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} p(i, j) s^{j}=[f(s)]^{i}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots \tag{7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof By definition,

$$
p(i, j)=P\left(Y_{1}+\cdots+Y_{i}=j\right)=\sum_{\substack{k_{1}+\cdots+k_{i}=j \\ k_{1} \geq 0, \ldots, k_{i} \geq 0}} P\left(Y_{1}=k_{1}, \ldots, Y_{i}=k_{i}\right) .
$$

Since $Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{i}$ are independent, we have

$$
p(i, j)=\sum_{\substack{k_{1}+\cdots+k_{i}=j \\ k_{1} \geq 0, \ldots, k_{i} \geq 0}} P\left(Y_{1}=k_{1}\right) \cdots P\left(Y_{i}=k_{i}\right)=\sum_{\substack{k_{1}+\ldots+k_{i}=j \\ k_{1} \geq 0, \ldots, k_{i} \geq 0}} p_{k_{1}} \cdots p_{k_{i}} .
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} p(i, j) s^{j} & =\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{k_{1}+\cdots+k_{i}=j \\
k_{1} \geq 0, \ldots, k_{i} \geq 0}} p_{k_{1}} \cdots p_{k_{i}} s^{j} \\
& =\sum_{k_{1}=0}^{\infty} p_{k_{1}} s^{k_{1}} \cdots \sum_{k_{i}=0}^{\infty} p_{k_{i}} s^{k_{i}} \\
& =[f(s)]^{i},
\end{aligned}
$$

which proves the assertion.

Lemma 7.2.2 Let $p_{n}(i, j)$ be the $n$-step transition probability of the Galton-Watson branching process. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} p_{n}(i, j) s^{j}=\left[f_{n}(s)\right]^{i}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots \tag{7.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof We prove the assertion by induction on $n$. First note that $p_{1}(i, j)=p(i, j)$ and $f_{1}(s)=f(s)$ by definition. For $n=1$ we need to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} p(i, j) s^{j}=[f(s)]^{i}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, \tag{7.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Which was shown in Lemma 7.2.1. Suppose that $n \geq 1$ and the claim (7.3) is valid up to $n$. Using the ChapmanKolmogorov identity, we see that

$$
\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} p_{n+1}(i, j) s^{j}=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p(i, k) p_{n}(k, j) s^{j}
$$

Since

$$
\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} p_{n}(k, j) s^{j}=\left[f_{n}(s)\right]^{k}
$$

by assumption of induction, we obtain

$$
\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} p_{n+1}(i, j) s^{j}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p(i, k)\left[f_{n}(s)\right]^{k}
$$

The right-hand side coincides with (7.4) where $s$ is replaced by $f_{n}(s)$. Consequently, we come to

$$
\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} p_{n+1}(i, j) s^{j}=\left[f\left(f_{n}(s)\right)\right]^{i}=\left[f_{n+1}(s)\right]^{i}
$$

which proves the claim for $n+1$.
Since $X_{0}=1$,

$$
P\left(X_{n}=j\right)=P\left(X_{n}=j \mid X_{0}=1\right)=p_{n}(1, j)
$$

In particular,

$$
P\left(X_{1}=j\right)=P\left(X_{1}=j \mid X_{0}=1\right)=p_{1}(1, j)=p(1, j)=p_{j}
$$

Theorem 7.2.3 Assume that the mean value of the offspring distribution is finite:

$$
m=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k p_{k}<\infty .
$$

Then we have

$$
\mathbf{E}\left[X_{n}\right]=m^{n} .
$$

Proof Differentiating (7.1), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(s)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k p_{k} s^{k-1}, \quad|s|<1 \tag{7.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Letting $s \rightarrow 1-0$, we have

$$
\lim _{s \rightarrow 1-0} f^{\prime}(s)=m
$$

On the other hand, setting $i=1$ in (7.3), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} p_{n}(1, j) s^{j}=f_{n}(s)=f_{n-1}(f(s)) \tag{7.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating both sides, we come to

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n}^{\prime}(s)=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} j p_{n}(1, j) s^{j-1}=f_{n-1}^{\prime}(f(s)) f^{\prime}(s) \tag{7.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Letting $s \rightarrow 1-0$, we have

$$
\lim _{s \rightarrow 1-0} f_{n}^{\prime}(s)=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} j p_{n}(1, j)=\lim _{s \rightarrow 1-0} f_{n-1}^{\prime}(f(s)) \lim _{s \rightarrow 1-0} f^{\prime}(s)=m \lim _{s \rightarrow 1-0} f_{n-1}^{\prime}(s)
$$

Therefore,

$$
\lim _{s \rightarrow 1-0} f_{n}^{\prime}(s)=m^{n}
$$

which means that

$$
\mathbf{E}\left(X_{n}\right)=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} j P\left(X_{n}=j\right)=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} j p_{n}(1, j)=m^{n}
$$

In conclusion, the mean value of the number of individuals in the $n$-th generation, $\mathbf{E}\left(X_{n}\right)$, decreases and converges to 0 if $m<1$ and diverges to the infinity if $m>1$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. It stays at a constant if $m=1$. We are thus suggested that extinction of the family occurs when $m<1$.

Problem 26 Assume that the variance of the offspring distribution is finite: $\mathrm{V}[Y]=\sigma^{2}<\infty$. By similar argument as in Theorem 7.2.3, prove that

$$
\mathbf{V}\left[X_{n}\right]= \begin{cases}\frac{\sigma^{2} m^{n-1}\left(m^{n}-1\right)}{m-1}, & m \neq 1, \\ n \sigma^{2}, & m=1\end{cases}
$$

### 7.3 Extinction Probability

The event $\left\{X_{n}=0\right\}$ means that the family died out until the $n$-th generation. So

$$
q=P\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\{X_{n}=0\right\}\right)
$$

is the probability of extinction of the family. Note that the events in the right-hand side is not mutually exclusive but

$$
\left\{X_{1}=0\right\} \subset\left\{X_{2}=0\right\} \subset \cdots \subset\left\{X_{n}=0\right\} \subset \ldots
$$

Therefore, it holds that

$$
\begin{equation*}
q=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(X_{n}=0\right) \tag{7.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $q=1$, this family almost surely dies out in some generation. If $q<1$, the survival probability is positive $1-q>0$. We are interested in whether $q=1$ or not.

Lemma 7.3.1 Let $f(s)$ be the generating function of the offspring distribution, and set $f_{n}(s)=f\left(f_{n-1}(s)\right)$ as before. Then we have

$$
q=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f_{n}(0)
$$

Therefore, $q$ satisfies the equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
q=f(q) \tag{7.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof It follows from Lemma 7.2.2 that

$$
f_{n}(s)=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} p_{n}(1, j) s^{j}
$$

Hence,

$$
f_{n}(0)=p_{n}(1,0)=P\left(X_{n}=0 \mid X_{0}=1\right)=P\left(X_{n}=0\right),
$$

where the last identity is by the assumption of $X_{0}=1$. The assertion is now straightforward by combining (7.8). The second assertion follows since $f(s)$ is a continuous function on $[0,1]$.

Lemma 7.3.2 Assume that the offspring distribution satisfies the conditions:

$$
p_{0}+p_{1}<1, \quad p_{2}<1, \quad \ldots, \quad p_{k}<1, \quad \ldots
$$

Then the generating function $f(t)$ verifies the following properties.
(1) $f(s)$ is increasing, i.e., $f\left(s_{1}\right) \leq f\left(s_{2}\right)$ for $0 \leq s_{1} \leq s_{2} \leq 1$.
(2) $f(s)$ is strictly convex, i.e., if $0 \leq s_{1}<s_{2} \leq 1$ and $0<\theta<1$ we have

$$
f\left(\theta s_{1}+(1-\theta) s_{2}\right)<\theta f\left(s_{1}\right)+(1-\theta) f\left(s_{2}\right) .
$$

Proof (1) is apparent since the coefficient of the power series $f(s)$ is non-negative. (2) follows by $f^{\prime \prime}(s)>0$.

Lemma 7.3.3 (1) If $m \leq 1$, we have $f(s)>s$ for $0 \leq s<1$.
(2) If $m>1$, there exists a unique $s$ such that $0 \leq s<1$ and $f(s)=s$.

Lemma 7.3.4 $f_{1}(0) \leq f_{2}(0) \leq \cdots \rightarrow q$.
Theorem 7.3.5 The extinction probability $q$ of the Galton-Watson branching process as above coincides with the smallest $s$ such that

$$
s=f(s), \quad 0 \leq s \leq 1 .
$$

Moreover, if $m \leq 1$ we have $q=1$, and if $m>1$ we have $q<1$.
The Galton-Watson branching process is called subcritical, critical and supercritical if $m<1, m=1$ and $m>1$, respectively. The survival is determined only by the mean value $m$ of the offspring distribution. The situation changes dramatically at $m=1$ and, following the terminology of statistical physics, we call it phase transition.

Problem 27 Let $b, p$ be constant numbers such that $b>0,0<p<1$ and $b+p<1$. For the offspring distribution given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{k}=b p^{k-1}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots, \\
& p_{0}=1-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p_{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

find the generating function $f(s)$. Moreover, setting $m=1$, find $f_{n}(s)$.

## 8 Brownian Motion

In 1827 Robert Brown (1773-1858, Scottish botanist) observed a continuous jittery motion of small particles spouting from pollen of the plant Clarkia pulchella in water under a microscope. For a long period the mechanism of this motion was unknown. In 1905 (known as the miracle year in physics) Albert Einstein published a paper that the Brownian motion was caused by individual water molecules and was given a mathematical description along with physical discussion. The original article is collected in A. Einstein: "Investigations on the Theory of the Brownian Movement," (Dover, 2011). It is probably fair to refer to Marian Smoluchowski (1872-1917, Polish physicist) who also gave a similar mathematical model of Brownian motion. Although his paper was published in 1906, it is said in the exhibition at Krakow University, Poland, that his manuscript was sent to Einstein before his work.

After the physical investigations mathematical study of Brownian motion or more general stochastic processes started. The contributions by Norbert Wiener (1894-1964) and Paul Lévy (1886-1971) were most essential. Thereby Brownian motion is also called the Wiener process. In 1940s Kiyoshi Itô (1915-2008, Japanese probabilist) initiated the theory of stochastic differential equations which is nowadays commonly called the It $\hat{o}$ calculus. During the last 60 years the Itô calculus has developed drastically for vast applications. It is only a small part of the story that financial engineering without Itô formula is impossible and Itô became the most famous Japanese in Wall Street.

### 8.1 From Random Walk to Brownian Motion

Consider one-dimensional random walk, where the random walker starts from the origin $x=0$ at time $t=0$, and tosses a fair coin every short time interval $\Delta t$, and move a very short distance of $\epsilon$ to the right or left. Let $X(t)=X(t ; \Delta t, \epsilon)$ be the position of the above random walker at time $t \geq 0$.

It is convenient to express $X(t)$ by means of Bernoulli trials. Let $\left\{Z_{n}\right\}$ be a Bernoulli trial with success probability $1 / 2$, i.e.,

$$
P\left(Z_{n}=+1\right)=P\left(Z_{n}=-1\right)=\frac{1}{2}
$$

Then for $t=n \Delta t$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
X(t)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \epsilon Z_{k} \tag{8.1}
\end{equation*}
$$



We easily see from (8.1) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{E}[X(t)]=\epsilon \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbf{E}\left(Z_{k}\right)=0,  \tag{8.2}\\
& \mathbf{V}[X(t)]=\epsilon^{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbf{V}\left(Z_{k}\right)=\epsilon^{2} n=\frac{\epsilon^{2}}{\Delta t} t . \tag{8.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, for $s=m \Delta t$ and $t=n \Delta t$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Cov}(X(s), X(t))=\epsilon^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \operatorname{Cov}\left(Z_{j}, Z_{k}\right)=\epsilon^{2} \min \{m, n\}=\frac{\epsilon^{2}}{\Delta t} \min \{s, t\} . \tag{8.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We are interested in the limit as $\Delta t \rightarrow 0$ and $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, whereas (8.3) suggests that a reasonable limit is obtained under the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\epsilon^{2}}{\Delta t} \rightarrow \alpha \text { (constant) } \tag{8.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the following, we set $\alpha=1$ in (8.5) for simplicity. We hope to obtain a stochastic process $B(t)$ as a limit of the random walk $X(t ; \Delta t, \epsilon)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
B(t)=\lim X(t ; \Delta t, \epsilon), \tag{8.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the limit is taken in such a way that $\Delta t \rightarrow 0, \epsilon \rightarrow 0$ with $\epsilon^{2} / \Delta t \rightarrow 1$. We then see from (8.2)-(8.4) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{E}[B(t)]=0  \tag{8.7}\\
& \mathbf{V}[B(t)]=t  \tag{8.8}\\
& \operatorname{Cov}(B(s), B(t))=\min \{s, t\} \tag{8.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
X(t ; \Delta t, \epsilon) & =\sum_{k=1}^{n} \epsilon Z_{k}=\epsilon \sqrt{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} Z_{k} \\
& =\epsilon \sqrt{\frac{t}{\Delta t}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} Z_{k} \\
& =\sqrt{\frac{\epsilon^{2}}{\Delta t}} \sqrt{t} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} Z_{k} . \tag{8.10}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from the central limit theorem that

$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} Z_{k}
$$

obeys the standard normal law $N(0,1)$ in the limit. So we see from (8.10) that $B(t)$ being the limit of $X(t ; \Delta t, \epsilon)$, obeys the normal law $N(0, t)$. We call $\{B(t)\}$ the Brownian motion or the Wiener process.


Figure 8.1: Random walk as a simulation of Brownian motion

Remark 8.1.1 The above "construction" of Brownian motion from the random walk is heuristic and instructive, however, the important step was omitted. Namely, we did not argue that the limit of random walks $X(t ; \Delta t, \epsilon)$ exists in the sense of stochastic process. In fact, we may give rigorous proof to this point but mathematically totally non-trivial. On the other hand, in most textbooks the Brownian motion is introduced independently of random walk, where the argument becomes much simpler but loses the intuition of the jittery movement of Brownian motion.

We mention most essential propertirs of Brownian motion, where we have to accept them only with intuitive reasonings suggested by the above "construction."
(B1) $\{B(t) ; t \geq 0\}$ forms a Gaussian system, i.e., for any finite number of time points $t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{n}$ the random vector $\left(B\left(t_{1}\right), B\left(t_{2}\right), \ldots, B\left(t_{n}\right)\right)$ obeys a $n$-dimensional normal law (may be degenerate).
(B2) $\mathbf{E}[B(t)]=0$
(B3) $\operatorname{Cov}(B(s), B(t))=\mathbf{E}[B(s) B(t)]=\min \{s, t\}$
(B4) $B(0)=0$
(B5) (Continuous sample path) $t \mapsto B(t)$ is continuous almost surely.
In most literatures a stochastic process satisfying (B1)-(B5) is called a Brownian motion by definition. Then we need to verify the existence of such a stochastic process. In fact, it is not very difficult to show (or construct) a stochastic process satisfying (B1)-(B4), which is often called a weak Brownian motion. Then applying Kolmogorov's continuous version theorem we may derive (B5).

Moreover, we have
(B6) (Independent increments) for $0 \leq t_{1}<t_{2}<\cdots<t_{n}$, the random variables

$$
B\left(t_{1}\right), B\left(t_{2}\right)-B\left(t_{1}\right), B\left(t_{3}\right)-B\left(t_{2}\right), \ldots, B\left(t_{n}\right)-B\left(t_{n-1}\right)
$$

are independent.
(B7) $\{B(t)\}$ is a Markov process. (For the precise definition of Markov process we need some advanced knowledge of conditional probability.)

### 8.2 Stochastic Differential Equations (SDEs)

An ordinary differential equation (ODE) is in general of the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d x}{d t}=f(t, x), \quad x(0)=x_{0}(\text { initial condition }), \tag{8.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x=x(t)$ is unknown function. The ordinary differential equation (8.11) describes how $x(t)$ changes in a small time duration $\Delta t$, namely, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t+\Delta t)-x(t)=f(t, x(t)) \Delta t+o(\Delta t) \tag{8.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thereby we often write (8.11) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
d x(t)=f(t, x) d t, \quad x(0)=x_{0}, \tag{8.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

or in an integral form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t)=x_{0}+\int_{0}^{t} f(s, x(s)) d s \tag{8.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

We understand that (8.11), (8.13) and (8.14) are equivalent.
We know from general theory that the differential equation (8.11) possesses a unique solution under certain condition (e.g., Lipschitz condition) on $f(t, x)$. In other words, the movement of $x=x(t)$ is completely determined by (8.11) together with initial condition.

We now consider the case where the movement is disturbed by random noise at each time.


A noise term must be added to the differential equation (8.11). Starting with (8.12), we try to get a suitable expression for

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t+\Delta t)-x(t)=f(t, x(t)) \Delta t+(\text { noise }) . \tag{8.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is natural to think that noises occurring at different time are independent. Since Brownian motion $\{B(t)\}$ has independent increment, the noise term in (8.15) being given as $B(t+\Delta t)-B(t)$, we come naturally to

$$
x(t+\Delta t)-x(t)=f(t, x(t)) \Delta t+(B(t+\Delta t)-B(t)) .
$$

More generally, allowing the noise to depend on $x(t)$ and $t$, we may consider:

$$
x(t+\Delta t)-x(t)=f(t, x(t)) \Delta t+g(t, x(t))(B(t+\Delta t)-B(t)) .
$$

Since $\{B(t)\}$ is a stochastic process, so is $\{x(t)\}$. According to our tradition, we write $X(t)$ for $x(t)$ from now on. Finally, letting $\Delta t \rightarrow 0$ we expect to obtain an expression as

$$
\begin{equation*}
d X(t)=f(t, X(t)) d t+g(t, X(t)) d B(t), \quad X(0)=x_{0}(\text { initial condition }) . \tag{8.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the integral form we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
X(t)=x_{0}+\int_{0}^{t} f(s, X(s)) d s+\int_{0}^{t} g(s, X(s)) d B(s) . \tag{8.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have thus obtained formally natural expressions (8.16) and (8.17). The former is called a stochastic differential equation and the latter a stochastic integral equation. However, the above argument being just heristic, we do not know whether $d B(s)$ makes a mathematica sense. It was K. Itô that gave a mathematical definition of $d B(t)$ and constructed the theory of stochastic differential equations. Nowadays, the theory initiated by him is called Itô calculus and is widely accepted.

### 8.3 Stochastic Integrals and Itô Formula

In Itô theory we first formulate the integral equation (8.17) and then a stochastic differential equation (8.16) is accepted as a short-hand notation for (8.17). The second term of the right-hand side of (8.17) is a usual integral, but the third term is a new type of integral.

In general, for a stochastic process $\{G(t)\}$ adapted to the Brownian motion $\left\{B_{t}\right\}$ the stochastic integral (of Itô type) or the Itô integral is defined:

$$
I(t)=\int_{0}^{t} G(s) d B(s)
$$

Then $\{I(t)\}$ becomes a stochastic process adapted to $\left\{B_{t}\right\}$. (For adaptedness, several basic concepts in stochastoic processes are required and so we give up to go into details.) Thus, (8.17) is an equation for finding a stochastic process $\{X(t)\}$ adapted to the Brownian motion and satisfying (8.17).

A stochastic process $\{X(t)\}$ is called Itô process if it admits an expression as in

$$
X(t)=x_{0}+\int_{0}^{t} F(s) d s+\int_{0}^{t} G(s) d B(s) .
$$

We often use a differential form:

$$
d X=F d s+G d B
$$

Let $f(t, x)$ be a function and $X(t)$ an Itô process. Then it is known that the composition

$$
Y(t)=f(t, X(t))
$$

is again an Itô process. Hence $Y(t)$ admits an expression of the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y(t)=y_{0}+\int_{0}^{t} F_{1}(s) d s+\int_{0}^{t} G_{1}(s) d B(s) \quad \text { or } \quad d Y=F_{1} d t+G_{1} d B . \tag{8.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The integrands $F_{1}(s)$ and $G_{1}(s)$ are computed by the famous Itô formula.

Theorem 8.3.1 (Itô formula) Assume that $f(t, x)$ is $C^{1}$-class with respect to $t$ and $C^{2}$-class with respect to $x$. Then, for $Y(t)=f(t, X(t))$ we have

$$
d Y=\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(t, X(t)) d t+\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(t, X(t)) d X+\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x^{2}}(t, X(t))(d X)^{2},
$$

where

$$
d X=F d t+G d B, \quad \text { and } \quad(d X)^{2}=G^{2} d t
$$

Consequently, $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ in (8.18) are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{1}=\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(t, X(t))+\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(t, X(t)) \cdot F(t)+\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x^{2}}(t, X(t)) \cdot G(t)^{2}, \\
& F_{2}=\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(t, X(t)) \cdot G(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

The essence of Itô formula is found in the relations:

$$
(d t)(d t)=(d B)(d t)=(d t)(d B)=0, \quad(d B)(d B)=d t
$$

### 8.4 Black-Scholes Model

Let $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$ and $\sigma>0$ be constant numbers. We will study a stochastic differential equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d X=\alpha X d t+\sigma X d B, \quad X_{0}=x_{0} \tag{8.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\sigma=0$, then (8.19) becomes an ordinary differential equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d x=\alpha x d t, \quad x(0)=x_{0}, \tag{8.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the solution is immediately obtained:

$$
x(t)=x_{0} e^{\alpha t} .
$$

In this case $x(t)$ increases or decreases exponentially according as $\alpha>0$ or $\alpha<0$. There are many phenomena modeled by (8.20), for example, in finance it stands for the amount of continuous compounding at time $t$. The stochastic differential equation (8.19) is formally written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d X}{d t}=\left(\alpha+\sigma \frac{d B}{d t}\right) X \tag{8.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing with (8.20), we understand that the amount $X(t)$ grows with an interest rate fluctuating around $\alpha$.
As an application of the Itô formula, we will solve (8.19). Setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
X(t)=Y(t) e^{\sigma B(t)} \tag{8.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

we immediately obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
d X=d Y e^{\sigma B(t)}+Y d\left(e^{\sigma B(t)}\right) \tag{8.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now applying the Itô formula we obtain

$$
d\left(e^{\sigma B(t)}\right)=\sigma e^{\sigma B(t)} d B+\frac{\sigma^{2}}{2} e^{\sigma B(t)} d t
$$

hence (8.23) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
d X=d Y e^{\sigma B(t)}+Y\left(\sigma e^{\sigma B(t)} d B+\frac{\sigma^{2}}{2} e^{\sigma B(t)} d t\right) \tag{8.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inserting (8.22) and (8.24) into (8.19), we obtain

$$
d Y e^{\sigma B(t)}+Y\left(\sigma e^{\sigma B(t)} d B+\frac{\sigma^{2}}{2} e^{\sigma B(t)} d t\right)=\alpha Y e^{\sigma B(t)} d t+\sigma Y e^{\sigma B(t)} d B,
$$

so that

$$
d Y=\left(\alpha-\frac{\sigma^{2}}{2}\right) Y d t .
$$

The above is an ordinary differential equation and we know a general solution：

$$
Y=K \exp \left\{\left(\alpha-\frac{\sigma^{2}}{2}\right) t\right\}, \quad K: \text { arbitrary constant. }
$$

Thus，coming back to（8．22），we obtain the solution to（8．19）as follows：

$$
\begin{equation*}
X(t)=x_{0} \exp \left\{\left(\alpha-\frac{\sigma^{2}}{2}\right) t+\sigma B(t)\right\} . \tag{8.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 8．4．1 A stochastic process of the form $\exp \left(a t+b B_{t}\right)$ ，where $a, b \in \mathbf{R}$ are constant numbers，is called a geometric Brownian motion．


Figure 8．2：Simulation of geometric Brownian motion

In mathematical finance the geometric Browian motion（8．25）is the most fundamental model of the time evolution of stock price．In such a context，（8．25）is also called the Black－Scholes model．The famous option price formula，called the Black－Scholes formula，is derived from this model（Nobel prize was awarded to Merton and Scholes in 1997）．
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